

Fulfilling Our Mission



2014

SECOND QUARTER REPORT

June 30, 2014



F A R M C R E D I T B A N K O F T E X A S

SECOND QUARTER 2014

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	2
Controls and Procedures	13
Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	14
Statements of Comprehensive Income	15
Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity	16
Statements of Cash Flows.....	17
Notes to Financial Statements.....	18

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

(dollars in thousands, except as noted)

The following discussion reviews the financial condition and results of operations of the Farm Credit Bank of Texas (bank) for the six months ended June 30, 2014. These comments should be read in conjunction with the accompanying financial statements and footnotes, along with the 2013 Annual Report to shareholders. The accompanying financial statements were prepared under the oversight of the bank's audit committee.

The bank is a member of the Farm Credit System (System), a nationwide network of cooperatively owned financial institutions established by and subject to the provisions of the Farm Credit Act of 1971, as amended, and the regulations of the Farm Credit Administration (FCA) promulgated thereunder.

The United States is currently served by three Farm Credit Banks (FCBs), each of which has specific authority to fund affiliated associations and other financing institutions (OFIs) making loans to agricultural producers, farm-related businesses and rural home owners within a regional chartered territory (or district), and by one Agricultural Credit Bank (ACB), which has the lending authority of an FCB within its chartered territory and nationwide authority limited to finance agricultural cooperatives and rural utilities. The FCBs and the ACB are collectively referred to as "System banks." As FCBs, the primary purpose of the System banks is to serve as a source of funding for System associations within their districts. The System associations make loans to or for the benefit of borrowers for qualified purposes.

The bank and its affiliated associations collectively are referred to as the "district." At June 30, 2014, the bank provided financing to 15 district associations and certain other financing institutions.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Income

Net income for the quarter ended June 30, 2014, was \$46,488, an increase of \$3,887, or 9.1 percent, over the same period of 2013. The increase in net income consisted of a \$4,942 decrease in provision for credit losses and a \$1,642 increase in net interest income, offset by a \$1,586 increase in noninterest expense and a \$1,111 decrease in noninterest income.

Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2014, was \$91,294, a decrease of \$5,079, or 5.3 percent, over the same period of 2013. The decrease in net income consisted of a \$6,248 decrease in noninterest income, a \$2,115 decrease in net interest income, and a \$2,556 increase in noninterest expense, offset by a \$5,840 decrease in provision for credit losses.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2014, was \$56,142, an increase of \$1,642, or 3.0 percent, from the three months ended June 30, 2013. The increase in net interest income for the quarter ended June 30, 2014, was attributable to a volume increase of \$1.209 billion in the bank's average earning assets, offset by a 7-basis-point decrease in the bank's interest rate spread to 133 basis points. Effective interest rates on earning assets decreased 10 basis points from the second quarter of 2013 to the second quarter of 2014, while effective rates on interest-bearing liabilities decreased 3 basis points. The decline in the net interest rate spread resulted primarily from competitive pressures and spread compression due to demand for high quality loans and investment securities. The increase in the

bank's average earning assets included growth in its capital market loans, investment portfolio and direct notes from associations.

Net interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2014, was \$108,083, a decrease of \$2,115, or 1.9 percent, over the same period of 2013. The decrease in net interest income was attributable to a 14-basis-point decrease in the bank's interest rate spread to 130 basis points, offset by the effects of a volume increase of \$1.062 billion in the bank's average earning assets. The interest rate spread decrease included a 13-basis-point decrease in the effective rate on average earning assets and a 1-basis-point increase in the effective rate on average interest-bearing liabilities for the six months ended June 30, 2014, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2013. The effective rates on earning assets have declined as new volume and existing loans reprice to lower interest rates due to current interest and competitive pressures. The increase in the bank's average earning assets included an increase in its capital market loans, investment portfolio and direct notes from associations. Interest expense for the first six months of 2014 reflected a \$1.4 million decrease in concession expenses recognized on callable debt as a result of a \$976.7 million decrease in debt called in the first six months of 2014, compared to the same period in 2013. The bank called \$1.59 billion in debt in the six months ended June 30, 2014.

Provision for Credit Losses

The bank's negative provision for credit losses for the quarter ended June 30, 2014, totaled \$692, a decrease of \$4,942, or 116.3 percent, from the second quarter of 2013. The provision for the quarter consisted of \$6 in negative specific provision and \$686 in negative general provision. The reduction in general provision for credit losses included the effects of repayments and credit upgrades on certain substandard loans.

The bank's negative provision for credit losses for the six months ended June 30, 2014, totaled \$695, a decrease of \$5,840, or 113.5 percent, over the provision for credit losses for the first six months of 2013.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income for the quarter ended June 30, 2014, was \$9,086, a decrease of \$1,111, or 10.9 percent, over the same period of 2013. The decrease for the second quarter of 2014 over the same period of 2013 was due mainly to a \$1,386 decrease in loan-related fee income, a \$305 decrease in patronage income, a \$77 decrease in services billed to associations, and a \$294 decrease in all other noninterest income elements, offset by a \$951 increase in fair value on loans purchased in the secondary market due primarily to changes in the interest rate market. The bank has elected a fair value option for financial presentation purposes on certain loans purchased on the secondary market at a significant premium. The fair value option provides an irrevocable option to elect fair value as an alternative measurement for selected financial instruments.

As discussed in the "Investments" section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis, the bank performs other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) assessments on investment securities based on evaluations of both current and future market and credit conditions at each quarter end. The bank recorded no credit losses for OTTI investment securities during the second quarter of 2014.

Noninterest income for the six months ended June 30, 2014, was \$19,701, a decrease of \$6,248, or 24.1 percent, over the same period of 2013. The decrease was due mainly to a \$7,407 decrease in loan-related fee income, a \$211 decrease in patronage income, and a \$300 decrease in all other income items, collectively, offset by a \$938 increase in fair value on loans previously purchased in the secondary market, a \$589 increase in services billed to associations, and a \$143 decrease in credit losses realized on OTTI investment securities. The decrease in loan-related fee income is primarily due to a nonrecurring

\$6.0 million prepayment fee received in the first quarter of 2013. The bank recorded no credit losses for OTTI investment securities in the six months ended June 30, 2014.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2014, was \$19,432, an increase of \$1,586, or 8.9 percent, over the same period of 2013. The increase was attributable to a \$630 increase in salaries and employee benefits, a \$499 increase in occupancy and equipment expenses, a \$418 increase in premiums assessed by the FCSIC, and a \$414 increase in other operating expenses, offset by a \$375 decrease in losses on other property owned (OPO). The \$630 increase in salaries and benefits included a \$440 increase in compensation and related payroll taxes. The increase in occupancy and equipment included a \$474 increase in computer expenses. Premiums to the FCSIC, assessed on average System debt outstanding, increased from 10 basis points in 2013 to 12 basis points in 2014. The decrease in OPO included a \$259 decrease in carrying value adjustments on the underlying collateral.

Noninterest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2014, was \$37,185, an increase of \$2,556, or 7.4 percent, over the same period of 2013. The increase was attributable to a \$1,416 increase in occupancy and equipment expenses, a \$1,028 increase in salaries and employee benefits, a \$770 increase in premiums assessed by the FCSIC, and a \$573 increase in other operating expenses, offset by a \$1,231 decrease in losses on other property owned (OPO). The increase in occupancy and equipment included a \$1,657 increase in computer expenses. The \$1,028 increase in salaries and benefits included an \$818 increase in compensation and related payroll taxes. Premiums to the FCSIC, assessed on average System debt outstanding, increased as a result of the rate increase from 10 basis points in 2013 to 12 basis points in 2014. The decrease in losses on OPO included a \$920 decrease in carrying value adjustments on the underlying collateral, a \$189 increase in net gains on disposition of OPO, and a \$121 decrease in net expenses on OPO.

Key results of operations comparisons:

	Annualized for the Six Months Ended 6/30/2014	Annualized for the Six Months Ended 6/30/2013
Return on average assets	1.12%	1.26%
Return on average shareholders' equity	12.75%	14.75%
Net interest income as a percentage of average earning assets	1.37%	1.50%
Charge-offs, net of recoveries, to average loans	0.04%	0.11%
Operating expenses as a percentage of net interest income and noninterest income	29.42%	24.83%
Operating expenses as a percentage of average earning assets	0.48%	0.46%

Other Comprehensive Loss

Other comprehensive loss consists of certain gains, losses or costs for which values are included in assets or liabilities on the balance sheets, but which have not yet been recognized in earnings. In the balance sheet, they are included in accumulated other comprehensive loss in the shareholders' equity section. For the bank, these elements include unrealized gains or losses on the bank's available-for-sale investment portfolio, elements of certain postretirement benefit changes, and changes in the value of cash flow derivative instruments.

The table below summarizes the changes in elements included in other comprehensive income (loss) for the six months ended June 30:

	2014	2013
Change in unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities		
Net decrease (increase) in unrealized losses on investment securities	\$ 15,895	\$ (39,457)
Decrease in noncredit portion of other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses	21	970
Reclassification adjustment for OTTI credit losses included in net income	-	143
Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on securities	15,916	(38,344)
Change in postretirement benefit plans		
Amounts amortized into net periodic expense:		
Amortization of prior service credits	(96)	(96)
Amortization of net losses	-	9
Net change in postretirement benefit plans	(96)	(87)
Change in cash flow derivative instruments		
Unrealized (losses) gains on interest rate caps	(591)	393
Reclassification of loss recognized in interest expense	1,209	627
Net change in cash flow derivative instruments	618	1,020
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 16,438	\$ (37,411)

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Loan Portfolio

Gross loan volume at June 30, 2014, was \$12,295,277, an increase of \$516,536, or 4.4 percent, compared to \$11,778,741 at December 31, 2013. The increase in the loan portfolio is attributable to growth in the bank's capital markets loan portfolio and an increase in the bank's direct loans to associations.

In 2011, 2012 and July 2013, the bank purchased a total of \$53,011 in loan participations from two district associations in Capitalized Participation Pool (CPP) transactions. In April 2014, the bank purchased \$4,228 in loan participations and \$9,052 in Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (Farmer Mac) guaranteed agricultural mortgage-backed securities (AMBS) from one association in CPP transactions. As a condition of the transactions, the bank redeemed stock in the amount of 2.0 percent of the par value of the loans purchased, and the associations bought bank stock equal to 8.0 percent of the purchased loans' par value and 1.6 percent of the AMBS' par value. CPP loans held at June 30, 2014, totaled \$42,174. The balance of the AMBS CPP was \$96,447 at June 30, 2014.

Loans classified under the Farm Credit Administration's Uniform Loan Classification System as "acceptable" or "other assets especially mentioned" were 98.6 percent of total loans and accrued interest at June 30, 2014, compared to 98.2 percent at December 31, 2013. The increase included the effects of high-quality loans added to the bank's capital markets loan portfolio and an increase in the bank's direct notes from associations.

The table below summarizes the balances of the bank's high-risk assets at June 30, 2014, compared to the balances at December 31, 2013:

	June 30, 2014	<u>Increase (Decrease)</u>		<u>December 31, 2013</u>
		\$	%	
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 19,078	\$ (9,054)	(32.18) %	\$ 28,132
Formally restructured loans	12,444	(38)	(0.30)	12,482
Total impaired loans	31,522	(9,092)	(22.39)	40,614
Other property owned, net	10,936	(2,876)	(20.82)	13,812
Total high-risk assets	\$ 42,458	\$ (11,968)	(21.99) %	\$ 54,426

The decrease in nonaccrual loans was due mainly to repayments of \$10,874 and net charge-offs of \$2,309, net of \$4,135 in advances on nonaccrual loan committed lines of credit. During the six months ended June 30, 2014, the bank recorded charge-offs totaling \$2,362 against the allowance for loan losses due to known losses on loans in the ornamental nursery products and ethanol sectors. At June 30, 2014, \$12.3 million, or 64.5 percent, of the bank's nonaccrual loans were considered current as to principal and interest. Continued satisfactory payment performance on these loans may indicate potential for a return to accrual status. At June 30, 2014, the bank had \$1.5 million in nonaccrual loans on which cash payments are recognized as interest income, compared to \$1.5 million at December 31, 2013. The decrease in other property owned included a \$5,414 net decrease in real estate properties held, and a \$2,538 decrease in the fair value adjustments on other property owned. The decrease in properties held resulted primarily from the disposal of two properties totaling \$3,267, with a net gain of \$453 on disposition.

