



2015 FIRST QUARTER REPORT MARCH 31, 2015

FIRST QUARTER 2015

Table of Contents

Thanagement's Discussion and Analysis of Philadelal Condition	2
and Results of Operations	
Controls and Procedures	12
Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	13
Statements of Comprehensive Income	14
Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity	15
Statements of Cash Flows	
Notes to Financial Statements	17

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

(dollars in thousands, except as noted)

The following discussion reviews the financial condition and results of operations of the Farm Credit Bank of Texas (bank) for the three months ended March 31, 2015. These comments should be read in conjunction with the accompanying financial statements and footnotes, along with the 2014 Annual Report to shareholders. The accompanying financial statements were prepared under the oversight of the bank's audit committee.

The bank is a member of the Farm Credit System (System), a nationwide network of cooperatively owned financial institutions established by and subject to the provisions of the Farm Credit Act of 1971, as amended, and the regulations of the Farm Credit Administration (FCA) promulgated thereunder.

The United States is currently served by three Farm Credit Banks (FCBs), each of which has specific authority to fund affiliated associations and other financing institutions (OFIs) making loans to agricultural producers, farm-related businesses and rural homeowners within a regional chartered territory (or district), and by one Agricultural Credit Bank (ACB), which has the lending authority of an FCB within its chartered territory and nationwide authority limited to finance agricultural cooperatives and rural utilities. The FCBs and the ACB are collectively referred to as "System banks." As FCBs, the primary purpose of the System banks is to serve as a source of funding for System associations within their districts. The System associations make loans to or for the benefit of borrowers for qualified purposes.

The bank and its affiliated associations collectively are referred to as the "district." At March 31, 2015, the bank provided financing to 14 district associations and certain other financing institutions.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Income

Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2015, was \$52,101, an increase of \$7,295, or 16.3 percent, over the same period of 2014. The increase in net income for the three months ended March 31, 2015, consisted of a \$4,760 increase in net interest income and a \$3,779 increase in noninterest income, offset by an \$874 increase in provision for credit losses and a \$370 increase in noninterest expense.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2015, was \$56,701, an increase of \$4,760, or 9.2 percent, over the same period of 2014. The increase in net interest income was attributable to a volume increase of \$1.8 billion in the bank's average earning assets and a 3-basis-point decrease in the bank's interest rate spread to 124 basis points. The interest rate spread decrease included a 3-basis-point decrease in the effective rate on average earning assets and no change in the effective rate on average interest-bearing liabilities for the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in the bank's average earning assets included growth in its direct notes from associations, capital market loans and investment portfolio. The bank called \$925.9 million in debt in the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to \$890.0 million in the first three months of 2014.

Provision for Credit Losses

The bank's provision for credit losses for the three months ended March 31, 2015, totaled \$871, an increase of \$874 over the \$3 negative provision for credit losses for the first three months of 2014. The \$871 provision included an \$898 specific provision on an unfunded letter of credit due to a credit downgrade, offset by a \$27 reduction in other general reserves for credit losses.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income for the three months ended March 31, 2015, was \$14,394, an increase of \$3,779, or 35.6 percent, over the same period of 2014. The increase included a \$5,779 dividend received with the disposition of the preferred stock of an ethanol facility in other property owned (OPO), and a \$1,826 increase in loan-related fee income. Offsetting these increases were a \$3,133 loss due to the write-off of loan accounting software no longer deemed a useable asset and a \$654 decrease in fair value on loans previously purchased in the secondary market. The increase in loan-related fees included a \$2,426 nonrecurring prepayment fee. The bank has elected a fair value option for financial presentation purposes on certain loans purchased on the secondary market at a significant premium. The fair value option provides an irrevocable option to elect fair value as an alternative measurement for selected financial instruments. As discussed in the "Investments" section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis, the bank performs other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) assessments on investment securities based on evaluations of both current and future market and credit conditions at each quarter end. The bank recorded no credit losses for OTTI investment securities during the first quarter of 2014 or 2015.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2015, was \$18,123, an increase of \$370, or 2.1 percent, over the same period of 2014. The increase was attributable to a \$1,349 increase in salaries and employee benefits, a \$731 increase in occupancy and equipment expenses, a \$534 increase in other operating expenses and a \$345 increase in premiums assessed by the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation (FCSIC), offset by a \$2,589 increase in gains on OPO. The \$731 increase in occupancy and equipment included a \$695 increase in computer expenses. Premiums assessed by the FCSIC increased due to a rate increase on outstanding debt from 12 basis points in 2014 to 13 basis points in 2015, and to an increase in debt required to fund earning assets. The increase in gains on OPO included a \$2,675 increase in net gains on disposition of the preferred stock of an ethanol facility, offset by an \$81 increase in carrying value adjustments on the underlying collateral and a \$5 increase in net expenses on OPO.

Key results of operations comparisons:

	Annualized for the	Annualized for the
	Three Months Ended	Three Months Ended
	3/31/2015	3/31/2014
Return on average assets	1.02%	1.12%
Return on average shareholders' equity	12.14%	12.75%
Net interest income as a percentage		
of average earning assets	1.32%	1.34%
Charge-offs, net of recoveries, to average loans	0.00%	0.01%
Operating expenses as a percentage of		
net interest income and noninterest income	29.84%	29.18%
Operating expenses as a percentage of		
average earning assets	0.49%	0.47%

Other Comprehensive Income

Other comprehensive income consists of certain gains, losses or costs for which values are included in assets or liabilities on the balance sheets, but which have not yet been recognized in earnings. In the balance sheet, they are included in accumulated other comprehensive loss in the shareholders' equity section. For the bank, these elements include unrealized gains or losses on the bank's available-for-sale investment portfolio, elements of certain postretirement benefit changes, and changes in the value of cash flow derivative instruments.

The table below summarizes the changes in elements included in other comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31:

	2015	2014
Change in unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities Net decrease in unrealized losses on investment securities	\$ 23,536 \$	6,979
Decrease in noncredit portion of other-than- temporary impairment (OTTI) losses	 -	9
Net change in unrealized losses on securities	23,536	6,988
Change in postretirement benefit plans Amounts amortized into net periodic expense:		
Amortization of prior service credits	(46)	(48)
Amortization of net losses	17	
Net change in postretirement benefit plans	(29)	(48)
Change in cash flow derivative instruments		
Unrealized losses on interest rate caps	(172)	(288)
Reclassification of loss recognized in interest expense	482	570
Net change in cash flow derivative instruments	310	282
Other comprehensive income	\$ 23,817 \$	7,222

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Loan Portfolio

Gross loan volume at March 31, 2015, was \$13,611,602, an increase of \$351,765, or 2.7 percent, compared to \$13,259,837 at December 31, 2014. The increase in the loan portfolio is attributable to growth in the bank's direct loans to associations and an increase in the bank's capital markets loan portfolio.

The bank's capital markets loan portfolio predominantly includes participations, syndications and purchased whole loans, along with other financing structures within our lending authorities. The bank also refers to the capital markets portfolio as participations purchased. In addition to purchasing loans from our district associations, which may exceed their hold limits, the bank actively pursues the purchase of participations and syndications originated outside of the district's territory by other System institutions, commercial banks and other lenders. These loans may be held as earning assets of the bank or subparticipated to the associations or to other System entities.

The bank has purchased loan participations and Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (Farmer Mac) guaranteed agricultural mortgage-backed securities (AMBS) from associations in Capitalized Participation Pool (CPP) transactions. As a condition of the transactions, the bank redeemed stock in the amount of 2.0 percent of the par value of the loans purchased, and the associations bought bank stock equal to 8.0 percent of the purchased loans' par value and 1.6 percent of the AMBS' par value. CPP loans held at March 31, 2015, totaled \$32,988. The balance of the AMBS CPP was \$77,619 at March 31, 2015.

Loans classified under the Farm Credit Administration's Uniform Loan Classification System as "acceptable" or "other assets especially mentioned" were 98.9 percent of total loans and accrued interest at March 31, 2015, compared to 98.8 percent at December 31, 2014.

The table below summarizes the balances of the bank's high-risk assets at March 31, 2015, compared to the balances at December 31, 2014:

			Inc	rease (De	ecrease)		
	Mar	ch 31, 2015		\$	%	Decem	ber 31, 2014
Nonaccrual loans	\$	10,233	\$	(335)	(3.17) %	\$	10,568
Formally restructured loans		16,357		(124)	(0.75)		16,481
Loans 90 days past due and							
still accruing interest				-	_		
Total impaired loans		26,590		(459)	(1.70)		27,049
Other property owned, net		438		(9,872)	(95.75)		10,310
Total high-risk assets	\$	27,028	\$ ((10,331)	(27.65) %	\$	37,359

The decrease in nonaccrual loans was due mainly to repayments of \$307. At March 31, 2015, \$2.6 million, or 25.2 percent, of the bank's nonaccrual loans were considered current as to principal and interest. Continued satisfactory payment performance on these loans may indicate potential for a return to accrual status. At March 31, 2014 and 2015, the bank did not have any nonaccrual loans on which cash payments are recognized as interest income. The decrease in OPO included a \$9,872 disposition of preferred stock of an ethanol facility. The disposition resulted in a gain of \$3,090.

Impaired loans, consisting of nonaccrual loans and formally restructured loans, and loans 90 days past due and still accruing interest, constituted 0.2 percent of gross loans at March 31, 2015, and 0.2 percent of gross loans at December 31, 2014.

At March 31, 2015, the bank had reserves for credit losses totaling \$12,325, including an allowance for loan losses of \$10,247 and a reserve for losses on unfunded commitments of \$2,078 related to the bank's capital markets loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses of \$10,247 equated to 0.08 percent of total loans outstanding and 0.2 percent of capital market loans outstanding. The \$2,078 reserve for losses included a general reserve for losses on unused loan commitments, a general reserve for losses on letters of credit and a specific reserve related to two letters of credit, representing management's estimate of probable credit losses related to letters of credit.

The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of impaired loans was 38.5 percent as of March 31, 2015, as compared to 37.4 percent as of December 31, 2014. The nature of the collateral supporting many of the impaired loans (primarily first lien real estate) is considered in the determination of necessary allowances for loan losses.

Liquidity and Funding Sources

Cash and investment securities totaled \$4,496,189, or 24.6 percent, of total assets at March 31, 2015, compared to \$4,536,838, or 25.2 percent, at December 31, 2014, a decrease of \$40,649, or 0.9 percent. At March 31, 2015, the bank's cash balance was \$322,690, a \$105,671 decrease from December 31, 2014. Cash held at the Federal Reserve Bank at March 31, 2015, totaled \$309,969, compared to \$402,409 at December 31, 2014. Levels of cash and other highly liquid assets are maintained to meet loan demand, debt servicing and other liquidity needs. At March 31, 2015, the bank had 219 days of liquidity to cover maturing debt obligations. Interest-bearing liabilities, consisting of bonds, notes and subordinated debt, increased by \$247,014, or 1.5 percent, from December 31, 2014, to March 31, 2015.