Impaired loans, consisting of nonaccrual loans and formally restructured loans, and loans 90 days past due and still accruing interest, constituted 0.3 percent of gross loans at June 30, 2014, and 0.3 percent of gross loans at December 31, 2013.

At June 30, 2014, the bank had reserves for credit losses totaling \$16,184, including an allowance for loan losses of \$11,609 and a reserve for losses on unfunded commitments of \$4,575 related to the bank's capital markets loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses of \$11,609 equated to 0.09 percent of total loans outstanding and 0.26 percent of capital market loans outstanding. The \$4,575 reserve for losses included a general reserve for losses on unused loan commitments, a general reserve for losses on letters of credit and a specific reserve related to one letter of credit, representing management's estimate of probable credit losses related to letters of credit.

In December 2013, the bank implemented a change in its general reserve methodology by reserving against 50 percent on any unused loan commitments. The bank also adopted revised Moody's probability of default percentages which were applied for calculations of general reserves for loans and unfunded commitments (letters of credit and unused commitments).

The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of impaired loans was 36.83 percent as of June 30, 2014, as compared to 33.6 percent as of December 31, 2013. The nature of the collateral supporting many of the impaired loans (primarily first lien real estate) is considered in the determination of necessary allowances for loan losses.

Liquidity and Funding Sources

Cash and investment securities totaled \$4,389,590, or 26.0 percent, of total assets at June 30, 2014, compared to \$4,262,116, or 26.3 percent, at December 31, 2013, an increase of \$127,474, or 3.0 percent. At June 30, 2014, the bank's cash balance was \$542,045, a \$60,407 decrease from December 31, 2013. Cash held at the Federal Reserve Bank at June 30, 2014, totaled \$520,223, compared to

\$572,754 at December 31, 2013. Levels of cash and other highly liquid assets are maintained to meet loan demand, debt servicing and other liquidity needs. At June 30, 2014, the bank had 241 days of liquidity to cover maturing debt obligations. Interest-bearing liabilities, consisting of bonds, notes and subordinated debt, increased by \$631,394, or 4.3 percent, from December 31, 2013, to June 30, 2014.

Investments

The bank's investments are all considered available for sale, and include a liquidity portfolio and a portfolio of other investments. The liquidity portfolio had a fair value of \$3.73 billion at June 30, 2014, and consisted primarily of federal agency-guaranteed collateralized mortgage-backed securities, corporate debt, agency-guaranteed debt, asset-backed securities and other collateralized mortgage-backed securities. The bank's other investments, totaling \$96.4 million, consisted of Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (Farmer Mac) guaranteed agricultural mortgage-backed securities (AMBS) purchased from district associations. The Farmer Mac securities are backed by loans originated by the associations and previously held by the associations under the Farmer Mac long-term standby commitment to purchase agreements.

Farmer Mac is a government-sponsored enterprise and is examined and regulated by FCA. It provides a secondary market for agricultural and rural home mortgage loans that meet certain underwriting standards. Farmer Mac is authorized to provide loan guarantees and to be a direct pooler of agricultural mortgage loans. Farmer Mac is owned by both System and non-System investors, and its board of directors has both System and non-System representation. Farmer Mac is not liable for any debt or obligation of any System institution, and no System institution other than Farmer Mac is liable for any debt or obligation of Farmer Mac.

The majority of the bank's mortgage-backed securities were federal agency-guaranteed collateralized mortgage-backed securities, including Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) securities.

The following table summarizes the bank's liquidity portfolio holdings:

	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$ 130,143	\$ 126,306	\$ 135,738	\$ 130,024
Corporate debt	223,990	224,401	250,312	249,579
Federal agency-guaranteed collateralized mortgage-backed securities:				
GNMA	1,698,396	1,694,195	1,690,952	1,680,426
FNMA and FHLMC	1,638,292	1,633,960	1,431,037	1,421,578
Other collateralized mortgage-backed securities	7,184	7,113	7,736	7,529
Asset-backed securities	43,309	43,365	51,320	51,296
Total available-for-sale investments	<u>\$ 3,741,314</u>	<u>\$ 3,729,340</u>	<u>\$ 3,567,095</u>	<u>\$ 3,540,432</u>

The bank's other investments portfolio consisted of Farmer Mac AMBS securities as follows:

	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$ 98,860	\$ 96,447	\$ 101,063	\$ 97,423

During the six months ended June 30, 2014, there was a decrease in unrealized losses on investments totaling \$15,916, due primarily to recent changes in the interest rate environment.

At June 30, 2014, the bank had one asset-backed security (ABS) that was considered other-than-temporarily impaired (OTTI). During the six months ended June 30, 2014, there were no credit losses recognized on OTTI investments. The non-credit-related unrealized loss on the bank's other-than-temporarily impaired investment, totaling \$21, is included in accumulated other comprehensive loss. The bank performs other-than-temporary impairment assessments on investment securities based on evaluations of both current and future market and credit conditions at each quarter end. The process for evaluation of impairment of investments is more fully discussed in Note 2, "Investments."

FCA regulations define eligible investments by specifying credit rating criteria, final maturity limit, percentage of investment portfolio limit and certain other requirements for each investment type. At the time the investments are purchased, they must be highly rated by at least one Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (NRSRO), such as Moody's Investors Service, Standard & Poor's or Fitch Ratings. U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. agency securities (except mortgage securities) and other obligations fully insured or guaranteed by the U.S. government, its agencies, instrumentalities and corporations are considered eligible investments under the FCA's regulations, even if downgraded. Under the regulations, these investments have no final maturity limit, no credit rating requirement by NRSROs, no investment portfolio limit or other requirements. If an investment no longer meets the credit rating criteria, the investment becomes ineligible. To date, the FCA has not required disposition of any of these securities. While these investments do not meet the FCA's standards for liquidity, they are included in the net collateral calculation at the lower of market or book value.

At June 30, 2014, the bank held seven investments that were ineligible for liquidity purposes by FCA regulations due to credit ratings that were below AAA rating by all NRSROs. Those ineligible securities had an amortized cost basis of \$8,136 and a fair value of \$8,030 at June 30, 2014.

The following table sets forth the bank's portfolio of liquidity investments at fair value by credit rating:

June 30, 2014	Eligible			Ineligible				Total
	AAA/Aaa	AA/Aa	Split Rated	AA/Aa	A/A	BBB/Baa	CCC/Caa	
Agency-guaranteed debt*	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 126,306	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 126,306
Corporate debt	-	74,975	149,426	-	-	-	-	224,401
Federal agency collateralized mortgage-backed securities*								
GNMA	-	-	1,694,195	-	-	-	-	1,694,195
FNMA and FHLMC	-	-	1,633,960	-	-	-	-	1,633,960
Other collateralized mortgage-backed securities	-	-	-	2,657	-	4,456	-	7,113
Asset-backed securities	42,447	-	-	-	640	-	278	43,365
Total	\$ 42,447	\$ 74,975	\$ 3,603,887	\$ 2,657	\$ 640	\$ 4,456	\$ 278	\$ 3,729,340

December 31, 2013	Eligible			Ineligible				Total
	AAA/Aaa	AA/Aa	Split Rated	AA/Aa	A/A	BBB/Baa	CCC/Caa	
Agency-guaranteed debt*	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 130,024	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 130,024
Corporate debt	-	75,832	173,747	-	-	-	-	249,579
Federal agency collateralized mortgage-backed securities*								
GNMA	-	-	1,680,426	-	-	-	-	1,680,426
FNMA and FHLMC	-	-	1,421,578	-	-	-	-	1,421,578
Other collateralized mortgage-backed securities	-	-	-	2,696	-	4,833	-	7,529
Asset-backed securities	50,138	-	-	-	882	-	276	51,296
Total	\$ 50,138	\$ 75,832	\$ 3,405,775	\$ 2,696	\$ 882	\$ 4,833	\$ 276	\$ 3,540,432

*Due to credit rating actions in 2011 which downgraded the credit rating of the U.S. government from "AAA" to "AA+" and also lowered the long-term credit ratings of government-sponsored enterprises due to the potential reduction in the capacity of the U.S. government to support these securities, these investments were reported as eligible split-rated investments.

Capital Resources

At June 30, 2014, the bank's capital totaled \$1,474,181, and consisted of \$600,000 of Class B non-cumulative subordinated perpetual preferred stock, \$220,761 of capital stock and \$670,095 in retained earnings, offset by \$16,675 in accumulated other comprehensive loss. The balance in equity reflected an increase of \$80,934 from equity at December 31, 2013, due primarily to net income of \$91,294 and \$16,438 in other comprehensive gains, offset by preferred stock dividends of \$25,125 and \$1,891 in patronage declared and paid.

As of June 30, 2014, the bank exceeded the minimum permanent capital, core surplus, total surplus and net collateral ratio requirements under Farm Credit Administration regulations. At June 30, 2014, the bank's permanent capital ratio was 18.38 percent, core surplus ratio was 9.67 percent, total surplus ratio was 15.39 percent and the net collateral ratio was 108.75 percent. Shareholders' equity at June 30, 2014, totaled \$1,474,181, an increase of \$80,934 from December 31, 2013. This increase is the result of net income of \$91,294 for the six months ended June 30, 2014, a \$16,438 decrease in accumulated other comprehensive loss, and net stock issuances of \$218, offset by dividends on preferred stock totaling \$25,125 and patronage paid of \$1,891. The decrease in accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$16,438 included a decrease in unrealized losses on investments of \$15,916 and a \$618 decrease in unrealized losses on cash flow derivative instruments, net of a \$96 amortization of other postretirement benefits. The decrease in unrealized losses on investment securities was due primarily to changes in the interest rate environment.

Key financial condition comparisons:

	<u>June 30, 2014</u>	<u>December 31, 2013</u>
Permanent capital ratio	18.38%	21.64%
Net collateral ratio	108.75%	108.67%
Allowance and reserve for credit losses to total loans	0.13%	0.16%

OTHER

CONDITIONS IN THE TEXAS FARM CREDIT DISTRICT

During the second quarter of 2014, states in the eastern portion of the district continued to benefit from adequate to surplus levels of soil moisture, generally resulting in good pasture and range conditions, as well as sufficient moisture for field crops. Late spring and early summer rains have improved conditions in Texas, with the majority of Texas regions now carrying improved levels of topsoil moisture. This has improved pasture and range conditions in the state, as well as the outlook for field crops. Nevertheless, drought conditions in most of north Texas continue to be classified as severe to exceptional. Nearly the entire state of New Mexico remains in a state of drought. Despite the drought conditions, field crops remain in fair to good condition, while pasture and range land are primarily rated as poor.

The 2014 farm season is in progress, as most crops across district states are in the ground. Farmers across the eastern states and Texas have had the benefit of late spring and early summer rains, and most major crops are reported as being in fair to excellent condition. Looking forward to the late summer and early fall, the focus will be on precipitation and temperatures and the impact on harvested acres, yields and, ultimately, price. Farmers in the district continue to use risk management tools, such as programs under the U.S. Farm Bill and multi-peril crop insurance, as well as forward, futures and options contracts.

Across most of the district, reduced feed prices, coupled with generally higher protein prices, have had a positive impact on the livestock, poultry and dairy industries. The cattle industry continues to experience contracted herd levels due to the prolonged drought conditions; however, cattle ranchers in many areas in the district have begun the process of expanding the herd through increased heifer retention. Cattle feedlots continue to manage through the effects of a smaller herd, while elevated beef prices and a strong corn crop have aided profitability. Dairy producers are currently benefiting from very strong milk prices and are feeling some relief due to reduced feed costs. Given the declining number of cattle being fattened out to finish, effects of the porcine virus on the pork production and the decline in feed costs, poultry growers should be able to maintain margins, despite increased production of chicken. As livestock producers manage profitability, risk management of operations will continue to provide protection from commodity price volatility and the threat of rising production costs.

Labor markets are generally improving, and the housing and construction sector continues to recover. Global supply and demand dynamics are favorable to the district loan portfolio, and are expected to contribute to the preservation of credit quality moving further into 2014. As always, weather conditions, as well as other macro-economic forces, such as unemployment and foreign demand, might impact portfolio profitability going forward. However, the district continues to be supported by strong credit quality and well-balanced portfolio diversification.

ASSOCIATION MERGERS

In 2014, there were two mergers affecting four district associations. The mergers of Lone Star, ACA and Texas Land Bank, ACA, forming Lone Star, ACA, and of Texas AgFinance and AgriLand, Farm Credit Services, forming Texas Farm Credit Services, became effective January 1, 2014. The mergers were accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting under generally accepted accounting principles. As of January 1, 2014, the number of affiliated associations in the district decreased from 17 to 15, consisting of 14 ACAs and one FLCA.

RATING AGENCY ACTIONS

Fitch Ratings Actions

On May 5, 2014, Fitch Ratings affirmed the bank's long-term and short-term issuer default ratings (IDRs) at "AA-" and "F1+," respectively, with a stable outlook. Fitch also affirmed the bank's subordinated debt rating at "A+," its noncumulative perpetual preferred stock rating at "BBB" and its support floor at "AA-." Fitch also affirmed the Farm Credit System's long-term and short-term issuer default ratings (IDRs) at "AAA" and "F1+," respectively, with a stable outlook, and its support floor at "AAA." As a government-sponsored entity, the System benefits from implicit government support, and thus, the ratings and rating outlook are directly linked to the U.S. sovereign rating. The affirmation of the System banks' IDRs reflect their prudent, conservative credit culture, their unique funding advantage and their structural second-loss position on the majority of their loan portfolio.

On July 1, 2014, Moody's Investors Service affirmed the bank's issuer rating at "Aa3," its subordinated debt rating at "A2," and its noncumulative preferred stock rating at "Baa1 (hyb)," with a stable outlook. The Aa3 issuer rating reflects the bank's "a1" baseline credit assessment (BCA), very high cooperative support from the other Federal Farm Credit Banks and moderate support from the U.S. Government, which has an "Aaa," stable outlook. The bank's subordinated debt and preferred stock ratings incorporate the bank's BCA and very high cooperative support from the other Federal Farm Credit Banks. The bank's BCA incorporates its solid capital levels, adequate risk-adjusted profitability and liquidity as well as the benefits associated with its lending to related associations and their strong capital levels. The "a1" BCA is one of Moody's highest assessments of any financial institution, both domestically and globally.

REGULATORY MATTERS

As of June 30, 2014, FCA had enforcement actions in place against one association in the district, which has not had, and is not expected to have, a significant impact on the bank.

On March 13, 2014, the FCA Board approved an interim final rule to remove all requirements related to nonbinding advisory votes regarding chief executive officer (CEO) and senior officer compensation at Farm Credit System institutions. The comment period for the interim rule ended on April 30, 2014, and the final rule became effective on June 18, 2014. Other provisions of recent regulation regarding enhanced transparency of compensation for CEOs and senior officers and enhanced responsibilities of the compensation committees of boards of directors continue to be in effect.

On May 8, 2014, the Farm Credit Administration approved a proposed rule to modify the regulatory capital requirements for System banks and associations. The objectives of the proposed rule are as follows:

- To modernize capital requirements while ensuring that institutions continue to hold sufficient regulatory capital to fulfill their mission as a government-sponsored enterprise.
- To ensure that the System's capital requirements are comparable to the Basel III framework and the standardized approach that the federal banking regulatory agencies have adopted, but also to ensure that the rules recognize the cooperative structure and the organization of the System.
- To make System regulatory capital requirements more transparent.
- To meet the requirements of section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.

Once the proposed rule is published in the Federal Register, the 120-day public comment period will commence.

On June 12, 2014, the Farm Credit Administration Board approved a proposed rule to modify regulations related to eligible investments for the banks and associations of the Farm Credit System (FCS or System). The proposed rule would strengthen the safety and soundness of the investment activities of System banks by more accurately reflecting the risk in particular investments. It would also comply with a provision of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act by replacing credit rating requirements with other standards of creditworthiness. In addition, the proposed rule would grant associations greater flexibility regarding the risk management purposes for which they may hold investments while placing new limits on the amounts and types of investments they may hold. It would strengthen risk management practices at associations and require greater bank oversight of association investments. The proposed rule will require the 30-day period for congressional review before being published in the Federal Register with a 90-day comment period.

The undersigned certify that we have reviewed the June 30, 2014, quarterly report of the Farm Credit Bank of Texas, that the report has been prepared in accordance with all applicable statutory or regulatory requirements, and that the information included herein is true, accurate and complete to the best of our knowledge and belief.



Larry R. Doyle
Chief Executive Officer



James F. Dodson
Chairman of the Board



Amie Pala
Chief Financial Officer

August 8, 2014

Controls and Procedures

The Farm Credit Bank of Texas (bank) maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information disclosed by us in our quarterly and annual reports is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions to be made regarding disclosure. With management's input, the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer have evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of and for the period covered by this quarterly report, and have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of that date.

The bank also maintains a system of internal controls. The "internal controls" as defined by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' Codification of Statement on Auditing Standards, AU Section 319, means a process — effected by the board of directors, management and other personnel — designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of objectives in the reliability of our financial reporting, the effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and of compliance with applicable laws and regulations. We continually assess the adequacy of our internal control over financial reporting and enhance our controls in response to internal control assessments, and internal and external audit and regulatory recommendations. There have been no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect such controls subsequent to the date we carried out our evaluations.



Larry R. Doyle
Chief Executive Officer



Amie Pala
Chief Financial Officer

August 8, 2014

Balance Sheets

(dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2014 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2013
Assets		
Cash	\$ 542,045	\$ 602,452
Federal funds sold and overnight investments	21,758	21,809
Investment securities	3,825,787	3,637,855
Loans (includes \$58,060 and \$58,461 at fair value held under fair value option)	12,295,277	11,778,741
Less allowance for loan losses	11,609	13,660
Net loans	12,283,668	11,765,081
Accrued interest receivable	39,918	37,657
Other property owned, net	10,936	13,812
Premises and equipment, net	23,240	23,214
Other assets	114,842	110,837
Total assets	\$ 16,862,194	\$ 16,212,717
Liabilities and shareholders' equity		
Liabilities		
Bonds and notes, net	\$ 15,233,406	\$ 14,602,012
Subordinated debt	50,000	50,000
Accrued interest payable	34,708	37,749
Reserve for credit losses	4,575	5,529
Preferred stock dividends payable	20,063	20,063
Other liabilities	45,261	104,117
Total liabilities	15,388,013	14,819,470
Commitments and contingent liabilities (Note 4)		
Shareholders' equity		
Preferred stock, net	600,000	600,000
Capital stock	220,761	220,543
Allocated retained earnings	20,310	20,314
Unallocated retained earnings	649,785	585,503
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(16,675)	(33,113)
Total shareholders' equity	1,474,181	1,393,247
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 16,862,194	\$ 16,212,717

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Statements of Comprehensive Income

(unaudited)

(dollars in thousands)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Interest Income				
Investment securities	\$ 12,890	\$ 13,248	\$ 25,007	\$ 26,671
Loans	82,718	78,903	163,471	158,416
Total interest income	95,608	92,151	188,478	185,087
Interest Expense				
Bonds, notes and subordinated debt	39,466	37,651	80,395	74,889
Total interest expense	39,466	37,651	80,395	74,889
Net interest income	56,142	54,500	108,083	110,198
(Negative provision) provision for credit losses	(692)	4,250	(695)	5,145
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	56,834	50,250	108,778	105,053
Noninterest Income				
Patronage income	4,164	4,469	9,528	9,739
Fees for services to associations	825	902	2,199	1,610
Loan-related fees	2,336	3,722	5,404	12,811
Gain (loss) on loans held under fair value option	80	(871)	644	(294)
Miscellaneous income, net	1,681	1,975	1,926	2,226
Impairment losses on investments				
Total other-than-temporary impairment gains (losses)	-	-	-	(143)
Less: portion of gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-
Net impairment loss recognized in earnings	-	-	-	(143)
Total noninterest income	9,086	10,197	19,701	25,949
Noninterest Expense				
Salaries and employee benefits	7,385	6,755	14,982	13,954
Occupancy and equipment	3,039	2,540	6,403	4,987
Insurance Fund premiums	1,914	1,496	3,673	2,903
Losses (gains) on other property owned, net	88	463	(413)	818
Other operating expenses	7,006	6,592	12,540	11,967
Total noninterest expense	19,432	17,846	37,185	34,629
Net Income	46,488	42,601	91,294	96,373
Other comprehensive income (loss)				
Change in postretirement benefit plans	(48)	(43)	(96)	(87)
Change in unrealized loss on investments	8,928	(32,179)	15,916	(38,344)
Change in cash flow derivative instruments	336	693	618	1,020
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	9,216	(31,529)	16,438	(37,411)
Comprehensive Income	\$ 55,704	\$ 11,072	\$ 107,732	\$ 58,962

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity

(unaudited)

(dollars in thousands)	Preferred Stock	Capital Stock	Allocated Retained Earnings	Unallocated Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 482,000	\$ 212,588	\$ 16,984	\$ 534,438	\$ 27,833	\$ 1,273,843
Net income	-	-	-	96,373	-	96,373
Other comprehensive loss	-	-	-	-	(37,411)	(37,411)
Preferred stock dividends accrued	-	-	-	(21,881)	-	(21,881)
Patronage distributions						
Cash	-	-	-	(1,863)	-	(1,863)
Shareholders' equity	-	-	1	(1)	-	-
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 482,000	\$ 212,588	\$ 16,985	\$ 607,066	\$ (9,578)	\$ 1,309,061
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 600,000	\$ 220,543	\$ 20,314	\$ 585,503	\$ (33,113)	\$ 1,393,247
Net income	-	-	-	91,294	-	91,294
Other comprehensive gain	-	-	-	-	16,438	16,438
Capital stock issued	-	483	-	-	-	483
Capital stock retired	-	(265)	-	-	-	(265)
Preferred stock dividends accrued	-	-	-	(25,125)	-	(25,125)
Patronage distributions						
Cash	-	-	-	(1,891)	-	(1,891)
Shareholders' equity	-	-	(4)	4	-	-
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$ 600,000	\$ 220,761	\$ 20,310	\$ 649,785	\$ (16,675)	\$ 1,474,181

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Statements of Cash Flows

(unaudited)