Investments

The bank's investments are all considered available for sale, and include a liquidity portfolio and a portfolio of other investments. The liquidity portfolio had a fair value of \$4.08 billion at March 31, 2015, and consisted primarily of federal agency-guaranteed collateralized mortgage-backed securities [including Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) securities], corporate debt, agency-guaranteed debt, asset-backed securities and other collateralized mortgage-backed securities. The bank's other investments, totaling \$77.6 million, consisted of AMBS purchased from district associations. The Farmer Mac securities are backed by loans originated by the associations and previously held by the associations under the Farmer Mac long-term standby commitment to purchase agreements.

Farmer Mac is a government-sponsored enterprise and is examined and regulated by FCA. It provides a secondary market for agricultural and rural home mortgage loans that meet certain underwriting standards. Farmer Mac is authorized to provide loan guarantees and to be a direct pooler of agricultural mortgage loans. Farmer Mac is owned by both System and non-System investors, and its board of directors has both System and non-System representation. Farmer Mac is not liable for any debt or obligation of any System institution, and no System institution other than Farmer Mac is liable for any debt or obligation of Farmer Mac.

The following table summarizes the bank's liquidity portfolio holdings:

	March 31, 2015					December	: 31, 2014		
	Amortized Cost		Fair Value		Am	ortized Cost	Fa	nir Value	
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$	156,326	\$	155,341	\$	159,334	\$	155,190	
Corporate debt		271,510		271,885		241,516		241,530	
Federal agency-guaranteed collateralized									
mortgage-backed securities:									
GNMA		1,611,234	1	1,615,117		1,708,215		1,701,417	
FNMA and FHLMC		1,943,535	1	1,949,312		1,829,075		1,825,894	
Other collateralized mortgage-backed securities		4		4		7		7	
Asset-backed securities		83,372		83,346		81,806		81,770	
Total available-for-sale investments	\$	4,065,981	\$4	1,075,005	\$	4,019,953	\$	4,005,808	

The bank's other investments portfolio consisted of Farmer Mac AMBS securities as follows:

		March 31	, 20	15		December	31, 2014	
	Amo	rtized Cost	_Fa	air Value	Amo	rtized Cost	Fa	ir Value
Agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$	79,208	\$	77,619	\$	82,539	Fair Valu	80,583

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, there was a decrease in unrealized losses on investments totaling \$23,536, due primarily to the effect of a decline in interest rates on the bank's fixed rate mortgage-backed securities as rates for longer maturities have dropped, and to continued demand for high-quality agency mortgage-backed securities.

FCA regulations define eligible investments by specifying credit rating criteria, final maturity limit, percentage of investment portfolio limit and certain other requirements for each investment type. At the time the investments are purchased, they must be highly rated by at least one Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (NRSRO), such as Moody's Investors Service, Standard & Poor's or Fitch Ratings. U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. agency securities (except mortgage securities) and other obligations fully insured or guaranteed by the U.S. government, its agencies, instrumentalities and corporations are considered eligible investments under the FCA's regulations, even if downgraded. Under the regulations, these investments have no final maturity limit, no credit rating requirement by NRSROs, no investment portfolio limit or other requirements. If an investment no longer meets the credit rating criteria, the investment becomes ineligible. To date, the FCA has not required disposition of any of these securities. While these investments do not meet the FCA's standards for liquidity, they are included in the net collateral calculation at the lower of market or book value.

At March 31, 2015, the bank held one investment that was ineligible for liquidity purposes by FCA regulations due to credit ratings that were below AAA rating by all NRSROs. That ineligible security had an amortized cost basis and a fair value of \$4 at March 31, 2015.

The following table sets forth the bank's portfolio of liquidity investments at fair value by credit rating:

			Eligible	_	Ineligible				_				
March 31, 2015	A	AA/Aaa	AA/Aa	Split Rated*	AA	/Aa	A	Ά	BBB	/Baa	CCC	/Caa	Total
Agency-guaranteed debt**	\$	_	\$ -	\$ 155,341	\$		\$	_	\$		\$	_	\$ 155,341
Corporate debt	Ψ		127,563	144,322	Ψ	_	Ψ		Ψ	_	Ψ		271,885
Federal agency collateralized			127,505	144,522									271,003
mortgage-backed securities**													
GNMA		_	_	1,615,117		_		_		_		_	1,615,117
FNMA and FHLMC		_	_	1,949,312		_		_		_		_	1,949,312
Other collateralized				1,515,512									1,5 15,512
mortgage-backed securities		_	_	_		4		_		-		_	4
Asset-backed securities		83,346	_	_		_		_		_		_	83,346
Total	\$	83,346	\$127,563	\$ 3,864,092	\$	4	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 4,075,005
	•				•								
			Eligible					Inelig	gible				_
<u>December 31, 2014</u>	A	AA/Aaa	AA/Aa	Split Rated*	AA	/Aa	A	Ά	BBB	/Baa	CCC	/Caa	Total
Agency-guaranteed debt**	\$		s -	\$ 155,190	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$ 155,190
Corporate debt	Ф	-	97,475	\$ 133,190 144,055	Ф	-	Ф	-	Ф	-	Ф	_	241,530
Federal agency collateralized		-	91,413	144,033		-				-		-	241,330
mortgage-backed securities**													
GNMA			_	1,701,417				_		_			1,701,417
FNMA and FHLMC			_	1,825,894		_		_		_		_	1,825,894
Other collateralized		_	_	1,023,074		_		_		_		_	1,023,074
mortgage-backed securities		_	_	_		7		_		_		_	7
Asset-backed securities		81,770	_	_		-		_		_		_	81,770
Total	\$	81,770	\$ 97,475	\$ 3,826,556	\$	7	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 4,005,808

^{*}Investments that received the highest credit rating from at least one NRSRO.

Capital Resources

At March 31, 2015, the bank's capital totaled \$1,549,100, and consisted of \$600,000 of Class B non-cumulative subordinated perpetual preferred stock, \$233,468 of capital stock, \$711,637 in retained earnings and \$3,995 in accumulated other comprehensive income. The balance in equity reflected an increase of \$69,879 from equity at December 31, 2014, due primarily to net income of \$52,101 and a \$23,817 increase in other comprehensive gains, offset by preferred stock dividends of \$5,062 and \$977 in patronage declared and paid.

As of March 31, 2015, the bank exceeded the minimum permanent capital, core surplus, total surplus and net collateral ratio requirements under FCA regulations. At March 31, 2015, the bank's permanent capital ratio was 17.33 percent, core surplus ratio was 9.29 percent, total surplus ratio was 14.65 percent and the net collateral ratio was 108.32 percent. The increase in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$23,817 included a decrease in unrealized losses on investments of \$23,536 and a \$310 decrease in unrealized losses on cash flow derivative instruments, net of a \$29 amortization of other postretirement benefits. The decrease in unrealized losses on investment securities was due primarily to the effect of a decline in interest rates related to the bank's fixed rate mortgage-backed securities as rates for longer maturities have dropped, and to continued demand for high-quality agency mortgage-backed securities.

^{**}At March 31, 2015, and December 31, 2014, due to credit ratings which remain "AA+" and related lowered long-term credit ratings of government-sponsored enterprises due to the potential reduction in the capacity of the U.S. government to support these securities, these investments were reported as eligible split-rated investments.

Key financial condition comparisons:

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Permanent capital ratio	17.33%	18.33%
Net collateral ratio	108.32%	108.00%
Allowance and reserve for credit losses to total loans	0.09%	0.09%

OTHER

CONDITIONS IN THE TEXAS FARM CREDIT DISTRICT

Beneficial levels of winter precipitation fell across most parts of the Texas District during the first quarter of 2015; however, more rain is needed in parts of Texas and New Mexico to replenish ground moisture and stock tanks and lakes, as certain parts of these states remain under long-term drought conditions. Alabama, Mississippi and Louisiana, in general, have continued to experience plentiful levels of rainfall and soil moisture. Across the district, this has generally resulted in healthier pasture and range conditions, as well as sufficient moisture for the production of field crops. While the threat of drought remains, adequate topsoil and subsoil moisture levels across most parts of the district should continue to support pasture and range conditions, as well as promote optimism and planting activity during the planting season. Nevertheless, the current price environment for many principal crops could dampen total acres planted.

In the Texas District, planting season for most crops is now under way; however, crop progress for certain regions in the eastern states is behind the five-year average due to the wet conditions restricting field work. According to the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Prospective Plantings Report, farmers are expected to more heavily allocate acres to soybeans, sorghum and peanuts. The expected changes to the allocation of acres can primarily be attributed to changes in the price relationships for alternative crops, the related crop inputs and changes to the U.S. Farm Bill. Nevertheless, both cotton and corn acreage should continue to represent a relatively sizable portion of the total acres that will be allocated in the Texas District. Looking forward to the early summer months, the focus will be on growing conditions and the impact on harvested acres, yields and, ultimately, price. Farmers in the district continue to use risk management tools, such as programs under the U.S. Farm Bill, multi-peril crop insurance, and forward, futures and options contracts.

Across most of the district, reduced feed prices, coupled with the continuation of historically high protein prices, have had a positive impact on the livestock, poultry and dairy industries. The cattle industry continues to experience contracted herd levels, due to the previous prolonged drought conditions in the U.S. Plains states. However, cattle ranchers have begun the process of expanding their herds through increased cow and heifer retention. While cattle feedlots continue to manage through the effects of smaller herds, elevated beef prices and a strong corn crop have aided profitability. Most recently, dairy prices have softened; however, producers had most recently benefited from very strong milk prices, allowing many dairy producers to strengthen their balance sheet before moving into the next cycle of expansion. In addition, lower corn and hay costs remain supportive of dairymen profits. Given the limited supplies of meat, caused by the recent reduction in the cattle herd, the prior effects of the porcine virus on pork production and the continued decline in feed costs, poultry integrators were able to maintain strong margins. As livestock producers manage profitability, risk management of operations will continue to provide protection from commodity price volatility and the threat of rising production costs.

Labor markets are generally improving, and the housing and construction sector continues to recover. Global supply and demand dynamics remain supportive of the agricultural concentrations in the district loan portfolio, which is expected to contribute to the preservation of credit quality. As always, weather conditions, as well as other macro-economic forces, such as oil prices, unemployment and foreign demand, might impact portfolio profitability going forward. Moreover, job growth in certain areas of the

Texas District could be adversely impacted by lower oil prices, and, if such prices persist over the medium to long term, land values in those areas might decline. However, the district continues to be supported by strong credit quality, appropriate collateral positions, adequate levels of capital and well-balanced portfolio diversification.

ASSOCIATION MERGERS

In 2014, there were two mergers affecting four district associations. The mergers of Lone Star, ACA and Texas Land Bank, ACA, forming Lone Star, ACA, and of Texas AgFinance and AgriLand, Farm Credit Services, forming Texas Farm Credit Services, became effective January 1, 2014. The mergers were accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting under generally accepted accounting principles. As of January 1, 2014, the number of affiliated associations in the district decreased from 17 to 15, consisting of 14 Agricultural Credit Associations (ACAs) and one Federal Land Credit Association (FLCA).

During the first quarter of 2015, there was one merger affecting two district associations. The merger of AgTexas Farm Credit Services and Great Plains Ag Credit, ACA, forming AgTexas Farm Credit Services, became effective January 1, 2015. The merger was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting under generally accepted accounting principles. As of January 1, 2015, the number of affiliated associations in the district decreased from 15 to 14, consisting of 13 ACAs and one FLCA.