(dollars in thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013
Operating activities		
Net income	\$ 91,294	\$ 96,373
Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities		
(Negative provision) provision for credit losses	(695)	5,145
Carrying value adjustments on other property owned	63	983
Depreciation and amortization on premises and equipment	2,262	1,923
Accretion of net discount on loans	2,967	238
Amortization and accretion on debt instruments	(1,824)	(1,656)
Amortization of net discount on investment securities	(436)	(582)
(Increase) decrease in fair value on loans under fair value option	(644)	294
Gains from sales of other property owned, net	(453)	(264)
Losses on impairment of investments available-for-sale	-	143
Gains from sales of premises and equipment	(16)	-
Allocated equity patronage from System bank	(13,083)	(12,406)
Increase in accrued interest receivable	(2,261)	(1,164)
Decrease in other assets	9,696	6,997
(Decrease) increase in accrued interest payable	(3,041)	1,070
Decrease in other liabilities	(8,557)	(5,017)
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>75,272</u>	<u>92,077</u>
Investing activities		
Net decrease in federal funds	51	1,648
Investment securities		
Purchases	(601,103)	(703,993)
Proceeds from maturities, calls and prepayments	429,523	536,278
Proceeds from sales	-	6,528
Increase in loans, net	(554,702)	(378,915)
Proceeds from sale of loans	-	324,149
Proceeds from sales of other property owned, net	3,266	14,261
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment	33	-
Expenditures for premises and equipment	(2,305)	(4,964)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(725,237)</u>	<u>(205,008)</u>
Financing activities		
Bonds and notes issued	5,293,008	5,397,677
Bonds and notes retired	(4,659,790)	(5,376,715)
Capital stock issued	483	-
Capital stock retired		
and allocated retained earnings distributed	(265)	-
Cash dividends on preferred stock	(25,125)	(21,881)
Cash patronage distributions paid	(18,753)	(13,804)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>589,558</u>	<u>(14,723)</u>
Net decrease in cash	(60,407)	(127,654)
Cash at beginning of year	602,452	502,242
Cash at end of quarter	<u>\$ 542,045</u>	<u>\$ 374,588</u>
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities		
Loans transferred to other property owned	\$ -	\$ 2,277
Net decrease (increase) in unrealized losses on investment securities	15,916	(38,344)
Supplemental schedule of noncash changes in fair value related to hedging activities		
Decrease in bonds and notes	\$ -	\$ (91)
Supplemental information		
Interest paid	\$ 83,436	\$ 73,819

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Notes to Financial Statements

Unaudited (dollar amounts in thousands unless otherwise noted)

NOTE 1 — ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accompanying financial statements include the accounts of the Farm Credit Bank of Texas (bank). The significant accounting policies followed and the financial condition and results of operations of the bank as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013, are contained in the 2013 Annual Report to shareholders (Annual Report). These unaudited second quarter 2014 financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Annual Report.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited financial statements contain all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the interim financial condition and results of operations of the bank, and conform to generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires the use of management's estimates. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year.

The bank and its affiliated associations (district), are part of the federally chartered Farm Credit System (System). The bank provides funding to district associations, which, in turn, provide credit to their borrower-shareholders. At June 30, 2014, the bank provided financing to 15 district associations and certain other financing institutions.

In February 2013, the FASB issued guidance, "Reporting of Amounts Reclassified out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income." The guidance requires entities to present either parenthetically on the face of the financial statements or in the notes to the financial statements, significant amounts reclassified from each component of accumulated other comprehensive income and the income statement line items affected by the reclassification. The guidance became effective for public entities for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2012, and for nonpublic entities for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2013. The adoption of this guidance did not impact the financial condition or results of operations, but resulted in additional disclosures.

NOTE 2 — INVESTMENTS

Available for Sale

The bank's available-for-sale investments include a liquidity portfolio and a portfolio of other investments. The liquidity portfolio consists primarily of mortgage-backed securities (MBS), corporate debt, agency-guaranteed debt and asset-backed securities (ABS). The majority of the liquidity portfolio's MBS were federal agency-guaranteed collateralized MBS, including Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) securities. The bank's other investments portfolio consists of Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (Farmer Mac) guaranteed agricultural mortgage-backed securities (AMBS) purchased from district associations. A summary of the amortized cost and fair value of investment securities available for sale, at June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, is included in the following tables.

Investments in the available-for-sale liquidity portfolio at June 30, 2014:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Weighted Average Yield
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$ 130,143	\$ 20	\$ (3,857)	\$ 126,306	1.53 %
Corporate debt	223,990	527	(116)	224,401	0.76
Federal agency collateralized mortgage-backed securities					
GNMA	1,698,396	8,836	(13,037)	1,694,195	1.48
FNMA and FHLMC	1,638,292	5,179	(9,511)	1,633,960	1.23
Other collateralized mortgage-backed securities	7,184	-	(71)	7,113	2.74
Asset-backed securities	43,309	90	(34)	43,365	0.62
Total available-for-sale investments	\$ 3,741,314	\$ 14,652	\$ (26,626)	\$ 3,729,340	1.32 %

Investments in the available-for-sale other investments portfolio at June 30, 2014:

	June 30, 2014				
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Weighted Average Yield
Agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$ 98,860	\$ -	\$ (2,413)	\$ 96,447	4.23 %

The bank's agricultural mortgage-backed securities (AMBS) at June 30, 2014, included a \$9.1 million purchase of AMBS from a district association in a Capitalized Participation Pool (CPP) transaction.

Investments in the available-for-sale liquidity portfolio at December 31, 2013:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Weighted Average Yield
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$ 135,738	\$ -	\$ (5,714)	\$ 130,024	1.53 %
Corporate debt	250,312	482	(1,215)	249,579	0.83
Federal agency collateralized mortgage-backed securities					
GNMA	1,690,952	9,400	(19,926)	1,680,426	1.43
FNMA and FHLMC	1,431,037	4,838	(14,297)	1,421,578	1.16
Other collateralized mortgage-backed securities	7,736	-	(207)	7,529	2.76
Asset-backed securities	51,320	43	(67)	51,296	0.61
Total available-for-sale investments	\$ 3,567,095	\$ 14,763	\$ (41,426)	\$ 3,540,432	1.28 %

Investments in the available-for-sale other investments portfolio at December 31, 2013:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Weighted Average Yield
Agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$ 101,063	\$ -	\$ (3,640)	\$ 97,423	4.29 %

The following tables summarize the contractual maturity, fair value, amortized cost and weighted average yield of available-for-sale investments at June 30, 2014:

Investments in the available-for-sale liquidity portfolio:

	Due in one year or less	Due after one year through five years	Due after five years through 10 years	Due after 10 years	Total
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 126,306	\$ 126,306
Corporate debt	50,129	174,272	-	-	224,401
Federal agency collateralized mortgage-backed securities					
GNMA	-	1,658	26,813	1,665,724	1,694,195
FNMA and FHLMC	-	29,216	209,898	1,394,846	1,633,960
Other collateralized mortgage-backed securities	-	18	-	7,095	7,113
Asset-backed securities	-	35,894	-	7,471	43,365
Total fair value	\$ 50,129	\$ 241,058	\$ 236,711	\$ 3,201,442	\$ 3,729,340
Total amortized cost	\$ 50,062	\$ 240,161	\$ 234,442	\$ 3,216,649	\$ 3,741,314
Weighted average yield	0.54%	0.89%	2.01%	1.31%	1.32%

Investments in the available-for-sale other investments portfolio:

	Due after one year through five years
Fair value of agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$ 96,447
Total amortized cost	\$ 98,860
Weighted average yield	4.23%

Other-Than-Temporarily Impaired Investments Evaluation

The following table shows available-for-sale liquidity portfolio investments by gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time, for securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at June 30, 2014. The continuous loss position is based on the date the impairment was first identified:

	Less Than 12 Months		Greater Than 12 Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$ 14,285	\$ (31)	\$ 94,845	\$ (3,826)	\$ 109,130	\$ (3,857)
Corporate debt	39,881	(71)	14,936	(45)	54,817	(116)
Federal agency collateralized mortgage-backed securities						
GNMA	538,846	(4,268)	433,659	(8,769)	972,505	(13,037)
FNMA and FHLMC	400,873	(1,073)	496,662	(8,438)	897,535	(9,511)
Other collateralized mortgage-backed securities	4,456	(26)	2,657	(45)	7,113	(71)
Asset-backed securities	-	-	918	(34)	918	(34)
Total	\$ 998,341	\$ (5,469)	\$ 1,043,677	\$ (21,157)	\$ 2,042,018	\$ (26,626)

The bank evaluates investment securities for other-than-temporary impairment on a quarterly basis. Impairment is considered to be other than temporary if an entity (i) intends to sell the security, (ii) is more likely than not to be required to sell the security before recovering its cost or (iii) does not expect to recover the security's entire amortized cost basis (even if the entity does not intend to sell).

In the six months ended June 30, 2014, the bank did not recognize any other-than-temporary impairment credit losses. The non-credit-related net decrease in fair value on the bank's other-than-temporarily impaired investments for the six months ended June 30, 2014, totaling \$21, is included as a credit to other comprehensive loss. At June 30, 2014, the bank had one asset-backed security that was considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

As the bank has no intention to sell the security deemed other-than-temporarily impaired and will not more likely than not be required to sell the security before recovery, only the credit loss portion of impairment would be recognized through earnings. To measure the amount related to credit loss in the determination of other-than-temporary impairment, the bank utilizes a third-party vendor's services for cash flow modeling and projection of credit losses for specific non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities and subprime asset-backed securities. Significant inputs utilized in the methodology of the modeling include assumptions surrounding market data (interest rates and home prices) and the applicable securities' loan-level data. Loan-level data evaluated includes loan status, coupon and resets, FICO scores, loan-to-value, geography, property type, etc. Loan-level data is then combined with assumptions surrounding future behavior of home prices, prepayment rates, default rates and loss severity to arrive at cash flow projections for the underlying collateral. Default rate assumptions are generally estimated using historical loss and performance information to estimate future defaults. The present value of these cash flow projections is then evaluated against the specific security's structure and credit enhancement to determine if the bond will absorb losses.

The following are the assumptions used at:

<u>Assumptions Used</u>	June 30, 2014	
	<u>Mortgage- Backed Securities</u>	<u>Asset-Backed Securities</u>
Default by range	0.9% - 4.2%	4.5% - 8.1%
Prepayments rate by range	4.2% - 19.3%	1.9% - 4.4%
Loss severity by range	10.0% - 39.3%	62.6% - 65.1%

<u>Assumptions Used</u>	December 31, 2013	
	<u>Mortgage- Backed Securities</u>	<u>Asset-Backed Securities</u>
Default by range	0.5% - 6.1%	8.1% - 12.4%
Prepayments rate by range	4.0% - 19.4%	2.8% - 6.8%
Loss severity by range	17.0% - 31.0%	55.9% - 59.7%

The following is a rollforward of the amount related to credit losses recognized for the three and six months ended June 30:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Credit loss component, beginning of period	\$ 454	\$ 1,600	\$ 454	\$ 5,084
Additions:				
Subsequent credit impairment	-	-	-	143
Reductions:				
For securities sold	-	-	-	(3,627)
Credit loss component end of period	<u>\$ 454</u>	<u>\$ 1,600</u>	<u>\$ 454</u>	<u>\$ 1,600</u>

NOTE 3 — LOANS AND RESERVES FOR CREDIT LOSSES

Loans, including direct notes to district associations and other financing institutions (OFIs), participations purchased and other bank-owned loans, comprised the following categories at:

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Direct notes receivable from district associations and OFIs	\$ 7,832,114	\$ 7,360,025
Participations purchased	4,461,222	4,416,737
Other bank-owned loans	1,941	1,979
Total	<u>\$ 12,295,277</u>	<u>\$ 11,778,741</u>

A summary of the bank's loans by type follows:

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Direct notes receivable from district associations	\$ 7,795,192	\$ 7,325,645
Real estate mortgage	369,424	387,766
Production and intermediate term	448,073	458,351
Loans to cooperatives	174,674	139,994
Processing and marketing	1,797,475	1,725,617
Farm-related business	116,327	131,366
Communication	261,301	230,499
Energy (rural utilities)	1,118,601	1,177,463
Water and waste disposal	124,460	114,704
Rural residential real estate	21	21
Agricultural export finance	19,821	19,651
Loans to other financing institutions	36,922	34,380
Mission-related	32,986	33,284
Total	\$ 12,295,277	\$ 11,778,741

The bank purchases or sells participation interests with other parties in order to diversify risk, manage loan volume and comply with Farm Credit Administration regulations. The following table presents information regarding the balances of participations purchased and sold, excluding syndications, at June 30, 2014.