RATING AGENCY ACTIONS

Fitch Ratings Actions

On April 21, 2015, Fitch Ratings affirmed the bank's long-term and short-term issuer default ratings (IDRs) at "AA-" and "F1+," respectively, with a stable outlook. Fitch also affirmed the bank's subordinated debt rating at "A+," its noncumulative perpetual preferred stock rating at "BBB" and its support floor at "AA-." Fitch also affirmed the Farm Credit System's long-term and short-term issuer default ratings (IDRs) at "AAA" and "F1+," respectively, with a stable outlook, and its support floor at "AAA." As a government-sponsored entity, the System benefits from implicit government support, and thus, the ratings and rating outlook are directly linked to the U.S. sovereign rating. The affirmation of the System banks' IDRs reflect their prudent, conservative credit culture, their unique funding advantage and their structural second-loss position on the majority of their loan portfolio.

Moody's Investor Service Rating Actions

On April 30, 2015, Moody's Investors Service affirmed the bank's issuer rating at "Aa3," its subordinated debt rating at "A2," and its noncumulative preferred stock rating at "Baa1 (hyb)," with a stable outlook. The Aa3 issuer rating reflects the bank's "a1" baseline credit assessment (BCA), very high cooperative support from the other Federal Farm Credit Banks and moderate support from the U.S. Government, which has an "Aaa," stable outlook. The bank's subordinated debt and preferred stock ratings incorporate the bank's BCA, very high cooperative support from the other Federal Farm Credit Banks and notching reflecting the debt's relative positions in the bank's capital structure. The bank's BCA incorporates its solid capital levels, adequate risk-adjusted profitability and liquidity as well as the benefits associated with its lending to related associations and their strong capital levels. The "a1" BCA is one of Moody's highest assessments of any financial institution, both domestically and globally.

REGULATORY MATTERS

On January 20, 2015, FCA published a proposed rule amending existing regulations related to mergers and consolidations of System institutions that clarify the merger review and approval process, identify when the 60-day review period begins, require that only independent tabulators be authorized to validate ballots and tabulate stockholder votes on mergers and consolidations, require institutions to hold information meetings if circumstances warrant, explain the reconsideration petition process and specify the record date list to be provided to stockholders who wish to file a reconsideration petition. The period for submission of public comments expired on April 20, 2015.

On February 26, 2015, FCA published a final rule amending its regulations related to System bank and association disclosures to shareholders and investors. Under the proposed rule, there would be no reporting requirement for employees who are not senior officers and who would not otherwise be considered "highly compensated employees" except for payments related to the change(s) in value of the employee's qualified pension plan, provided that the plans were available to all employees on the same basis at the time the employees joined the plans. The regulation became effective on April 29, 2015.

As of March 31, 2015, FCA had enforcement actions in place against one association in the district, which has not had, and is not expected to have, a significant impact on the bank.

The undersigned certify that we have reviewed the March 31, 2015, quarterly report of the Farm Credit Bank of Texas, that the report has been prepared in accordance with all applicable statutory or regulatory requirements and that the information included herein is true, accurate and complete to the best of our knowledge and belief.

Larry R. Doyle

Chief Executive Officer

James F. Dodson Chairman of the Board

Amie Pala Chief Financial Officer

May 8, 2015

Controls and Procedures

The Farm Credit Bank of Texas (bank) maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information disclosed by us in our quarterly and annual reports is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions to be made regarding disclosure. With management's input, the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer have evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of and for the period covered by this quarterly report, and have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of that date.

The bank also maintains a system of internal controls. The "internal controls" as defined by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' Codification of Statement on Auditing Standards, AU Section 319, means a process — effected by the board of directors, management and other personnel — designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of objectives in the reliability of our financial reporting, the effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and of compliance with applicable laws and regulations. We continually assess the adequacy of our internal control over financial reporting and enhance our controls in response to internal control assessments, and internal and external audit and regulatory recommendations. There have been no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect such controls subsequent to the date we carried out our evaluations.

Larry R. Doyle

Chief Executive Officer

Amie Pala

Chief Financial Officer

anie Pala

May 8, 2015

Balance Sheets

(dollars in thousands)	March 31, 2015 (Unaudited)	D	ecember 31, 2014
Assets Cash Federal funds sold and overnight investments Investment securities Loans (includes \$40,055 and \$40,532 at fair value held under fair value option) Less allowance for loan losses	\$ 322,690 20,875 4,152,624 13,611,602 10,247	\$	428,361 22,086 4,086,391 13,259,837 10,112
Net loans	13,601,355		13,249,725
Accrued interest receivable Other property owned Premises and equipment, net Other assets	47,303 438 21,986 126,524		44,429 10,310 25,197 147,051
Total assets	\$ 18,293,795	\$	18,013,550
Liabilities and shareholders' equity Liabilities Bonds and notes, net Subordinated debt Accrued interest payable Reserve for credit losses Preferred stock dividends payable Other liabilities	\$ 16,588,295 50,000 41,325 2,078 20,063 42,934	\$	16,341,281 50,000 38,122 1,342 20,063 83,521
Total liabilities	16,744,695		16,534,329
Commitments and contingent liabilities (Note 4)			
Share holders' equity Preferred stock Capital stock Allocated retained earnings Unallocated retained earnings Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	600,000 233,468 22,508 689,129 3,995		600,000 233,468 22,508 643,067 (19,822)
Total shareholders' equity	1,549,100		1,479,221
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 18,293,795	\$	18,013,550

Statements of Comprehensive Income (unaudited)

	Quarter E March	
(dollars in thousands)	2015	2014
Interest Income		
Investment securities	\$ 14,808	\$12,117
Loans	87,434	80,753
Total interest income	102,242	92,870
Interest Expense		
Bonds, notes and subordinated debt	45,541	40,929
Total interest expense	45,541	40,929
Net interest income	56,701	51,941
Provision (negative provision) for credit losses	871	(3)
Net interest income after provision (negative provision) for credit losses	55,830	51,944
Noninterest Income		
Patronage income	5,409	5,364
Fees for services to associations	1,467	1,374
Loan-related fees	4,894	3,068
(Loss) gain on loans held under fair value option Miscellaneous income, net	(90) 2,714	564 245
Total noninterest income	14,394	10,615
		10,013
Noninterest Expense Salaries and employee benefits	8,946	7,597
Occupancy and equipment	4,095	3,364
Insurance Fund premiums	2,104	1,759
Gains on other property owned	(3,090)	(501)
Other operating expenses	6,068	5,534
Total noninterest expense	18,123	17,753
Net Income	52,101	44,806
Other comprehensive income		
Change in postretirement benefit plans	(29)	(48)
Change in unrealized gain on investments	23,536	6,988
Change in cash flow derivative instruments	310	282
Total other comprehensive income	23,817	7,222
Comprehensive Income	\$ 75,918 \$	52,028

Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity

(unaudited)

				Accumulated				
			Allocated	Un	allocated	Other		Total
	Preferred	Capital	Retained	F	Retained	Comprehensive	Sh	areholders'
(dollars in thousands)	Stock	Stock	Earnings	F	Earnings	Income (Loss)		Equity
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 600,000	\$ 220,543	\$ 20,314	\$	585,503	\$ (33,113)	\$	1,393,247
Net income	-	-	-		44,806	-		44,806
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-		-	7,222		7,222
Preferred stock dividends accrued	-	-	-		(5,062)	-		(5,062)
Patronage distributions								
Cash	-	-	-		(941)	-		(941)
Shareholders' equity		-	(4))	4	-		-
Balance at March 31, 2014	\$ 600,000	\$ 220,543	\$ 20,310	\$	624,310	\$ (25,891)	\$	1,439,272
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$ 600,000	\$ 233,468	\$ 22,508	\$	643,067	\$ (19,822)	\$	1,479,221
Net income	-	-	-		52,101	-		52,101
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-		-	23,817		23,817
Preferred stock dividends accrued	-	-	-		(5,062)	-		(5,062)
Patronage distributions								
Cash		_			(977)			(977)
Balance at March 31, 2015	\$600,000	\$ 233,468	\$22,508	\$	689,129	\$ 3,995	\$	1,549,100

Statements of Cash Flows

(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,							
(dollars in thousands)		2015		2014				
Operating activities								
Net income	\$	52,101		\$44,806				
Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities								
Provision (negative provision) for credit losses		871		(3)				
Carrrying value adjustments on other property owned				(81)				
Depreciation and amortization on premises and equipment		1,323		1,142				
Accretion of net premium on loans		2,041		1,441				
Amortization and accretion on debt instruments		(845)		(911)				
Amortization of net premium (discount) on investment securities		607		(730)				
(Decrease) increase in fair value on loans under fair value option		90		(564)				
Gains from sales of other property owned, net		(3,090)		(414)				
Losses (gains) from sales of premises and equipment		3,133		(16)				
Allocated equity patronage from System bank		(13,498)		(13,083)				
Increase in accrued interest receivable		(2,874)		(5,492)				
Decrease in other assets		34,336		9,178				
Increase in accrued interest payable		3,203		1,147				
Decrease in other liabilities		(8,067)		(11,660)				
Net cash provided by operating activities		69,331		24,760				
Investing activities								
Net decrease in federal funds		1,211		597				
Investment securities								
Purchases		(258,515)		(307,887)				
Proceeds from maturities, calls and prepayments		215,211		198,233				
Increase in loans, net		(366,748)		(281,676)				
Proceeds from sales of other property owned		12,962		3,228				
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment		24		33				
Expenditures for premises and equipment		(1,269)		(2,106)				
Net cash used in investing activities		(397,124)		(389,578)				
Financing activities								
Bonds and notes issued		2,829,306		2,643,463				
Bonds and notes retired		(2,581,447)		(2,389,674)				
Cash dividends on preferred stock		(5,062)		(5,062)				
Cash patronage distributions paid		(20,675)		(17,803)				
Net cash provided by financing activities		222,122		230,924				
Net decrease in cash		(105,671)		(133,894)				
Cash at beginning of year		428,361		602,452				
Cash at end of quarter	\$	322,690	\$	468,558				
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities								
Net increase in unrealized gains on investment securities	\$	23,536	\$	6,988				
Supplemental information								
Interest paid	\$	42,338	\$	39,782				

Notes to Financial Statements

Unaudited (dollar amounts in thousands unless otherwise noted)

NOTE 1 — ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accompanying financial statements include the accounts of the Farm Credit Bank of Texas (bank). The significant accounting policies followed and the financial condition and results of operations of the bank as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, are contained in the 2014 Annual Report to shareholders (Annual Report). These unaudited first quarter 2015 financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Annual Report.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited financial statements contain all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the interim financial condition and results of operations of the bank, and conform to generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires the use of management's estimates. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year.

The bank and its affiliated associations (district), are part of the federally chartered Farm Credit System (System). The bank provides funding to district associations, which, in turn, provide credit to their borrower-shareholders. At March 31, 2015, the bank provided financing to 14 district associations and certain other financing institutions.

In August 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance entitled "Presentation of Financial Statements — Going Concern." The guidance governs management's responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures. This guidance requires management to perform interim and annual assessments of an entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date the financial statements are issued or within one year after the financial statements are available to be issued, when applicable. Substantial doubt exists if it is probable that the entity will be unable to meet its obligations for the assessed period. This guidance becomes effective for interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2016, and early application is permitted. Management will be required to make its initial assessment as of December 31, 2016.

In May 2014, the FASB issued guidance entitled, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers." The guidance governs revenue recognition from contracts with customers and requires an entity to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. Financial instruments and other contractual rights within the scope of other guidance issued by the FASB are excluded from the scope of this new revenue recognition guidance. In this regard, a majority of our contracts would be excluded from the scope of this new guidance. The guidance becomes effective for the first interim reporting period within the annual reporting periods after December 15, 2016. The bank and associations are in the process of reviewing contracts to determine what effect, if any, this guidance will have on their financial condition or results of operations.

NOTE 2 — INVESTMENTS

Available for Sale

The bank's available-for-sale investments include a liquidity portfolio and a portfolio of other investments. The liquidity portfolio consists primarily of mortgage-backed securities (MBS), corporate debt, agency-guaranteed debt and asset-backed securities (ABS). The majority of the liquidity portfolio's MBS were federal agency-guaranteed collateralized MBS, including Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) securities. The bank's other investments portfolio consists of Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (Farmer Mac) guaranteed agricultural mortgage-backed securities (AMBS) purchased from district associations. A summary of the amortized cost and fair value of investment securities available for sale, at March 31, 2015, and December 31, 2014, is included in the following tables.

Investments in the available-for-sale liquidity portfolio at March 31, 2015:

	Am	ortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	τ	Gross Unrealized Losses	F	air Value	Weigh Average		- -
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$	156,326	\$ 721	\$	(1,706)	\$	155,341		1.45	%
Corporate debt		271,510	600		(225)		271,885		0.80	
Federal agency collateralized										
mortgage-backed securities										
GNMA		1,611,234	10,654		(6,771)		1,615,117		1.54	
FNMA and FHLMC		1,943,535	10,454		(4,677)		1,949,312		1.35	
Other collateralized mortgage-backed securities		4	-		-		4		2.42	
Asset-backed securities		83,372	6		(32)		83,346		0.61	
Total available-for-sale investments	\$	4,065,981	\$ 22,435	\$	(13,411)	\$	4,075,005	-	1.38	%

Investments in the available-for-sale other investments portfolio at March 31, 2015:

	Amor	tized Cost	Unre	oss alized ins	· .	Gross nrealized Losses	Fa	ir Value	Weighted Average Yield	
Agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$	79,208	\$	-	\$	(1,589)	\$	77,619	4.17	%

Investments in the available-for-sale liquidity portfolio at December 31, 2014:

	Am	ortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	1	Gross Unrealized Losses	F	air Value	Weigh Average		-
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$	159,334	\$ -	\$	(4,144)	\$	155,190		1.45	%
Corporate debt		241,516	313		(299)		241,530		0.76	
Federal agency collateralized										
mortgage-backed securities										
GNMA		1,708,215	6,212		(13,010)		1,701,417		1.54	
FNMA and FHLMC		1,829,075	6,174		(9,355)		1,825,894		1.36	
Other collateralized mortgage-backed securities		7	-		-		7		2.42	
Asset-backed securities		81,806	10		(46)		81,770		0.59	
Total available-for-sale investments	\$	4,019,953	\$ 12,709	\$	(26,854)	\$	4,005,808	-	1.40	%

Investments in the available-for-sale other investments portfolio at December 31, 2014:

	Amor	tized Cost	Gross Unre alize d Gains	l	Unr	Fross Tealized Osses	Fai	ir Value	Weighted Average Yield	_
Agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$	82,539	\$	_	\$	(1,956)	\$	80,583	4.17	7 %

The following tables summarize the contractual maturity, fair value, amortized cost and weighted average yield of available-for-sale investments at March 31, 2015:

Investments in the available-for-sale liquidity portfolio:

	(Due in one year or less	yea	e after one ar through we years	yea	ue after five ars through 10 years	Due after 10 years	Total
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$	-	\$	-	\$	76,250	\$ 79,091	\$ 155,341
Corporate debt		110,101		161,784		-	-	271,885
Federal agency collateralized mortgage-backed securities								
GNMA		-		1,147		17,535	1,596,435	1,615,117
FNMA and FHLMC		-		25,181		191,415	1,732,716	1,949,312
Other collateralized mortgage-backed securities		-		-		-	4	4
Asset-backed securities		-		77,809		-	5,537	83,346
Total fair value	\$	110,101	\$	265,921	\$	285,200	\$ 3,413,783	\$4,075,005
Total amortized cost	\$	109,998	\$	265,224	\$	284,583	\$ 3,406,176	\$4,065,981
Weighted average yield		0.59%		0.94%		1.75%	1.40%	1.38%

Investments in the available-for-sale other investments portfolio:

	year	after one through e years
Fair value of agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$	77,619
Total amortized cost Weighted average yield	\$	79,208 4.17%

Other-Than-Temporarily Impaired Investments Evaluation

The following table shows available-for-sale liquidity portfolio investments by gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time, for securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at March 31, 2015. The continuous loss position is based on the date the impairment was first identified:

		Less	Tha	an		Greate	r T	han				
		12 M	ont	hs	12 Months					Tot	tal	
		Fair	Un	re alize d		Fair	Un	re alize d		Fair	Un	re alize d
		Value	I	osses		Value	I	osses		Value	L	osses
A company assuments and dislet	¢	12 204	\$	(60)	¢	00.522	\$	(1.627)	¢	103.907	¢.	(1.706)
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$	13,384	Ф	(69)	\$	90,523	Ф	(1,637)	Ф	,	\$	(1,706)
Corporate debt		22,347		(219)		14,993		(6)		37,340		(225)
Federal agency collateralized												
mortgage-backed securities												
GNMA		58,101		(199)		408,914		(6,572)		467,015		(6,771)
FNMA and FHLMC		192,740		(680)		400,206		(3,997)		592,946		(4,677)
Other collateralized mortgage-backed securities		-		-		4		-		4		-
Asset-backed securities		55,546		(32)		-		-		55,546		(32)
Total	\$3	42,118	\$	(1,199)	\$	914,640	\$ ((12,212)	\$ 1	1,256,758	\$ ((13,411)

The bank evaluates investment securities for other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) on a quarterly basis. Impairment is considered to be other than temporary if an entity (i) intends to sell the security, (ii) is more likely than not to be required to sell the security before recovering its cost or (iii) does not expect to recover the security's entire amortized cost basis (even if the entity does not intend to sell).

To measure the amount related to credit loss in the determination of OTTI, the bank utilizes a third-party vendor's services for cash flow modeling and projection of credit losses for specific non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities and subprime asset-backed securities. Significant inputs utilized in the methodology of the modeling include assumptions surrounding market data (interest rates and home prices) and the applicable securities' loan-level data. Loan-level data evaluated includes loan status, coupon and resets, FICO scores, loan-to-value, geography, property type, etc. Loan-level data is then combined with assumptions surrounding future behavior of home prices, prepayment rates, default rates and loss severity to arrive at cash flow projections for the underlying collateral. Default rate assumptions are generally estimated using historical loss and performance information to estimate future defaults. The present value of these cash flow projections is then evaluated against the specific security's structure and credit enhancement to determine if the bond will absorb losses. In the three months ended March 31, 2015, the bank did not recognize any other-than-temporary impairment credit losses and no securities were identified as OTTI at March 31, 2015.

The following is a rollforward of the amount related to credit losses recognized for the three months ended March 31:

	20)15	2014
Credit loss component, beginning of period	\$	-	\$ 454
Additions		-	-
Reductions		-	
Credit loss component, end of period	\$	-	\$ 454

NOTE 3 — LOANS AND RESERVES FOR CREDIT LOSSES

Loans, including direct notes to district associations and other financing institutions (OFIs), participations purchased and other bank-owned loans, comprised the following categories at:

March 31, 2015

December 31, 2014

_		
Direct notes receivable from	-	
district associations and OFIs	\$ 8,597,123	\$ 8,504,806
Participations purchased	5,014,056	4,753,363
Other bank-owned loans	423	1,668
Total	\$ 13,611,602	\$ 13,259,837
A summary of the bank's loans by type follows	s:	
	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Direct notes receivable from		
district associations	\$ 8,554,884	\$ 8,465,887
Real estate mortgage	344,218	337,777
Production and intermediate term	602,512	567,721
Loans to cooperatives	185,076	141,478
Processing and marketing	2,070,338	1,951,908
Farm-related business	232,232	227,125
Communication	316,260	252,117
Energy (rural utilities)	1,064,663	1,109,552
Water and waste disposal	134,795	134,644
Rural residential real estate	16	16
Agricultural export finance	31,817	-
Loans to other financing institutions	42,239	38,919
Mission-related	32,552	32,693
Total	\$ 13,611,602	\$ 13,259,837

The bank's capital markets loan portfolio predominantly includes participations, syndications and purchased whole loans, along with other financing structures within our lending authorities. The bank also refers to the capital markets portfolio as participations purchased. In addition to purchasing loans from our district associations, which may exceed their hold limits, the bank actively pursues the purchase of participations and syndications originated outside of the district's territory by other System institutions, commercial banks and other lenders. These loans may be held as earning assets of the bank or subparticipated to the associations or to other System entities.

The bank purchases or sells participation interests with other parties in order to diversify risk, manage loan volume and comply with Farm Credit Administration regulations. The following table presents information regarding the balances of participations purchased and sold, excluding syndications, at March 31, 2015.

	O	ther Farm Cro	redit Institutions		1	Non-Farm Cro	Institutions					
	P	articipations	Pa	articipations	F	articipations	Pa	rticipations	Pa	rticipations	Pa	rticipations
]	Purchased		Sold		Purchased		Sold	P	urchased		Sold
Real estate mortgage	\$	332,260	\$	246,947	\$	-	\$	-	\$	332,260	\$	246,947
Production and intermediate term		1,578,293		1,036,495		7,289		27,509		1,585,582		1,064,004
Agribusiness		1,456,573		538,804		39,241		-		1,495,814		538,804
Communication		429,708		112,973		-		-		429,708		112,973
Energy (rural utilities)		1,238,634		183,421		-		-		1,238,634		183,421
Water and waste disposal		134,529		18,518		-		-		134,529		18,518
Agricultural export finance		31,817		-		-		-		31,817		-
Mission-related		4,885		-		-		-		4,885		-
Loans to other financing institutions		-		15,943		-		-		-		15,943
Direct note receivable from												
district associations		-		3,650,000		-		-		-		3,650,000
Total	\$	5,206,699	\$	5,803,101	\$	46,530	\$	27,509	\$ 5	5,253,229	\$:	5,830,610

The bank has purchased loan participations and Farmer Mac guaranteed AMBS from associations in Capitalized Participation Pool (CPP) transactions. As a condition of the transactions, the bank redeemed stock in the amount of 2.0 percent of the par value of the loans purchased, and the associations bought bank stock equal to 8.0 percent of the purchased loans' par value and 1.6 percent of the AMBS' par value. CPP loans held at March 31, 2015, totaled \$32,988. The balance of the AMBS CPP was \$77,619 at March 31, 2015.