	Other Farm Credit Institutions		Non-Farm Credit Institutions		Total	
	Participations Purchased	Participations Sold	Participations Purchased	Participations Sold	Participations Purchased	Participations Sold
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Real estate mortgage	354,558	287,279	23,883	-	378,441	287,279
Production and intermediate term	1,002,042	613,190	9,841	25,086	1,011,883	638,276
Agribusiness	1,204,520	448,778	22,092	-	1,226,612	448,778
Communication	350,722	89,142	-	-	350,722	89,142
Energy (rural utilities)	1,292,096	183,130	-	-	1,292,096	183,130
Water and waste disposal	137,613	22,894	-	-	137,613	22,894
Agricultural export finance	19,821	-	-	-	19,821	-
Mission-related	4,995	-	-	-	4,995	-
Loans to other financing institutions	-	23,071	-	-	-	23,071
Direct note receivable from district associations	-	3,650,000	-	-	-	3,650,000
Total	\$ 4,366,367	\$ 5,317,484	\$ 55,816	\$ 25,086	\$ 4,422,183	\$ 5,342,570

In 2011 and 2012, the bank purchased \$20,653 in loan participations from a district association in a Capitalized Participation Pool (CPP) transaction, and in July 2013 purchased another \$32,358 in loan participations from another association under the same terms. In April 2014, the bank purchased \$4,228 in loan participations and \$9,052 in Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (Farmer Mac) guaranteed agricultural mortgage-backed securities (AMBS) from one association in CPP transactions. The purchases represent 80.0 percent of the outstanding balances of the loans placed by the association in the CPP. As a condition of the transactions, the bank redeemed stock in the amount of 2.0 percent of the par value of the loans and AMBS purchased, and the association bought bank stock equal to 8.0 percent of the purchased loans' par value and 1.6 percent of the AMBS' value. CPP loans held at June 30, 2014, totaled \$42,174. The balance of the AMBS CPP was \$96,447 at June 30, 2014.

The bank is authorized under the Farm Credit Act to accept “advance conditional payments” (ACPs) from borrowers. To the extent the borrower’s access to such ACPs is restricted and the legal right of setoff exists, the ACPs are netted against the borrower’s related loan balance. Unrestricted advance conditional payments are included in other liabilities. ACPs are not insured, and interest is generally paid by the bank on such balances. There were no significant balances of ACPs at June 30, 2014, or December 31, 2013.

During 2012, the bank elected the fair value option for certain callable loans purchased on the secondary market at a significant premium. The fair value option provides an irrevocable option to elect fair value as an alternative measurement for selected financial assets. The fair value of loans held under the fair value option totaled \$58,060 at June 30, 2014. Fair value is used for both the initial and subsequent measurement of the designated instrument, with the changes in fair value recognized in net income. On these instruments, the related contractual interest income and premium amortization are recorded as Interest Income in the Statements of Comprehensive Income. The remaining changes in fair value on these instruments are recorded as net gains (losses) in Noninterest Income on the Statements of Comprehensive Income. The fair value of these instruments is included in Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy for assets recorded at fair value on a recurring basis.

The following is a summary of the transactions on loans for which the fair value option has been elected for the six months ended June 30, 2014:

Balance at January 1, 2014	\$	58,461
Net gains on financial instruments under fair value option		644
Change in premium		(1,045)
Balance at June 30, 2014	<u>\$</u>	<u>58,060</u>

Nonperforming assets (including related accrued interest) and related credit quality statistics are as follows:

	June 30, 2014		December 31, 2013
Nonaccrual loans:			
Real estate mortgage	\$ 2,359	\$	5,722
Production and intermediate term	14,560		19,091
Agribusiness	-		2,148
Energy and water/waste disposal	2,159		1,171
Total nonaccrual loans	<u>19,078</u>		28,132
Accruing restructured loans:			
Real estate mortgage	898		897
Production and intermediate term	8,737		8,752
Mission-related	2,809		2,833
Total accruing restructured loans	<u>12,444</u>		12,482
Total nonperforming loans	31,522		40,614
Other property owned, net	10,936		13,812
Total nonperforming assets	<u>\$ 42,458</u>	\$	<u>54,426</u>

One credit quality indicator utilized by the bank and associations is the Farm Credit Administration Uniform Loan Classification System that categorizes loans into five categories. The categories are defined as follows:

- Acceptable – assets are expected to be fully collectible and represent the highest quality;
- Other assets especially mentioned (OAEM) – assets are currently collectible but exhibit some potential weakness;
- Substandard – assets exhibit some serious weakness in repayment capacity, equity and/or collateral pledged on the loan;
- Doubtful – assets exhibit similar weaknesses to substandard assets; however, doubtful assets have additional weaknesses in existing factors, conditions and values that make collection in full highly questionable; and
- Loss – assets are considered uncollectible.

The following table shows loans and related accrued interest as a percentage of total loans and related accrued interest receivable by loan type as of:

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Real estate mortgage:		
Acceptable	96.0 %	95.3 %
OAEM	2.3	2.2
Substandard/Doubtful	1.7	2.5
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Production and intermediate term:		
Acceptable	96.4 %	91.3 %
OAEM	0.1	2.5
Substandard/Doubtful	3.5	6.2
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Agribusiness:		
Acceptable	99.4 %	99.4 %
OAEM	0.6	0.5
Substandard/Doubtful	-	0.1
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Energy and water/waste disposal:		
Acceptable	99.1 %	98.0 %
OAEM	-	-
Substandard/Doubtful	0.9	2.0
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Communication:		
Acceptable	100.0 %	100.0 %
OAEM	-	-
Substandard/Doubtful	-	-
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Rural residential real estate:		
Acceptable	100.0 %	100.0 %
OAEM	-	-
Substandard/Doubtful	-	-
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Agricultural export finance:		
Acceptable	100.0 %	100.0 %
OAEM	-	-
Substandard/Doubtful	-	-
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Direct notes to associations:		
Acceptable	98.2 %	97.9 %
OAEM	-	-
Substandard/Doubtful	1.8	2.1
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Loans to other financing institutions:		
Acceptable	100.0 %	100.0 %
OAEM	-	-
Substandard/Doubtful	-	-
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Mission-related:		
Acceptable	92.4 %	92.3 %
OAEM	-	-
Substandard/Doubtful	7.6	7.7
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Total loans:		
Acceptable	98.4 %	97.9 %
OAEM	0.2	0.3
Substandard/Doubtful	1.4	1.8
	100.0 %	100.0 %

The following tables provide an age analysis of past due loans (including accrued interest) for the entire loan portfolio (including nonaccrual loans) as of:

June 30, 2014

	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or More Past Due	Total Past Due	Not Past Due or Less Than 30 Days Past Due	Total Loans	Recorded Investment > 90 Days and Accruing
Real estate mortgage	\$ 2,836	\$ 2,384	\$ 5,220	\$ 367,512	\$ 372,732	\$ -
Production and intermediate term	1	2,240	2,241	447,517	449,758	-
Agribusiness	-	-	-	2,097,490	2,097,490	-
Communication	-	-	-	261,555	261,555	-
Energy and water/waste disposal	1,004	1,154	2,158	1,245,317	1,247,475	-
Rural residential real estate	-	-	-	22	22	-
Agricultural export finance	-	-	-	19,843	19,843	-
Direct notes to associations	-	-	-	7,810,585	7,810,585	-
Loans to other financing institutions	-	-	-	36,964	36,964	-
Mission-related	2,301	-	2,301	30,990	33,291	-
Total	\$ 6,142	\$ 5,778	\$ 11,920	\$12,317,795	\$12,329,715	\$ -

December 31, 2013

	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or More Past Due	Total Past Due	Not Past Due or Less Than 30 Days Past Due	Total Loans	Recorded Investment > 90 Days and Accruing
Real estate mortgage	\$ -	\$ 5,746	\$ 5,746	\$ 385,162	\$ 390,908	\$ -
Production and intermediate term	2,154	6,993	9,147	450,582	459,729	-
Agribusiness	-	-	-	2,005,361	2,005,361	-
Communication	-	-	-	230,715	230,715	-
Energy and water/waste disposal	-	-	-	1,296,223	1,296,223	-
Rural residential real estate	-	-	-	21	21	-
Agricultural export finance	-	-	-	19,691	19,691	-
Direct notes to associations	-	-	-	7,340,822	7,340,822	-
Loans to other financing institutions	-	-	-	34,421	34,421	-
Mission-related	2,364	-	2,364	31,195	33,559	-
Total	\$ 4,518	\$ 12,739	\$ 17,257	\$ 11,794,193	\$ 11,811,450	\$ -

Additional impaired loan information is as follows:

	At June 30, 2014			At December 31, 2013		
	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
Impaired loans with a related allowance for credit losses:						
Real estate mortgage	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,225	\$ 4,225	\$ 1,725
Production and intermediate term	12,083	12,083	4,811	17,367	17,367	4,621
Processing and marketing	-	-	-	2,148	2,814	1,000
Energy and water/waste disposal	2,159	2,159	2,134	1,171	1,171	1,147
Mission-related	231	231	70	-	-	-
Total	\$ 14,473	\$ 14,473	\$ 7,015	\$ 24,911	\$ 25,577	\$ 8,493
Impaired loans with no related allowance for credit losses:						
Real estate mortgage	\$ 3,257	\$ 9,889	\$ -	\$ 2,394	\$ 6,956	\$ -
Production and intermediate term	11,214	14,023	-	10,476	13,270	-
Processing and marketing	-	1,381	-	-	1,381	-
Energy and water/waste disposal	-	17,578	-	-	17,619	-
Mission-related	2,578	5,763	-	2,833	6,018	-
Total	\$ 17,049	\$ 48,634	\$ -	\$ 15,703	\$ 45,244	\$ -
Total impaired loans:						
Real estate mortgage	\$ 3,257	\$ 9,889	\$ -	\$ 6,619	\$ 11,181	\$ 1,725
Production and intermediate term	23,297	26,106	4,811	27,843	30,637	4,621
Processing and marketing	-	1,381	-	2,148	4,195	1,000
Energy and water/waste disposal	2,159	19,737	2,134	1,171	18,790	1,147
Mission-related	2,809	5,994	70	2,833	6,018	-
Total	\$ 31,522	\$ 63,107	\$ 7,015	\$ 40,614	\$ 70,821	\$ 8,493

	For the Three Months Ended				For the Six Months Ended			
	June 30, 2014		June 30, 2013		June 30, 2014		June 30, 2013	
	Average Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized	Average Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized	Average Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized	Average Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized
Impaired loans with a related allowance for credit losses:								
Real estate mortgage	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,249	\$ -	\$ 1,458	\$ -	\$ 9,632	\$ -
Production and intermediate term	12,498	-	3,117	-	13,498	-	1,963	-
Processing and marketing	-	-	12,832	-	-	-	16,396	24
Communication	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,057	-
Energy and water/waste disposal	2,161	-	1,715	-	2,090	-	1,500	-
Mission-related	222	4	-	-	213	8	-	-
Total	\$ 14,881	\$ 4	\$ 26,913	\$ -	\$ 17,259	\$ 8	\$ 30,548	\$ 24
Impaired loans with no related allowance for credit losses:								
Real estate mortgage	\$ 5,282	\$ 14	\$ 15,044	\$ 60	\$ 4,719	\$ 93	\$ 19,788	\$ 278
Production and intermediate term	11,138	142	9,114	147	11,209	523	9,114	606
Communication	-	-	-	-	-	-	301	-
Energy and water/waste disposal	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
Mission-related	2,569	39	-	-	2,584	79	-	-
Total	\$ 18,989	\$ 196	\$ 24,158	\$ 207	\$ 18,512	\$ 696	\$ 29,203	\$ 884
Total impaired loans:								
Real estate mortgage	\$ 5,282	\$ 14	\$ 24,293	\$ 60	\$ 6,177	\$ 93	\$ 29,420	\$ 278
Production and intermediate term	23,636	142	12,231	147	24,707	523	11,077	606
Processing and marketing	-	-	12,832	-	-	-	16,396	24
Communication	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,358	-
Energy and water/waste disposal	2,161	1	1,715	-	2,090	1	1,500	-
Mission-related	2,791	43	-	-	2,797	87	-	-
Total	\$ 33,870	\$ 200	\$ 51,071	\$ 207	\$ 35,771	\$ 704	\$ 59,751	\$ 908

The average recorded investment in impaired loans for the three months ended June 30, 2014, was \$33.9 million. The bank recognized interest income of \$200 on impaired loans during the three months ended June 30, 2014.