The bank is authorized under the Farm Credit Act to accept "advance conditional payments" (ACPs) from borrowers. To the extent the borrower's access to such ACPs is restricted and the legal right of setoff exists, the ACPs are netted against the borrower's related loan balance. Unrestricted advance conditional payments are included in other liabilities. ACPs are not insured, and interest is generally paid by the bank on such balances. There were no significant balances of ACPs at March 31, 2015, or December 31, 2014.

During 2012, the bank elected the fair value option for certain callable loans purchased on the secondary market at a significant premium. The fair value option provides an irrevocable option to elect fair value as an alternative measurement for selected financial assets. The fair value of loans held under the fair value option totaled \$40,055 at March 31, 2015. Fair value is used for both the initial and subsequent measurement of the designated instrument, with the changes in fair value recognized in net income. On these instruments, the related contractual interest income and premium amortization are recorded as Interest Income in the Statements of Comprehensive Income. The remaining changes in fair value on these instruments are recorded as net gains (losses) in Noninterest Income on the Statements of Comprehensive Income. The fair value of these instruments is included in Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy for assets recorded at fair value on a recurring basis.

The following is a summary of the transactions on loans for which the fair value option has been elected for the three months ended March 31, 2015:

Balance at January 1, 2015	\$ 40,532
Net losses on financial instruments	
under fair value option	(90)
Change in premium	 (387)
Balance at March 31, 2015	\$ 40,055

Nonperforming assets (including related accrued interest) and related credit quality statistics are as follows:

	March 31, 2015			ember 31, 2014
Nonaccrual loans:				
Real estate mortgage	\$	3,295	\$	3,545
Energy and water/waste disposal		6,938		7,023
Total nonaccrual loans		10,233		10,568
Accruing restructured loans:				
Real estate mortgage		857		870
Production and intermediate term		12,652		12,805
Mission-related		2,848		2,806
Total accruing restructured loans		16,357		16,481
Total nonperforming loans		26,590		27,049
Other property owned Total nonperforming assets	<u> </u>	438 27,028	\$	10,310 37,359
Total holiperforming assets	P	41,040	φ	31,339

One credit quality indicator utilized by the bank and associations is the Farm Credit Administration Uniform Loan Classification System that categorizes loans into five categories. The categories are defined as follows:

- Acceptable assets are expected to be fully collectible and represent the highest quality;
- Other assets especially mentioned (OAEM) assets are currently collectible but exhibit some potential weakness;
- Substandard assets exhibit some serious weakness in repayment capacity, equity and/or collateral pledged on the loan;
- Doubtful assets exhibit similar weaknesses to substandard assets; however, doubtful assets have additional weaknesses in existing factors, conditions and values that make collection in full highly questionable; and
- Loss assets are considered uncollectible.

The following table shows loans and related accrued interest as a percentage of total loans and related accrued interest receivable by loan type as of:

	March 31, 2015		December 31, 2014	
Real estate mortgage:				
Acceptable	89.7	%	89.5	%
OAEM	9.1		9.2	
Substandard/Doubtful	1.2 100.0	%	1.3 100.0	%
Production and intermediate term:	100.0	/0	100.0	70
Acceptable	99.3	%	99.2	%
OAEM	0.7		0.8	
Substandard/Doubtful	-		-	
	100.0	%	100.0	%
Agribusiness:	00.4	•	00.4	
Acceptable	99.2	%	99.2	%
OAEM Substandard/Doubtful	0.7		0.8	
Substandard/Doubtrui	0.1 100.0	%	100.0	%
Energy and water/waste disposal:	100.0	/0	100.0	/0
Acceptable	98.4	%	98.5	%
OAEM	1.0		0.9	
Substandard/Doubtful	0.6		0.6	
	100.0	%	100.0	%
Communication:				
Acceptable	100.0	%	100.0	%
OAEM	-		-	
Substandard/Doubtful	100.0	%	100.0	%
Rural residential real estate:	100.0	70	100.0	70
Acceptable	100.0	%	100.0	%
OAEM	-	70	-	70
Substandard/Doubtful	-		_	
	100.0	%	100.0	%
Agricultural export finance:				
Acceptable	100.0	%	-	%
OAEM	-		-	
Substandard/Doubtful	100.0	%	-	%
Direct notes to associations:	100.0	70		70
Acceptable	98.4	0/0	98.2	%
OAEM	-	, u	-	70
Substandard/Doubtful	1.6		1.8	
	100.0	%	100.0	%
Loans to other financing institutions:				
Acceptable	100.0	%	100.0	%
OAEM	-		-	
Substandard/Doubtful	100.0	%	100.0	%
Mission-related:	100.0	70	100.0	70
Acceptable	93.4	0/0	93.4	%
OAEM	-	70	-	70
Substandard/Doubtful	6.6		6.6	
•	100.0	%	100.0	%
Total loans:				
Acceptable	98.4	%	98.3	%
OAEM	0.5		0.5	
Substandard/Doubtful	1.1	0/	1.2	0/
	100.0	%	100.0	%

The following tables provide an age analysis of past due loans (including accrued interest) for the entire loan portfolio (including nonaccrual loans) as of:

March 31, 2015

						No	ot Past Due			Re	corded
				90 Days		or	Less Than			Inv	estment
	30-89	9 Days		or More	Total		30 Days		Total	> 9	0 Days
	Pas	t Due	I	Past Due	Past Due]	Past Due		Loans	and.	Accruing
Real estate mortgage	\$	729	\$	733	\$ 1,462	\$	345,922	\$	347,384	\$	-
Production and intermediate term		-		-	-		604,975		604,975		-
Agribusiness		-		-	-		2,500,892		2,500,892		-
Communication		-		-	-		316,503		316,503		-
Energy and water/waste disposal		-		6,918	6,918		1,197,479		1,204,397		-
Rural residential real estate		-		-	-		16		16		-
Agricultural export finance		-		-	-		31,800		31,800		-
Direct notes to associations		-		-	-		8,572,107		8,572,107		-
Loans to other financing institutions		-		-	-		42,288		42,288		-
Mission-related		-		-	-		32,716		32,716		
Total	\$	729	\$	7,651	\$ 8,380	\$1	3,644,698	\$1	3,653,078	\$	

December 31, 2014

		9 Days		90 Days or More Past Due		Total Past Due	or	ot Past Due Less Than 30 Days Past Due		Total Loans	Reco Invest > 90 l	ment Days
Real estate mortgage	\$		\$	3,574	\$	3,574	\$	337,316	\$	340.890	\$	-
Production and intermediate term	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	569,642	_	569,642	-	_
Agribusiness		-		-		-		2,331,382		2,331,382		-
Communication		-		-		-		252,336		252,336		-
Energy and water/waste disposal		4,916		2,086		7,002		1,242,382		1,249,384		-
Rural residential real estate		-		-		-		16		16		-
Direct notes to associations		-		-		-		8,482,934		8,482,934		-
Loans to other financing institutions		-		-		-		38,966		38,966		-
Mission-related		-		-		-		32,960		32,960		
Total	\$	4,916	\$	5,660	\$	10,576	\$	13,287,934	\$	13,298,510	\$	

Additional impaired loan information is as follows:

		A	At N	1arch 31, 2015	5		At December 31, 2014							
Impaired loans with a related	R	e corde d	Un	paid Principal	R	e late d	R	ecorded	Unp	paid Principal		Related		
allowance for credit losses:	Inv	vestment	t	Balance	Al	lowance	In	vestment		Balance		Allowance		
Energy and water/waste disposal	\$	6,938	\$	6,938	\$	5,500	\$	7,023	\$	7,023	\$	5,500		
Mission-related		226		226		72		228		228		72		
Total	\$	7,164	\$	7,164	\$	5,572	\$	7,251	\$	7,251	\$	5,572		
Impaired loans with no related														
allowance for credit losses:														
Real estate mortgage	\$	4,152	\$	10,822	\$	-	\$	4,415	\$	11,056	\$	-		
Production and intermediate term		12,652		15,597		-		12,805		15,597		-		
Processing and marketing		-		1,381		-		-		1,381		-		
Energy and water/waste disposal		-		17,578		-		-		17,578		-		
Mission-related		2,622		5,763				2,578		5,763		-		
Total	\$	19,426	\$	51,141	\$	-	\$	19,798	\$	51,375	\$	-		
Total impaired loans:														
Real estate mortgage	\$	4,152	\$	10,822	\$	-	\$	4,415	\$	11,056	\$	-		
Production and intermediate term		12,652		15,597		-		12,805		15,597		-		
Processing and marketing		-		1,381		-		-		1,381		-		
Energy and water/waste disposal		6,938		24,516		5,500		7,023		24,601		5,500		
Mission-related		2,848		5,989		72		2,806		5,991		72		
Total	\$	26,590	\$	58,305	\$	5,572	\$	27,049	\$	58,626	\$	5,572		

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 March 31, 2014													
		March	31, 2	015		March ?	31, 20)14						
	A	verage	In	terest	A	verage	In	terest						
Impaired loans with a related	Iı	npaire d	In	come	Iı	npaired	In	come						
allowance for credit losses:		Loans	Rec	ognize d		Loans	Recognized							
Real estate mortgage	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,933	\$	-						
Production and intermediate term		-		-		14,509		-						
Energy and water/waste disposal		6,953		-		2,018		-						
Mission-related		227		4		203		4						
Total	\$	7,180	\$	4	\$	19,663	\$	4						
Impaired loans with no related allowance for credit losses:														
Real estate mortgage	\$	4,287	\$	37	\$	4,149	\$	79						
Production and intermediate term		12,562		531		11,281		381						
Mission-related		2,550		39		2,600		40						
Total	\$	19,399	\$	607	\$	18,030	\$	500						
Total impaired loans:														
Real estate mortgage	\$	4,287	\$	37	\$	7,082	\$	79						
Production and intermediate term		12,562		531		25,790		381						
Energy and water/waste disposal		6,953		-		2,018		-						
Mission-related		2,777		43		2,803		44						
Total	\$	26,579	\$	611	\$	37,693	\$	504						

The average recorded investment in impaired loans for the three months ended March 31, 2015, was \$26.6 million. The bank recognized interest income of \$611 on impaired loans during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

At March 31, 2015, impaired loans of \$7.2 million had a related specific allowance of \$5.6 million, while the remaining \$19.4 million of impaired loans had no related specific allowance as a result of adequate collateralization.

A summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses and period-end recorded investment in loans is as follows:

Part				Pr	oduction																		
Monte															-	Dire	ct Notes						
Page		Rea	al Estate	Int											Export								
Path		Mo	ortgage		Term	Ag	ribusiness	ca	tions		Disposal	Rea	l Estate]	Finance	Ass	ociations	C	FIs	Re	lated		Total
Properties																							
Percenter 1		\$	794	\$	304	\$	1,120	\$	200	\$	7,590	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	104	\$	10,112
Profession for credit losses 16			-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Part			-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Balance at March 31, 2015 S.797 S.393 S.11,98 S.191 S.75,36 S									(9)				-		27		-		-		1		
Productive valuated for impairment		_							-			_	-		-		-				- 40#	_	
Collectively evaluated for impairment 797 393 1,198 191 2,036 1 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 4,675 1 2 2 2 2 3 3 4,675 1 2 2 2 3 3 4,675 1 2 3 3 4,675 1 2 3 3 4,675 1 2 3 3 4,675 1 2 3 3 4,675 1 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Balance at March 31, 2015	\$	797	\$	393	\$	1,198	\$	191	\$	7,536	\$	-	\$	27	\$	-	\$		\$	105	\$	10,247
Palance at March 31, 2015 Sample of the teriorated credit quality Sample of teriorated credit quality Sample of the teriorated credit quality Sample of the teriorated credit quality Sample of teriorated credit quality Sample of the teriorated credit quality Sample of teriorated credit quality Samp	Individually evaluated for impairment		-		-		-		-		5,500		-		-		-		-		72		5,572
Balance at March 31, 2015	Collectively evaluated for impairment		797		393		1,198		191		2,036		-		27		-		-		33		4,675
Balance at December 31, 2013	Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-				-		
Charge-offs	Balance at March 31, 2015	\$	797	\$	393	\$	1,198	\$	191	\$	7,536	\$	-	\$	27	\$	-	\$		\$	105	\$	10,247
Charge-offs															_								
Recoveries		\$	1,954	\$	5,075	\$,	\$	215	\$	3,596	\$	-	\$	7	\$	-	\$	-	\$	32	\$	
Provision for credit losses			-		-				-		-		-		-		-		-		-		
Collectively evaluated for impairment 1,004 1,005 1,007 1,00			460						-		41		-		-		-		-				
Balance at March 31, 2014 Sarage 1, 3426 Sarage 2, 4, 811 Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality alunated for impairment Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality alunated for impairment Executed Investments in Loans Outstanding: Ending balance at March 31, 2015 Sarage 3, 4236 Sarage 3, 4266 Sarage 3, 4267 Sarage 3, 4268 S					189		(/5/)		-		-		-		-		-		-				
Individually evaluated for impairment 2,072 4,811		-	,	¢.	5 264	•	1.720	¢.		6	2 (27	e		¢.		¢.		6		-		6	
Collectively evaluated for impairment 1,354 453 1,739 215 1,488 - 7 7 - 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Balance at March 31, 2014	2	3,420	3	5,204	3	1,/39	3	215	3	3,037	3		3	/	3		3	_	2		3	14,391
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality Balance at March 31, 2014 **Recorded Investments** in Loans Outstanding: Ending balance at March 31, 2015 **Substituting balance at March 31, 2016 **Substituting balance at March 31, 2014	1												-				-		-				
Recorded Investments S	1		1,354		453		1,739		215		1,488		-		7		-		-		33		5,289
Recorded Investments in Loans Outstanding: Ending balance at March 31, 2015 Sarage Sa	1 1 2		-		-		-				-		-				-						
in Loans Outstanding: \$ 347,384 \$ 604,975 \$ 2,500,892 \$ 316,503 \$ 1,204,397 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 32,716 \$ 13,653,078 Individually evaluated for impairment \$ 4,152 \$ 12,652 \$ 2.00,892 \$ 316,503 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 22,659 \$ 26,599 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 29,899 \$ 26,599 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 29,899 \$ 13,626,488 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 29,899 \$ 13,626,488 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 29,899 \$ 13,626,488 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,712,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 29,899 \$ 13,626,488 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 1,941 \$ 7,453,948 \$ 37,556 \$ 33,500 \$ 12,064,948 \$ 1,202,893 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962	Balance at March 31, 2014	\$	3,426	\$	5,264	\$	1,739	\$	215	\$	3,637	\$	-	\$	7	\$	-	\$		\$	103	\$	14,391
in Loans Outstanding: \$ 347,384 \$ 604,975 \$ 2,500,892 \$ 316,503 \$ 1,204,397 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 32,716 \$ 13,653,078 Individually evaluated for impairment \$ 4,152 \$ 12,652 \$ 2.00,892 \$ 316,503 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 22,699 \$ 26,599 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 29,899 \$ 26,599 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 29,899 \$ 26,599 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 29,899 \$ 13,626,488 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 29,899 \$ 13,626,488 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,721,077 \$ 42,288 \$ 29,899 \$ 13,626,488 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 1,197,458 </td <td>Pacardad Invastments</td> <td></td>	Pacardad Invastments																						
Ending balance at March 31, 2015 \$ 347,384 \$ 604,975 \$ 2,500,892 \$ 31,6503 \$ 1,204,397 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 32,716 \$ 13,653,078 Individually evaluated for impairment Collectively evaluated for impairment \$ 4,152 \$ 12,652 \$ 2,00,892 \$ 316,503 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 26,590 \$ 26,590 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 29,892 \$ 26,590 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 29,899 \$ 13,626,488 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 29,699 \$ 13,626,488 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 29,699 \$ 13,626,488 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 1																							
Individually evaluated for impairment \$ 4,152 \$ 12,652 \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 6,939 \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ 2,847 \$ 26,590 Collectively evaluated for impairment \$ 343,232 \$ 92,323 \$ 2,500,892 \$ 316,503 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 29,699 \$ 13,626,488 Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality \$ - \$ - \$ -	· ·	s	347 384	\$	604 975	\$	2 500 892	\$3	16 503	\$	1 204 397	s	16	\$	31 800	\$:	8 572 107	\$4	2.288	\$ 3	2.716	\$13	8 653 078
Collectively evaluated for impairment \$ 343,232 \$ 592,323 \$ 2,500,892 \$ 316,503 \$ 1,197,458 \$ 16 \$ 31,800 \$ 8,572,107 \$ 42,288 \$ 29,699 \$ 13,626,488 \$ 12,000 \$ 2,000		_		_			2,500,072		10,000						31,000		3,572,107		2,200				
Ending balance at March 31, 2014 \$ 382,709 \$ 428,667 \$ 2,162,788 \$ 273,528 \$ 1,272,962 \$ 21 \$ 19,415 \$ 7,453,948 \$ 37,556 \$ 33,350 \$ 12,064,944 Individually evaluated for impairment \$ 5,313 \$ 24,689 \$ 2.162,788 \$ 21,729,62 \$ 2.174 \$ 2.8 \$ 2.8 \$ 2.8 \$ 35,051 \$ 35,051 \$ 35,051 \$ 36,051 \$ 2,162,788 \$ 21,024,788 \$ 2.174 \$ 2.8	•	_		_	,	_		-	-	_		_		_		_	-	_	-			_	
Ending balance at March 31, 2014 S 382,709 S 428,667 S 2,162,788 S 273,528 S 1,272,962 S 21 S 19,415 S 7,453,948 S 37,556 S 33,350 S 12,064,944 Individually evaluated for impairment S 5,313 S 24,689 S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S 2,875 S 35,051 Collectively evaluated for impairment S 377,396 S 403,978 S 2,162,788 S 273,528 S 1,270,788 S 21 S 19,415 S 7,453,948 S 37,556 S 30,475 S 12,029,893	•	_	343,232		592,323	_	2,500,892		16,503		1,197,458					_	8,572,107		2,288		9,869		3,626,488
Individually evaluated for impairment \$ 5,313 \$ 24,689 \$ - \$ - \$ 2,174 \$ - \$	Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$		\$		\$	
Collectively evaluated for impairment \$ 377,396 \$ 403,978 \$ 2,162,788 \$ 273,528 \$ 1,270,788 \$ 21 \$ 19,415 \$ 7,453,948 \$ 37,556 \$ 30,475 \$ 12,029,893	Ending balance at March 31, 2014	\$	382,709	\$	428,667	\$	2,162,788	\$2	73,528	\$	1,272,962	\$	21	\$	19,415	\$ '	7,453,948	\$3	7,556	\$3	3,350	\$ 12	2,064,944
Collectively evaluated for impairment \$ 377,396 \$ 403,978 \$ 2,162,788 \$ 273,528 \$ 1,270,788 \$ 21 \$ 19,415 \$ 7,453,948 \$ 37,556 \$ 30,475 \$ 12,029,893	Individually evaluated for impairment	\$	5,313	\$	24,689	\$	-	\$	_	\$	2,174	\$	_	\$		\$	-	\$	_	\$	2,875	\$	35,051
	•	\$		\$		\$	2,162,788	\$2	73,528	\$		\$			19,415	\$ '	7,453,948	\$3	7,556			\$ 12	_
Exams acquired with deteriorated credit quality ${\phi}$ ϕ ϕ ϕ ϕ ϕ ϕ	Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$	-	\$	_	\$		\$		\$		\$	-	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	

^{*} Reserve for losses on standby letters of credit recorded in other liabilities

A restructuring of a debt constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) if the creditor for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. Troubled debt restructurings are undertaken in order to improve the likelihood of recovery on the loan and may include, but are not limited to, forgiveness of principal or interest, interest rate reductions that are lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk, or significant term or payment extensions.

As of March 31, 2015, the total recorded investment of TDR loans was \$17,090 including \$733 classified as nonaccrual and \$16,357 classified as accrual, with specific allowance for loan losses of \$72. There were no additional commitments to lend to borrowers whose loan terms have been modified in TDRs at March 31, 2015, and December 31, 2014.

The following table summarizes TDR loan balances by loan type:

		Loans Modi	fied as '	TDRs	T	DRs in Nona	accrual Status		
	M	arch 31, 2015		ember 31, 2014		rch 31, 2015	December 31, 2014		
Real estate mortgage	\$	1,590	\$	1,675	\$	733	\$	805	
Production and intermediate term		12,652		12,805		-		-	
Mission-related		2,848		2,806		-		-	
Total	\$	\$ 17,090		17,286	\$	733	\$	805	

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, there were no restructured loans designated as TDR. During the period there were no payment defaults on loans that were restructured during the previous 12 months. A payment default is defined as a payment that is 30 days past due after the date the loan was restructured.

NOTE 4 — COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

The bank is primarily liable for its portion of Systemwide debt obligations. Additionally, the bank is jointly and severally liable for the consolidated Systemwide bonds and notes of the other System banks. Total consolidated bank and Systemwide obligations of the System at March 31, 2015, were approximately \$222.2 billion.

In the normal course of business, the bank has various outstanding commitments and contingent liabilities, including the possibility of actions against the bank in which claims for monetary damages may be asserted. Management and legal counsel are not aware of any other pending lawsuits or actions. Upon the basis of current information, management and legal counsel are of the opinion that the ultimate liability, if any, resulting from lawsuits or other pending actions will not be material in relation to the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the bank.

NOTE 5 — FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Accounting guidance defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability. See Note 2, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," of the 2014 Annual Report for a more complete description.