The average recorded investment in impaired loans for the six months ended June 30, 2014, was \$35.8 million. The bank recognized interest income of \$704 on impaired loans during the six months ended June 30, 2014.

At June 30, 2014, impaired loans of \$14.5 million had a related specific allowance of \$7.0 million, while the remaining \$17.0 million of impaired loans had no related specific allowance as a result of adequate collateralization.

A summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses and period-end recorded investment in loans is as follows:

	Real Estate Mortgage	Production and Intermediate Term	Agribusiness	Communications	Energy and Water/Waste Disposal	Rural Residential Real Estate	Agricultural Export Finance	Direct Notes to Associations	Loans to OFIs	Mission- Related	Total
Allowance for Credit Losses											
Balance at March 31, 2014	\$ 3,426	\$ 5,264	\$ 1,739	\$ 215	\$ 3,637	\$ -	\$ 7	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 103	\$ 14,391
Charge-offs	(2,072)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,072)
Recoveries	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7
Provision for credit losses	(692)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(692)
Other *	57	(129)	(473)	(15)	535	-	-	-	-	-	(25)
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$ 726	\$ 5,135	\$ 1,266	\$ 200	\$ 4,172	\$ -	\$ 7	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 103	\$ 11,609
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 1,954	\$ 5,075	\$ 2,781	\$ 215	\$ 3,596	\$ -	\$ 7	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 32	\$ 13,660
Charge-offs	(2,072)	-	(290)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,362)
Recoveries	7	-	5	-	41	-	-	-	-	-	53
Provision for credit losses	(224)	189	(757)	-	-	-	-	-	-	97	(695)
Other *	1,061	(129)	(473)	(15)	535	-	-	-	-	(26)	953
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$ 726	\$ 5,135	\$ 1,266	\$ 200	\$ 4,172	\$ -	\$ 7	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 103	\$ 11,609
Individually evaluated for impairment	-	4,811	-	-	2,134	-	-	-	-	70	7,015
Collectively evaluated for impairment	726	324	1,266	200	2,038	-	7	-	-	33	4,594
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$ 726	\$ 5,135	\$ 1,266	\$ 200	\$ 4,172	\$ -	\$ 7	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 103	\$ 11,609
Balance at March 31, 2013	\$ 3,154	\$ 734	\$ 6,479	\$ 1,228	\$ 3,779	\$ -	\$ 2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29	\$ 15,405
Charge-offs	-	-	(2,263)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,263)
Recoveries	6	-	272	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	278
Provision for credit losses	510	4,259	324	(1,029)	182	-	4	-	-	-	4,250
Other *	8	-	-	-	(37)	-	-	-	-	-	(29)
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 3,678	\$ 4,993	\$ 4,812	\$ 199	\$ 3,924	\$ -	\$ 6	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29	\$ 17,641
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 2,992	\$ 633	\$ 10,448	\$ 1,315	\$ 1,859	\$ -	\$ 3	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8	\$ 17,258
Charge-offs	(56)	-	(6,674)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(6,730)
Recoveries	6	-	272	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	278
Provision for credit losses	734	4,360	766	(1,116)	377	-	3	-	-	21	5,145
Other *	2	-	-	-	1,688	-	-	-	-	-	1,690
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 3,678	\$ 4,993	\$ 4,812	\$ 199	\$ 3,924	\$ -	\$ 6	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29	\$ 17,641
Individually evaluated for impairment	2,672	4,244	2,674	-	1,688	-	-	-	-	-	11,278
Collectively evaluated for impairment	289	528	2,138	199	2,236	-	6	-	-	29	5,425
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	717	221	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	938
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 3,678	\$ 4,993	\$ 4,812	\$ 199	\$ 3,924	\$ -	\$ 6	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29	\$ 17,641
Recorded Investments in Loans Outstanding:											
Ending balance at June 30, 2014	\$ 372,732	\$ 449,758	\$ 2,097,490	\$ 261,555	\$ 1,247,475	\$ 22	\$ 19,843	\$ 7,810,585	\$ 36,964	\$ 33,291	\$ 12,329,715
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 3,257	\$ 23,297	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,159	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,809	\$ 31,522
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 369,475	\$ 426,461	\$ 2,097,490	\$ 261,555	\$ 1,245,316	\$ 22	\$ 19,843	\$ 7,810,585	\$ 36,964	\$ 30,482	\$ 12,298,193
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Ending balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 307,142	\$ 510,539	\$ 1,779,135	\$ 219,973	\$ 1,315,686	\$ 26	\$ 14,482	\$ 7,185,152	\$ 37,022	\$ 20,169	\$ 11,389,326
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 12,037	\$ 26,229	\$ 10,604	\$ -	\$ 1,713	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,583
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 292,909	\$ 482,880	\$ 1,768,531	\$ 219,973	\$ 1,313,973	\$ 26	\$ 14,482	\$ 7,185,152	\$ 37,022	\$ 20,169	\$ 11,335,117
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ 2,196	\$ 1,430	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,626

* Reserve for losses on standby letters of credit and unfunded commitments recorded in other liabilities

A restructuring of a debt constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) if the creditor for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. Troubled debt restructurings are undertaken in order to improve the likelihood of recovery on the loan and may include, but are not limited to, forgiveness of principal or interest, interest rate reductions that are lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk, or significant term or payment extensions.

As of June 30, 2014, the total recorded investment of troubled debt restructured loans was \$13,306, including \$862 classified as nonaccrual and \$12,444 classified as accrual, with specific allowance for loan losses of \$70. There were no additional commitments to lend to borrowers whose loan terms have been modified in TDRs at June 30, 2014 compared to \$32 at December 31, 2013.

The following table summarizes TDR loan balances by loan type:

	Loans Modified as TDRs		TDRs in Nonaccrual Status	
	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Real estate mortgage	\$ 1,760	\$ 3,830	\$ 862	\$ 2,933
Production and intermediate term	8,737	8,752	-	-
Agribusiness	-	2,148	-	2,148
Mission-related	2,809	2,833	-	-
Total	<u>\$ 13,306</u>	<u>\$ 17,563</u>	<u>\$ 862</u>	<u>\$ 5,081</u>

During the six months ended June 30, 2014, there were no restructured loans designated as troubled debt restructurings. During the period there were no payment defaults on loans that were restructured during the previous 12 months. A payment default is defined as a payment that is 30 days past due after the date the loan was restructured.

NOTE 4 — COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

The bank is primarily liable for its portion of Systemwide debt obligations. Additionally, the bank is jointly and severally liable for the consolidated Systemwide bonds and notes of the other System banks. Total consolidated bank and Systemwide obligations of the System at June 30, 2014, were approximately \$212.4 billion.

In the normal course of business, the bank has various outstanding commitments and contingent liabilities, including the possibility of actions against the bank in which claims for monetary damages may be asserted. Management and legal counsel are not aware of any other pending lawsuits or actions. Upon the basis of current information, management and legal counsel are of the opinion that the ultimate liability, if any, resulting from lawsuits or other pending actions will not be material in relation to the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the bank.

NOTE 5 — FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Accounting guidance defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability. See Note 2, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," of the 2013 Annual Report for a more complete description.

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2014, for each of the fair value hierarchy levels are summarized below:

	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Federal funds	\$ 21,758	\$ -	\$ 21,758	\$ -
Investments available for sale:				
Corporate debt	224,401	-	224,401	-
Agency-guaranteed debt	126,306	-	126,306	-
Mortgage-backed securities	3,335,268	-	3,298,305	36,963
Asset-backed securities	43,365	-	42,447	918
Mission-related and other available-for-sale investments	96,447	-	-	96,447
Loans valued under the fair value option	58,060	-	58,060	-
Derivative assets	658	-	658	-
Assets held in nonqualified benefit trusts	279	279	-	-
Total assets	\$ 3,906,542	\$ 279	\$ 3,771,935	\$ 134,328
Liabilities:				
Standby letters of credit	\$ 906	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 906
Total liabilities	\$ 906	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 906

Loans With Fair Value Option

During 2012, the bank elected the fair value option for certain callable loans purchased on the secondary market at a significant premium. The fair value option provides an irrevocable option to elect fair value as an alternative measurement for selected financial assets. It requires entities to display the fair value of those assets for which the entity has chosen to use fair value on the face of the statement of condition. Fair value is used for both the initial and subsequent measurement of the designated instrument, with the changes in fair value recognized in net income. On these instruments, the related contractual interest income and premium amortization are recorded as Interest Income in the Statements of Comprehensive Income. The remaining changes in fair value on these instruments are recorded as net gains (losses) in Noninterest Income on the Statements of Comprehensive Income. The fair value of these instruments is included in Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy for assets recorded at fair value on a recurring basis.

The following table represents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period from March 31, 2014, to June 30, 2014:

	Corporate Debt	Agency- Guaranteed Debt	Mortgage- Backed Securities	Agricultural Mortgage- Backed Securities	Asset- Backed Securities	Standby Letters of Credit	Total
Available-for-sale investment securities:							
Balance at March 31, 2014	\$ -	\$ 9,201	\$ 106,250	\$ 93,287	\$ 996	\$ 832	\$ 210,566
Net (losses) gains included in other comprehensive loss	-	-	27	704	13	-	744
Purchases, issuances and settlements	-	-	29,561	2,456	(91)	-	31,926
Transfers into Level 3	-	-	-	-	-	74	74
Transfers out of Level 3	-	(9,201)	(98,875)	-	-	-	(108,076)
Balance at June 30, 2014	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 36,963</u>	<u>\$ 96,447</u>	<u>\$ 918</u>	<u>\$ 906</u>	<u>\$ 135,234</u>
The amount of losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets or liabilities still held at June 30, 2014							
	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

The following table represents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period from January 1, 2014, to June 30, 2014:

	Corporate Debt	Agency- Guaranteed Debt	Mortgage- Backed Securities	Agricultural Mortgage- Backed Securities	Asset- Backed Securities	Standby Letters of Credit	Total
Balance at January 1, 2014	\$ 15,000	\$ 26,949	\$ 7,529	\$ 97,423	\$ 1,157	\$ -	\$ 148,058
Net (losses) gains included in other comprehensive loss	-	29	(148)	1,227	31	-	1,139
Purchases, issuances and settlements	-	(195)	128,457	(2,203)	(270)	-	125,789
Transfers into Level 3	-	-	-	-	-	906	906
Transfers out of Level 3	(15,000)	(26,783)	(98,875)	-	-	-	(140,658)
Balance at June 30, 2014	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 36,963</u>	<u>\$ 96,447</u>	<u>\$ 918</u>	<u>\$ 906</u>	<u>\$ 135,234</u>
The amount of losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets or liabilities still held at June 30, 2014							
	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

There were no transfers of assets or liabilities into or out of Level 1 from other levels during the six months ended June 30, 2014. Agricultural mortgage-backed securities are included in Level 3 due to limited activity or less transparency around inputs to their valuation. At June 30, 2014, Level 3 investments included two agency MBS due to the fact that their valuations were based on Level 3 criteria (broker quotes) and certain non-agency MBS and non-agency ABS backed by home equity. In the first six months of 2014, two agency MBS, three agency-guaranteed debt instruments and one corporate debt instrument which had previously been included in Level 3 were valued using independent third-party valuation services using Level 2 criteria and were, accordingly, transferred from Level 3 to Level 2. The liability for standby letters of credit was transferred into Level 3 during the first six months of 2014 due to a determination that their valuation, based on fees currently charged for similar agreements, may not closely correlate to a fair value for instruments that are not regularly traded in the secondary market. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, all transfers into and out of Level 3 were related to sources of pricing information. Valuations subsequent to purchase which are obtained from independent third party services utilizing Level 2 criteria were the basis for transfers out of Level 3.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at June 30, 2014, for each of the fair value hierarchy levels are summarized below:

	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2014				
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Gains (Losses)
Assets:					
Loans	\$ 12,063	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,063	\$ (2,361)
Other property owned	12,151	-	-	12,151	413
Total assets	<u>\$ 24,214</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 24,214</u>	<u>\$ (1,948)</u>

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2013, for each of the fair value hierarchy levels are summarized below:

	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
	Assets:			
Federal funds	\$ 21,809	\$ -	\$ 21,809	\$ -
Investments available for sale:				
Corporate debt	249,580	-	234,580	15,000
Agency-guaranteed debt	130,024	-	103,075	26,949
Mortgage-backed securities	3,109,532	-	3,102,003	7,529
Asset-backed securities	51,296	-	50,139	1,157
Mission-related and other available-for-sale investments	97,423	-	-	97,423
Loans valued under the fair value option	58,461	-	58,461	-
Derivative assets	831	-	831	-
Assets held in nonqualified benefit trusts	182	182	-	-
Total assets	<u>\$ 3,719,138</u>	<u>\$ 182</u>	<u>\$ 3,570,898</u>	<u>\$ 148,058</u>
Liabilities:				
Standby letters of credit	\$ 1,190	\$ -	\$ 1,190	\$ -
Total liabilities	<u>\$ 1,190</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 1,190</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

The following table represents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period from March 31, 2013, to June 30, 2013:

	Corporate Debt	Agency- Guaranteed Debt	Mortgage- Backed Securities	Agricultural Mortgage- Backed Securities	Asset- Backed Securities	Total
Available-for-sale investment securities:						
Balance at March 31, 2013	\$ 59,939	\$ 19,975	\$ 61,237	\$ 111,755	\$ 3,457	\$ 256,363
Net gains included in other comprehensive income	(57)	(475)	(1,695)	(1,729)	1	(3,955)
Purchases, issuances and settlements	-	9,616	63,306	(4,404)	(263)	68,255
Transfers into Level 3	-	-	15,821	-	-	15,821
Transfers out of Level 3	-	-	(39,862)	-	-	(39,862)
Balance at June 30, 2013	<u>\$ 59,882</u>	<u>\$ 29,116</u>	<u>\$ 98,807</u>	<u>\$ 105,622</u>	<u>\$ 3,195</u>	<u>\$ 296,622</u>
The amount of losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets or liabilities still held at June 30, 2013						
	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

The following table represents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period from January 1, 2013, to June 30, 2013:

	Corporate Debt	Agency- Guaranteed Debt	Mortgage- Backed Securities	Agricultural Mortgage- Backed Securities	Asset- Backed Securities	Total
Available-for-sale investment securities:						
Balance at January 1, 2013	\$ 59,958	\$ 15,117	\$ 26,938	\$ 115,479	\$ 3,096	\$ 220,588
Net gains (losses) included in other comprehensive income	(76)	(500)	(969)	(1,875)	574	(2,846)
Net gains (losses) included in earnings	-	-	(143)	-	-	(143)
Purchases, issuances and settlements	-	29,616	97,022	(7,982)	(475)	118,181
Transfers into Level 3	-	-	15,821	-	-	15,821
Transfers out of Level 3	-	(15,117)	(39,862)	-	-	(54,979)
Balance at June 30, 2013	<u>\$ 59,882</u>	<u>\$ 29,116</u>	<u>\$ 98,807</u>	<u>\$ 105,622</u>	<u>\$ 3,195</u>	<u>\$ 296,622</u>
The amount of losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets or liabilities still held at June 30, 2013						
	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

There were no transfers of assets or liabilities into or out of Level 1 from other levels during the six months ended June 30, 2013. Agricultural mortgage-backed securities are included in Level 3 due to limited activity or less transparency around inputs to their valuation. At June 30, 2013, Level 3 investments included three agency MBS, three agency-guaranteed debt instruments and three corporate debt instruments due to the fact that their valuations were based on Level 3 criteria (broker quotes) and certain non-agency MBS and non-agency ABS backed by home equity. In the first six months of 2013, an agency-guaranteed debt instrument which had previously been included in Level 3 was valued using independent third-party valuation services using Level 2 criteria and was, accordingly, transferred from Level 3 to Level 2. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, one agency MBS, which had previously been valued using independent third-party valuation services using Level 2 criteria was valued at June 30, 2013, using Level 3 criteria (broker quotes), and was, accordingly, transferred from Level 2 to Level 3.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at December 31, 2013, for each of the fair value hierarchy levels are summarized below:

	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Gains (Losses)
Assets:					
Loans	\$ 19,639	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 19,639	\$ (10,206)
Other property owned	15,347	-	-	15,347	(79)
Total assets	<u>\$ 34,986</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 34,986</u>	<u>\$ (10,285)</u>

Financial assets and financial liabilities measured at carrying amounts and not measured at fair value on the Balance Sheet for each of the fair value hierarchy values are summarized as follows:

	June 30, 2014					December 31, 2013				
	Total Carrying Amount	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total Fair Value	Total Carrying Amount	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total Fair Value
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)			Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Assets:										
Cash	\$ 542,045	\$ 542,045	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 542,045	\$ 602,452	\$ 602,452	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 602,452
Net loans	12,213,545	-	-	12,228,053	12,228,053	11,686,981	-	-	11,655,947	11,655,947
Total assets	<u>\$ 12,755,590</u>	<u>\$ 542,045</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 12,228,053</u>	<u>\$ 12,770,098</u>	<u>\$ 12,289,433</u>	<u>\$ 602,452</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 11,655,947</u>	<u>\$ 12,258,399</u>
Liabilities:										
Systemwide debt securities	\$ 15,233,406	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,307,893	\$ 15,307,893	\$ 14,602,012	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 14,563,935	\$ 14,563,935
Subordinated debt	50,000	-	-	54,451	54,451	50,000	-	-	54,407	54,407
	<u>\$ 15,283,406</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 15,362,344</u>	<u>\$ 15,362,344</u>	<u>\$ 14,652,012</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 14,618,342</u>	<u>\$ 14,618,342</u>

Valuation Techniques

As more fully discussed in Note 2, “Summary of Significant Accounting Policies,” of the Annual Report, accounting guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The following represent a brief summary of the valuation techniques used for the bank’s assets and liabilities:

Investments Available for Sale

Where quoted prices are available in an active market, available-for-sale securities would be classified as Level 1. If quoted prices are not available in an active market, the fair value of securities is estimated using pricing models, quoted prices for similar securities received from pricing services or discounted cash flows. Generally, these securities would be classified as Level 2. To estimate the fair value of investments, the bank obtains prices from third-party pricing services. This would include certain mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities. Where there is limited activity or less transparency around inputs to the valuation, the securities are classified as Level 3. Investments classified as Level 3 primarily consist of the certain non-agency mortgage-backed securities and asset-backed securities valued using independent third-party valuation services. Also included in the bank’s Level 3 assets are the Farmer Mac AMBS.

Derivative Assets and Liabilities

The bank's derivative positions are valued using internally developed models that use as their basis readily observable market parameters and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Such derivatives may include fair value interest rate swaps, interest rate caps and cash flow interest rate swaps. The models used to determine the fair value of derivative assets and liabilities use an income approach based on observable inputs, primarily the LIBOR swap curve and volatility assumptions about future interest rate movements.

Assets Held in Nonqualified Benefit Trusts

Assets held in trust funds related to deferred compensation and supplemental retirement plans are classified within Level 1. The trust funds include investments that are actively traded and have quoted net asset values that are observable in the marketplace.

Standby Letters of Credit

The fair value of letters of credit approximates the fees currently charged for similar agreements or the estimated cost to terminate or otherwise settle similar obligations.

Loans

Fair value is estimated by discounting the expected future cash flows using the bank's current interest rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit risk. As the discount rates are based on the bank's loan rates as well as on management estimates, management has no basis to determine whether the fair values presented would be indicative of the value negotiated in an actual sale.

For purposes of determining fair value of accruing loans, the loan portfolio is segregated into pools of loans with homogeneous characteristics. Expected future cash flows and discount rates reflecting appropriate credit risk are determined separately for each individual pool.

For loans which are valued at fair value under the fair value pricing option, if quoted prices are not available in an active market, the fair value is estimated using pricing models, quoted prices for similar instruments received from pricing services or discounted cash flows. Generally, these loans would be classified as Level 2. To estimate the fair value of these instruments, the bank obtains prices from third-party pricing services.

For certain loans evaluated for impairment, the fair value is based upon the underlying collateral since the loans were collateral-dependent loans for which real estate is the collateral. These loans are generally classified as Level 3. The fair value measurement process uses independent appraisals and other market-based information, but in many cases it also requires significant input based on management's knowledge of and judgment about current market conditions, specific issues relating to the collateral and other matters. As a result, these fair value measurements fall within Level 3 of the hierarchy. When the value of the real estate, less estimated costs to sell, is less than the principal balance of the loan, a specific reserve is established.

Subordinated Debt

The fair value of these obligations is determined by discounting expected future cash flows based on the Treasury yield curve.

Other Property Owned

Other property owned (OPO) is generally classified as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The process for measuring the fair value of other property owned involves the use of independent appraisals or other market-based information. Costs to sell represent transaction costs and are not included as a component of the asset's fair value. As a result, these fair value measurements fall within Level 3 of the hierarchy.

Sensitivity to Changes in Significant Unobservable Inputs

For recurring fair value measurements categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, the significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the mortgage-backed securities are prepayment rates, probability of default and loss severity in the event of default. Significant increases (decreases) in any of those inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

Generally, a change in the assumption used for the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption used for the loss severity and a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates.