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis at March 31, 2015, for each of the fair value hierarchy levels are summarized below:

		Fa	iir Val	ue Measuremei	nts a	t March 31,	2015	
			Qı	uoted Prices	Si	ignificant		
				in Active		Other	Si	gnificant
			N	Aarkets for	O	bs e rvable	Uno	bs e rvable
			Ide	ntical Assets		Inputs		Inputs
		Total		(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(I	Level 3)
Assets:								
Federal funds	\$	20,875	\$	-	\$	20,875	\$	-
Investments available for sale:								
Corporate debt		271,885		-		271,885		-
Agency-guaranteed debt		155,341		-		155,341		-
Mortgage-backed securities	3	,564,433		-		3,564,429		4
Asset-backed securities		83,346		-		83,346		-
Mission-related and other								
available-for-sale investments		77,619		-		-		77,619
Loans valued under the								
fair value option		40,055		-		40,055		
Derivative assets		575		-		575		-
Assets held in nonqualified								
benefit trusts		343		343		-		
Total assets	\$4	,214,472	\$	343	\$	4,136,506	\$	77,623
Liabilities:								
Standby letters of credit	\$	1,443	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,443
Total liabilities	\$	1,443	\$	-	\$		\$	1,443

Loans With Fair Value Option

The bank has elected the fair value option for certain callable loans purchased on the secondary market at a significant premium. The fair value option provides an irrevocable option to elect fair value as an alternative measurement for selected financial assets. Fair value is used for both the initial and subsequent measurement of the designated instrument, with the changes in fair value recognized in net income. On these instruments, the related contractual interest income and premium amortization are recorded as Interest Income in the Statements of Comprehensive Income. The remaining changes in fair value on these instruments are recorded as net gains (losses) in Noninterest Income on the Statements of Comprehensive Income. The fair value of these instruments is included in Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy for assets recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. The fair value of loans held under the fair value option totaled \$40,055 at March 31, 2015.

The following table represents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period from January 1, 2015, to March 31, 2015:

Net
79,793
367
-
(3,980)
-
-
76,180
-

There were no transfers of assets or liabilities into or out of Level 1 from other levels during the three months ended March 31, 2015. AMBS are included in Level 3 due to limited activity or less transparency around inputs to their valuation. At March 31, 2015, Level 3 investments included one non-agency MBS. The liability for standby letters of credit is included in Level 3 due to a determination that their valuation, based on fees currently charged for similar agreements, may not closely correlate to a fair value for instruments that are not regularly traded in the secondary market.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at March 31, 2015, for each of the fair value hierarchy levels are summarized below:

		Total	in A Mark Identica	d Prices ctive ets for al Assets vel 1)	Ot Obse In	ificant the r rvable puts vel 2)	Unob I	nificant oservable nputs evel 3)	Total Gains (Losses)		
Assets:	ø	1.662	¢		¢		¢	4.662	ø		
Loans	\$	4,662	\$	-	\$	-	\$	4,662	\$	-	
Other property owned		487		-		-		487		3,090	
Total assets	\$	5,149	\$	-	\$	-	\$	5,149	\$	3,090	

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2014, for each of the fair value hierarchy levels are summarized below:

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2014 Ouoted Prices Significant												
			I	uoted Prices in Active Markets for entical Assets	O	ignificant Other bservable Inputs	Uno	gnificant bservable Inputs				
		Total		(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(1	Level 3)				
Assets:												
Federal funds	\$	22,086	\$	-	\$	22,086	\$	-				
Investments available for sale:												
Corporate debt		241,530		-		241,530		-				
Agency-guaranteed debt		155,190		=		155,190		-				
Mortgage-backed securities		3,527,318		-		3,527,311		7				
Asset-backed securities		81,770		-		81,770		-				
Mission-related and other												
available-for-sale investments		80,583		-		-		80,583				
Loans valued under the												
fair value option		40,532		-		40,532						
Derivative assets		748		-		748		=				
Assets held in nonqualified												
benefit trusts		298		298		-		=				
Total assets	\$	4,150,055	\$	298	\$	4,069,167	\$	80,590				
Liabilities:												
Standby letters of credit	\$	797	\$	_	\$	_	\$	797				
Total liabilities	\$	797	\$	-	\$	-	\$	797				

The following table represents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period from January 1, 2014, to March 31, 2014:

						Assets					Lia	bilities	
							Ag	ricultural					
			Α	Agency-	M	ortgage-	M	ortgage-	Α	sset-	Sta	ındby	
	Co	rporate	Gu	aranteed	I	Backed	E	Backed	B	acked	Let	ters of	
		Debt		Debt	S	ecurities	Se	ecurities	Sec	curities	C	redit	Net
Balance at January 1, 2014	\$	15,000	\$	26,949	\$	7,529	\$	97,423	\$	1,157	\$	-	\$148,058
Net gains (losses) included in other comprehensive loss		-		29		(175)		523		18		-	395
Purchases, issuances and settlements		-		(195)		98,896		(4,659)		(179)		-	93,863
Transfers into Level 3		-		-		-		-		-		832	(832)
Transfers out of Level 3		(15,000)		(17,582)		-							(32,582)
Balance at March 31, 2014	\$		\$	9,201	\$	106,250	\$	93,287	\$	996	\$	832	\$208,902
The amount of losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets or liabilities still held at													
March 31, 2014	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$ -

There were no transfers of assets or liabilities into or out of Level 1 from other levels during the three months ended March 31, 2014. Agricultural mortgage-backed securities are included in Level 3 due to limited activity or less transparency around inputs to their valuation. At March 31, 2014, Level 3 investments included three agency MBS and one agency-guaranteed debt instrument due to the fact that

their valuations were based on Level 3 criteria (broker quotes) and certain non-agency MBS and non-agency ABS backed by home equity. In the first three months of 2014, two agency-guaranteed debt instruments and one corporate debt instrument which had previously been included in Level 3 were valued using independent third-party valuation services using Level 2 criteria and were, accordingly, transferred from Level 3 to Level 2. The liability for standby letters of credit is included in Level 3 due to a determination that their valuation, based on fees currently charged for similar agreements, may not closely correlate to a fair value for instruments that are not regularly traded in the secondary market. For the three months ended March 31, 2014, all transfers into and out of Level 3 were related to sources of pricing information. Valuations subsequent to purchase which are obtained from independent third party services utilizing Level 2 criteria were the basis for transfers out of Level 3.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at December 31, 2014, for each of the fair value hierarchy levels are summarized below:

	,	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)		Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)		Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		Total Gains (Losses)	
Assets:										
Loans	\$	4,996	\$	-	\$	-	\$	4,996	\$	(2,362)
Other property owned		11,456		-		-		11,456		314
Total assets	\$	16,452	\$	-	\$	-	\$	16,452	\$	(2,048)

Financial assets and financial liabilities measured at carrying amounts and not measured at fair value on the Balance Sheet for each of the fair value hierarchy values are summarized as follows:

	_		March 31, 2015							December 31, 2014									
		_		Fair Valu	e Mea	s ure me nt	urements Using					Fair Value Measurements Using							
		Total Carrying Amount	M	oted Prices in Active Iarkets for ntical Assets (Level 1)	Obs I	nificant Other servable nputs evel 2)	Uı	Significant nobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Fair Value	,	Total Carrying Amount	i M Iden	oted Prices in Active arkets for tical Assets Level 1)	Obse	ficant her rvable outs rel 2)	Uı	Significant nobservable Inputs (Level 3)		Total Fair Value
Assets:																			
Cash	\$	322,690	\$	322,690	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 322,690	\$	428,361	\$	428,361	\$	-	\$	-	\$	428,361
Net loans		13,556,638		-		-		13,553,272	13,553,272		13,204,197		-		-		13,182,903		13,182,903
Total assets	\$	13,879,328	\$	322,690	\$	-	\$	13,553,272	\$ 13,875,962	\$	13,632,558	\$	428,361	\$	-	\$	13,182,903	\$	13,611,264
Liabilities: Systemwide debt securities	\$	16,588,295	\$	-	\$	_	\$	16,719,530	\$ 16,719,530	\$	16,341,281	\$	-	\$	_	\$	16,406,719	\$	16,406,719
Subordinated debt		50,000		-		_		54,196	54,196		50,000		-		-		53,989		53,989
	\$	16,638,295	\$	-	\$	-	\$	16,773,726	\$ 16,773,726	\$	16,391,281	\$	-	\$	-	\$	16,460,708	\$	16,460,708

Valuation Techniques

As more fully discussed in Note 2, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," of the Annual Report, accounting guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The following represent a brief summary of the valuation techniques used for the bank's assets and liabilities:

Investments Available for Sale

Where quoted prices are available in an active market, available-for-sale securities would be classified as Level 1. If quoted prices are not available in an active market, the fair value of securities is estimated using pricing models, quoted prices for similar securities received from pricing services or discounted cash flows. Generally, these securities would be classified as Level 2. To estimate the fair value of investments, the bank obtains prices from third-party pricing services. This would include certain mortgage-backed and

asset-backed securities. Where there is limited activity or less transparency around inputs to the valuation, the securities are classified as Level 3. Investments classified as Level 3 primarily consist of the certain non-agency mortgage-backed securities and asset-backed securities valued using independent third-party valuation services. Also included in the bank's Level 3 assets are the Farmer Mac AMBS.

Derivative Assets and Liabilities

The bank's derivative positions are valued using internally developed models that use as their basis readily observable market parameters and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Such derivatives may include fair value interest rate swaps, interest rate caps and cash flow interest rate swaps. The models used to determine the fair value of derivative assets and liabilities use an income approach based on observable inputs, primarily the LIBOR swap curve and volatility assumptions about future interest rate movements.

Assets Held in Nonqualified Benefit Trusts

Assets held in trust funds related to deferred compensation and supplemental retirement plans are classified within Level 1. The trust funds include investments that are actively traded and have quoted net asset values that are observable in the marketplace.

Standby Letters of Credit

The fair value of letters of credit approximates the fees currently charged for similar agreements or the estimated cost to terminate or otherwise settle similar obligations.

Loans

Fair value is estimated by discounting the expected future cash flows using the bank's current interest rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit risk. As the discount rates are based on the bank's loan rates as well as on management estimates, management has no basis to determine whether the fair values presented would be indicative of the value negotiated in an actual sale.

For purposes of determining fair value of accruing loans, the loan portfolio is segregated into pools of loans with homogeneous characteristics. Expected future cash flows and discount rates reflecting appropriate credit risk are determined separately for each individual pool.

For loans which are valued at fair value under the fair value pricing option, if quoted prices are not available in an active market, the fair value is estimated using pricing models, quoted prices for similar instruments received from pricing services or discounted cash flows. Generally, these loans would be classified as Level 2. To estimate the fair value of these instruments, the bank obtains prices from third-party pricing services.

For certain loans evaluated for impairment, the fair value is based upon the underlying collateral since the loans were collateral-dependent loans for which real estate is the collateral. These loans are generally classified as Level 3. The fair value measurement process uses independent appraisals and other market-based information, but in many cases it also requires significant input based on management's knowledge of and judgment about current market conditions, specific issues relating to the collateral and other matters. As a result, these fair value measurements fall within Level 3 of the hierarchy. When the value of the real estate, less estimated costs to sell, is less than the principal balance of the loan, a specific reserve is established.

Bonds and Notes

Systemwide debt securities are not all traded in the secondary market and those that are traded may not have readily available quoted market prices. Therefore, the fair value of the instruments is estimated by calculating the discounted value of the expected future cash flows. The discount rates used are based on

the sum of quoted market yields for the Treasury yield curve and an estimated yield-spread relationship between System debt instruments and Treasury securities. We estimate an appropriate yield-spread taking into consideration selling group member (banks and securities dealers) yield indications, observed new government-sponsored enterprise debt security pricing, and pricing levels in the related U.S. dollar interest rate swap market.