Quoted market prices may not be available for the instruments presented below. Accordingly, fair values are based on internal models that consider judgments regarding anticipated cash flows, future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments and other factors. These estimates involve uncertainties and matters of judgment, and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Quantitative Information About Recurring and Nonrecurring Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

	Valuation Technique(s)	Unobservable Input
Mortgage-backed securities	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment rate Probability of default Loss severity
Asset-backed securities	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment rate Probability of default Loss severity
Mission-related investments	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment rates

Information About Recurring and Nonrecurring Level 2 Fair Value Measurements

	Valuation Technique(s)	Input
Federal funds sold	Carrying value	Par/principal
Investment securities available for sale	Quoted prices Discounted cash flow	Price for similar asset Constant prepayment rate Appropriate interest rate yield curve
Loans held under the fair value option	Quoted prices Discounted cash flow	Price for similar instruments Constant prepayment rate Appropriate interest rate yield curve
Interest rate swaps	Discounted cash flow	Appropriate interest rate yield curve
Interest rate caps	Discounted cash flow	Appropriate interest rate yield curve Annualized volatility

Information About Other Financial Instrument Fair Value Measurements

	Valuation Technique(s)	Input
Cash	Carrying value	Actual balances
Loans	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment forecasts Appropriate interest rate yield curve Probability of default Loss severity
Systemwide debt securities and subordinated debt	Discounted cash flow	Benchmark yield curve Derived yield spread Own credit risk

NOTE 6 — DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The bank maintains an overall interest rate risk management strategy that incorporates the use of derivative products to minimize significant unplanned fluctuations in earnings that are caused by interest rate volatility. The bank’s goal is to manage interest rate sensitivity by modifying the repricing or maturity characteristics of certain balance sheet assets and liabilities so that movements in interest rates do not adversely affect the net interest margin. As a result of interest rate fluctuations, hedged fixed-rate assets and liabilities will appreciate or depreciate in market value. The effect of this unrealized appreciation or depreciation is expected to be substantially offset by the bank’s gains or losses on the derivative instruments that are linked to these hedged assets and liabilities. Another result of interest rate fluctuations is that the interest income and interest expense of hedged floating-rate assets and liabilities will increase or decrease. The effect of this variability in earnings is expected to be substantially offset by the bank’s gains and losses on the derivative instruments that are linked to these hedged assets and liabilities. The bank considers the strategic use of derivatives to be a prudent method of managing interest rate sensitivity, as it prevents earnings from being exposed to undue risk posed by changes in interest rates.

Although the bank held no interest rate swaps at June 30, 2014, it may enter into these derivative transactions to lower funding costs, diversify sources of funding, alter interest rate exposures arising from mismatches between assets and liabilities, or better manage liquidity. Interest rate swaps allow the bank to raise long-term borrowings at fixed rates and swap them into floating rates to better match the repricing characteristics of earning assets. Under interest rate swap arrangements, the bank agrees with other parties to exchange, at specified intervals, payment streams calculated on a specified notional principal amount, with at least one stream based on a specified floating-rate index.

A substantial amount of the bank’s assets are interest-earning assets (principally loans and investments) that tend to be medium-term floating-rate instruments. In order to match the asset structure, interest rate swaps in which the bank pays the floating rate and receives the fixed rate (receive-fixed swaps) may be used to reduce the impact of market fluctuations on the bank’s net interest income. Because the size of swap positions needed to reduce the impact of market fluctuations varies over time, the bank may also enter into swaps in which it receives the floating rate and pays the fixed rate (pay-fixed swaps) when necessary to reduce its net position.

The bank may purchase interest rate options, such as caps, in order to reduce the impact of rising interest rates on its floating-rate debt. The notional amounts and primary types of derivative instruments used and the amount of activity during the period are summarized in the following table:

	Receive-Fixed Swaps	Pay-Fixed Swaps	Interest Rate Caps	Total
Balance at January 1, 2014	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 695	\$ 695
Additions	-	-	30	30
Maturities/Amortizations	-	-	(30)	(30)
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 695	\$ 695

By using derivative products, the bank exposes itself to credit and market risk. If a counterparty fails to fulfill its performance obligations under a derivative contract, the bank's credit risk will equal the fair-value gain in a derivative. Generally, when the fair value of a derivative contract is positive, this indicates that the counterparty owes the bank, thus creating a repayment (credit) risk for the bank. When the fair value of the derivative contract is negative, the bank owes the counterparty and, therefore, assumes no repayment risk.

To minimize the risk of credit losses, the bank deals with counterparties that have an investment grade or better credit rating from a major rating agency, and also monitors the credit standing and levels of exposure to individual counterparties. The bank does not anticipate nonperformance by any of these counterparties. The bank typically enters into master agreements that contain netting provisions. These provisions allow the bank to require the net settlement of covered contracts with the same counterparty in the event of default by the counterparty on one or more contracts. However, derivative contracts must be reflected in the financial statements on a gross basis regardless of the netting agreement. Another way the bank minimizes the risk of credit losses from derivatives is that substantially all derivative contracts are supported by bilateral collateral agreements with counterparties requiring the posting of collateral in the event certain dollar thresholds of exposure of one party to the other one are reached; which thresholds may vary depending on the counterparty's credit rating. At June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, the bank's exposure to counterparties, net of collateral, was \$658 and \$831, respectively. At June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, the bank had posted no securities as collateral, nor has any counterparty been required to post collateral.

The bank's derivative activities are monitored by its Asset-Liability Management Committee (ALCO) as part of the ALCO's oversight of the bank's asset/liability and treasury functions. The bank's ALCO is responsible for approving hedging strategies that are developed within parameters established by the bank's board of directors through the bank's analysis of data derived from financial simulation models and other internal and industry sources. The resulting hedging strategies are then incorporated into the bank's overall interest rate risk-management strategies. The bank held no derivatives that were not designated as hedges at June 30, 2014, or December 31, 2013.

Cash Flow Hedges

The bank's derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge all meet the standards for accounting treatment that presume full effectiveness. Thus, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive loss. The bank's cash flow hedges at June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, consisted of interest rate caps.

Derivatives designated as hedging instruments	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value 6/30/2014	Fair Value 12/31/2013	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value 6/30/2014	Fair Value 12/31/2013
Interest rate caps	Other assets	\$ 658	\$ 831	Other liabilities	\$ -	\$ -

Derivatives designated as hedging instruments	Amount of (Loss) Gain Recognized in OCL on Derivatives (Effective Portion)		Location of Gain Reclassification from AOCI into Income	Amount of Gain Reclassified from AOCL into Income (Effective Portion)	
	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013		June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013
Interest rate caps	\$ (591)	\$ 393	Interest expense	\$ 1,209	\$ 627

NOTE 7 — EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

In addition to pension benefits, the bank provides certain health care benefits to qualifying retired employees (other postretirement benefits). These benefits are not characterized as multiemployer and, consequently, the liability for these benefits is included in other liabilities. Bank employees hired after January 1, 2004, will be eligible for retiree medical benefits for themselves and their spouses at their expense but will be responsible for 100 percent of the related premiums. The following table summarizes the components of net periodic benefit costs for the bank's other postretirement benefit costs for the six months ended June 30:

	Other Postretirement Benefits	
	2014	2013
Service cost	\$ 106	\$ 137
Interest cost	211	211
Amortization of prior service costs	(96)	(96)
Amortization of net loss	-	9
Net periodic benefit cost	<u>\$ 221</u>	<u>\$ 261</u>

The structure of the district's defined benefit pension plan is characterized as multiemployer, since the assets, liabilities and cost of the plan are not segregated or separately accounted for by participating employers (bank and associations).

NOTE 8 — ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income (AOCL) includes the accumulated balance of certain gains, losses or costs for which values are included in assets or liabilities on the balance sheets, but which have not yet been recognized in earnings. For the bank, these elements include unrealized gains or losses on the bank's available-for-sale investment portfolio, amortization of retirement benefit elements and changes in the value of cash flow derivative instruments.

The following table summarizes the changes in the balance of the components of AOCL for the six months ended June 30, 2014:

	Total	Unrealized Loss on Securities	Retirement Benefit Plans	Cash Flow Derivative Instruments
Balance, January 1, 2014	\$ (33,113)	\$ (30,303)	\$ 1,642	\$ (4,452)
Change in unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities				
Net decrease in unrealized losses on investment securities	15,895	15,895		
Decrease in noncredit portion of other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses	21	21		
Net increase in unrealized losses on securities	<u>15,916</u>	<u>15,916</u>		
Change in postretirement benefit plans				
Amounts amortized into net periodic expense:				
Amortization of prior service credits	(96)		(96)	
Net change in postretirement benefit plans	<u>(96)</u>		<u>(96)</u>	
Change in cash flow derivative instruments				
Unrealized losses on interest rate caps	(591)			(591)
Reclassification of amount recognized in interest expense	1,209			1,209
Net change in cash flow derivative instruments	<u>618</u>			<u>618</u>
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	16,438	15,916	(96)	618
Balance, June 30, 2014	\$ (16,675)	\$ (14,387)	\$ 1,546	\$ (3,834)

The following table summarizes the changes in the balance of the components of AOCI for the six months ended June 30, 2013:

	Total	Unrealized Gain on Securities	Retirement Benefit Plans	Cash Flow Derivative Instruments
Balance, January 1, 2013	\$ 27,833	\$ 34,104	\$ (56)	\$ (6,215)
Change in unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities				
Net change in unrealized gains on investment securities	(39,457)	(39,457)		
Decrease in noncredit portion of other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses	970	970		
Reclassification adjustment for OTTI credit losses included in net income	143	143		
Net increase in unrealized losses on securities	<u>(38,344)</u>	<u>(38,344)</u>		
Change in postretirement benefit plans				
Amounts amortized into net periodic expense:				
Amortization of prior service credits	(96)		(96)	
Amortization of net losses	9		9	
Net change in postretirement benefit plans	<u>(87)</u>		<u>(87)</u>	
Change in cash flow derivative instruments				
Unrealized gains on interest rate caps	393			393
Reclassification of loss recognized in interest expense	627			627
Net change in cash flow derivative instruments	<u>1,020</u>			<u>1,020</u>
Total other comprehensive (loss) income	(37,411)	(38,344)	(87)	1,020
Balance, June 30, 2013	<u>\$ (9,578)</u>	<u>\$ (4,240)</u>	<u>\$ (143)</u>	<u>\$ (5,195)</u>

The following table summarizes reclassifications from AOCL to the Statements of Comprehensive Income for the six months ended June 30:

<u>Component of AOCL</u>	<u>Amount Reclassified from AOCL</u>		<u>Affected Line in the Statement of Comprehensive Income</u>
	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	
Impairment losses on other-than-temporarily-impaired investments	\$ -	\$ 143	Impairment losses on investments
Amortization of net credits on post-retirement benefit plan	(96)	(87)	Salaries and employee benefits
Amortization on cash flow hedges	<u>1,209</u>	<u>627</u>	Interest expense
Total reclassifications	<u>\$ 1,113</u>	<u>\$ 683</u>	

NOTE 9 — SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The bank has evaluated subsequent events through August 8, 2014, which is the date the financial statements were issued. There are no other significant subsequent events requiring disclosure as of August 8, 2014.

NOTE 10 — COMBINED ASSOCIATION FINANCIAL DATA

Condensed financial information for the associations follows. All significant transactions and balances between the associations are eliminated in combination. The multiemployer structure of certain of the district's retirement and benefit plans results in the recording of these plans only in the district's combined financial statements.

Balance sheet data	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Cash	\$ 3,133	7,604
Investment securities	41,951	55,669
Loans	13,722,409	13,260,228
Less allowance for loan losses	51,767	60,504
Net loans	13,670,642	13,199,724
Accrued interest receivable	121,443	114,131
Other property owned, net	31,364	33,330
Other assets	358,548	334,355
Total assets	<u>\$ 14,227,081</u>	<u>\$ 13,744,813</u>
Notes payable	\$ 11,437,577	\$ 10,962,399
Other liabilities	175,074	312,219
Total liabilities	11,612,651	11,274,618
Capital stock and participation certificates	210,577	80,696
Retained earnings	2,401,835	2,387,250
Accumulated other comprehensive income	2,018	2,249
Total members' equity	2,614,430	2,470,195
Total liabilities and members' equity	<u>\$ 14,227,081</u>	<u>\$ 13,744,813</u>

Statement of income data	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013
Interest income	\$ 316,926	\$ 306,515
Interest expense	103,193	99,234
Net interest income	213,733	207,281
(Negative provision) provision for loan losses	(6,099)	3,181
Net interest income after provision		
for loan losses	219,832	204,100
Noninterest income	30,474	27,568
Other expense	95,782	85,000
Provision for income taxes	234	900
Net income	154,290	145,768
Other comprehensive loss:		
Change in postretirement benefit plans	(232)	(712)
Total other comprehensive loss	(232)	(712)
Comprehensive Income	<u>\$ 154,058</u>	<u>\$ 145,056</u>