Subordinated Debt

The fair value of these obligations is determined by discounting expected future cash flows based on the Treasury yield curve.

Other Property Owned

Other property owned (OPO) is generally classified as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The process for measuring the fair value of other property owned involves the use of independent appraisals or other market-based information. Costs to sell represent transaction costs and are not included as a component of the asset's fair value. As a result, these fair value measurements fall within Level 3 of the hierarchy.

Sensitivity to Changes in Significant Unobservable Inputs

For recurring fair value measurements categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, the significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the mortgage-backed securities are prepayment rates, probability of default and loss severity in the event of default. Significant increases (decreases) in any of those inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

Generally, a change in the assumption used for the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption used for the loss severity and a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates.

Quoted market prices may not be available for the instruments presented below. Accordingly, fair values are based on internal models that consider judgments regarding anticipated cash flows, future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments and other factors. These estimates involve uncertainties and matters of judgment, and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Quantitative Information About Recurring and Nonrecurring Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

	$\label{eq:Valuation} \textbf{Valuation Technique}(s)$	Unobservable Input
Mortgage-backed securities	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment rate Probability of default Loss severity
Asset-backed securities	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment rate Probability of default Loss severity
Mission-related investments	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment rates

Information About Recurring and Nonrecurring Level 2 Fair Value Measurements

Valuation Technique(s) Input

Federal funds sold Carrying value Par/principal

Investment securities available for sale Quoted prices Price for similar asset

Discounted cash flow Constant prepayment rate

Appropriate interest rate yield curve

Loans held under the fair value option Quoted prices Price for similar instruments

Discounted cash flow Constant prepayment rate

Appropriate interest rate yield curve

Interest rate caps Discounted cash flow Appropriate interest rate yield curve

Annualized volatility

Information About Other Financial Instrument Fair Value Measurements

Valuation Technique(s) Input

Cash Carrying value Actual balances

Loans Discounted cash flow Prepayment forecasts

Appropriate interest rate yield curve

Probability of default

Loss severity

Systemwide debt securities and

subordinated debt

Discounted cash flow

Benchmark yield curve Derived yield spread

Own credit risk

NOTE 6 — DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The bank maintains an overall interest rate risk management strategy that incorporates the use of derivative products to minimize significant unplanned fluctuations in earnings that are caused by interest rate volatility. The bank's goal is to manage interest rate sensitivity by modifying the repricing or maturity characteristics of certain balance sheet assets and liabilities so that movements in interest rates do not adversely affect the net interest margin. The bank considers the strategic use of derivatives to be a prudent method of managing interest rate sensitivity, as it prevents earnings from being exposed to undue risk posed by changes in interest rates.

Although the bank held no interest rate swaps at March 31, 2015, it may enter into these derivative transactions to lower funding costs, diversify sources of funding, alter interest rate exposures arising from mismatches between assets and liabilities or better manage liquidity. Interest rate swaps allow the bank to raise long-term borrowings at fixed rates and swap them into floating rates to better match the repricing characteristics of earning assets. Under interest rate swap arrangements, the bank agrees with other parties to exchange, at specified intervals, payment streams calculated on a specified notional principal amount, with at least one stream based on a specified floating-rate index.

The bank may purchase interest rate options, such as caps, in order to reduce the impact of rising interest rates on its floating-rate debt. The notional amounts of the interest rate caps held and the amount of activity during the period are summarized in the following table:

	Interest Rate				
	Caps				
Balance at January 1, 2015 Additions	\$	615,000			
Maturities/Amortizations		(150,000)			
Balance at March 31, 2015	\$	465,000			

To minimize the risk of credit losses, the bank deals with counterparties that have an investment grade or better credit rating from a major rating agency, and also monitors the credit standing and levels of exposure to individual counterparties. In addition, substantially all derivative contracts are supported by bilateral collateral agreements with counterparties requiring the posting of collateral in the event certain dollar thresholds of exposure of one party to another are reached, which thresholds may vary, depending on the counterparty's credit rating. The bank does not anticipate nonperformance by any of these counterparties. However, derivative contracts must be reflected in the financial statements on a gross basis regardless of the netting agreement. At March 31, 2015, and December 31, 2014, the bank's exposure to counterparties was \$575 and \$748, respectively. At March 31, 2015, and December 31, 2014, the bank had posted no securities as collateral, nor had any counterparty been required to post collateral.

Cash Flow Hedges

The bank's interest rate caps at March 31, 2015, and December 31, 2014, which are designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge, all meet the standards for accounting treatment that presume full effectiveness. Thus, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive loss.

	Balance	Fair	Fair		
Derivatives designated as	Sheet	Value	Value		
hedging instruments	Location	3/31/2015	12/31/2014		
Interest rate caps	Other assets	\$ 575	\$ 748		
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments	Amount of Recognized i	n OCL ives	Location of Gain Reclassification from AOCI into Income	Reclass:	t of Gain ified from to Income
as neuging instruments	(Effective Po		Hicome		e Portion)
	March 3				ch 31,
	<u>2015</u>	<u> 2014</u>		<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Interest rate caps	\$ (172) \$	(288)	Interest expense	\$ 482	\$ 570

NOTE 7 — EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

In addition to pension benefits, the bank provides certain health care benefits to qualifying retired employees (other postretirement benefits). These benefits are not characterized as multiemployer and, consequently, the liability for these benefits is included in other liabilities. Bank employees hired after January 1, 2004, will be eligible for retiree medical benefits for themselves and their spouses at their expense but will be responsible for 100 percent of the related premiums. The following table summarizes the components of net periodic benefit costs for the bank's other postretirement benefit costs for the three months ended March 31:

	Other Postretirement						
	Benefits						
	2015			014			
Service cost	\$	70	\$	53			
Interest cost		124		106			
Amortization of prior service costs		(46)		(48)			
Amortization of net loss		17					
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	165	\$	111			

The structure of the district's defined benefit pension plan is characterized as multiemployer, since the assets, liabilities and cost of the plan are not segregated or separately accounted for by participating employers (bank and associations).

NOTE 8 — ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) includes the accumulated balance of certain gains, losses or costs for which values are included in assets or liabilities on the balance sheets, but which have not yet been recognized in earnings. For the bank, these elements include unrealized gains or losses on the bank's available-for-sale investment portfolio, amortization of retirement benefit elements and changes in the value of cash flow derivative instruments.

The following table summarizes the changes in the balance of the components of AOCI for the three months ended March 31, 2015:

				Re	tirement	Ca	sh Flow
		(Loss) Gain		ain Benefit		De	rivative
	 Total	on	Securities	Plans		Inst	ruments
Balance, January 1, 2015	\$ (19,822)	\$	(16,100)	\$	(1,027)	\$	(2,695)
Change in unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities							
Net decrease in unrealized losses on investment securities	23,536		23,536				
Net decrease in unrealized losses on securities	23,536		23,536				
Change in postretirement benefit plans							
Amounts amortized into net periodic expense:							
Amortization of prior service credits	(46)				(46)		
Amortization of net losses	17	_			17	_	
Net change in postretirement benefit plans	(29)	_			(29)		
Change in cash flow derivative instruments							
Unrealized losses on interest rate caps	(172)						(172)
Reclassification of amount recognized in interest expense	 482	_					482
Net change in cash flow derivative instruments	 310	=" =:					310
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	23,817		23,536		(29)		310
Balance, March 31, 2015	\$ 3,995	\$	7,436	\$	(1,056)	\$	(2,385)

The following table summarizes the changes in the balance of the components of accumulated other comprehensive loss (AOCL) for the three months ended March 31, 2014:

	 Total	Unrealized (Loss) Gain on Securities		Retirement Benefit Plans		Der	sh Flow rivative ruments
Balance, January 1, 2014	\$ (33,113)	\$ (30,303)	\$ 1	,642	\$	(4,452)
Change in unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities Net change in unrealized gains on investment securities Decrease in noncredit portion of other-than-	6,979		6,979				
temporary impairment (OTTI) losses	 9		9				
Net increase in unrealized losses on securities	 6,988		6,988				
Change in postretirement benefit plans Amounts amortized into net periodic expense: Amortization of prior service credits	(48)	_			(48)		
Net change in postretirement benefit plans	 (48)	=	-		(48)		
Change in cash flow derivative instruments							
Unrealized losses on interest rate caps	(288)						(288)
Reclassification of loss recognized in interest expense	570	_			_		570
Net change in cash flow derivative instruments	 282	_			-		282
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	7,222		6,988		(48)		282
Balance, March 31, 2014	\$ (25,891)	\$ (23,315)	\$ 1	,594	\$	(4,170)

The following table summarizes reclassifications from AOCI to the Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31:

Component of AOCI		Reclassified AOCI	Affected Line in the Statement of Comprehensive Income
	2015	2014	
Amortization of net credits on post- retirement benefit plan	(29)	(48)	Salaries and employee benefits
Amortization on cash flow hedges	482	570	Interest expense
Total reclassifications	\$ 453	\$ 522	

NOTE 9 — SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The bank has evaluated subsequent events through May 8, 2015, which is the date the financial statements were issued. There are no other significant subsequent events requiring disclosure as of May 8, 2015.

NOTE 10 — COMBINED ASSOCIATION FINANCIAL DATA

Condensed financial information for the associations follows. All significant transactions and balances between the associations are eliminated in combination. The multiemployer structure of certain of the district's retirement and benefit plans results in the recording of these plans only in the district's combined financial statements.

Balance sheet data	Ma	arch 31, 2015	December 31, 2014		
Cash	\$	4,751		8,840	
Investment securities		35,507		39,086	
Loans		14,604,205		14,547,612	
Less allowance for loan losses		51,777		54,245	
Net loans	·	14,552,428		14,493,367	
Accrued interest receivable	·	122,827		122,702	
Other property owned		20,682		22,400	
Other assets		373,787		372,360	
Total assets	\$	15,109,982	\$	15,058,755	
Notes payable Other liabilities Total liabilities Capital stock and participation certificates Retained earnings	\$	12,191,626 238,656 12,430,282 283,991 2,405,709	\$	12,110,352 327,132 12,437,484 208,306 2,422,878	
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(10,000)		(9,913)	
Total members' equity		2,679,700		2,621,271	
Total liabilities and members' equity	\$	15,109,982	\$	15,058,755	

	Three Months Ended March 31,							
Statement of income data		2015	2014					
Interest income	\$	169,686	\$	157,064				
Interest expense		56,133		50,572				
Net interest income		113,553		106,492				
Provision for loan losses		2,589		(1,816)				
Net interest income after provision		_		_				
for loan losses		110,964		108,308				
Noninterest income		18,835		13,827				
Other expense		58,972		49,616				
Provision for income taxes		136		171				
Net income		70,691		72,348				
Other comprehensive loss:								
Change in postretirement benefit plans		(88)		(79)				
Total other comprehensive loss		(88)		(79)				
Comprehensive Income	\$	70,603	\$	72,269				