



2013 THIRD QUARTER REPORT
FARM CREDIT BANK OF TEXAS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

THIRD QUARTER 2013

Table of Contents

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	2
Controls and Procedures	13
Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	14
Statements of Comprehensive Income.....	15
Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity	16
Statements of Cash Flows.....	17
Notes to Financial Statements.....	18

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

(dollars in thousands, except as noted)

The following discussion reviews the financial condition and results of operations of the Farm Credit Bank of Texas (bank) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. These comments should be read in conjunction with the accompanying financial statements and footnotes, along with the 2012 Annual Report to shareholders. The accompanying financial statements were prepared under the oversight of the bank's audit committee.

The bank is a member of the Farm Credit System (System), a nationwide network of cooperatively owned financial institutions established by and subject to the provisions of the Farm Credit Act of 1971, as amended, and the regulations of the Farm Credit Administration (FCA) promulgated thereunder.

The United States is currently served by three Farm Credit Banks (FCBs), each of which has specific authority to fund affiliated associations and other financing institutions (OFIs) making loans to agricultural producers, farm-related businesses and rural home owners within a regional chartered territory (or district), and by one Agricultural Credit Bank (ACB), which has the lending authority of an FCB within its chartered territory and nationwide authority limited to finance agricultural cooperatives and rural utilities. The FCBs and the ACB are collectively referred to as "System banks." As FCBs, the primary purpose of the System banks is to serve as a source of funding for System associations within their districts. The System associations make loans to or for the benefit of eligible borrowers for qualified purposes.

The bank and its affiliated associations collectively are referred to as the "district." At September 30, 2013, the bank provided financing to 17 district associations and certain other financing institutions.

On November 5, 2013, the bank issued notice of full redemption all outstanding 200,000 shares of its Class A Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock (Class A preferred stock). The redemption will be at the par value of \$1,000 per share, plus all accrued and unpaid dividends up to, but not including the redemption date of December 15, 2013. As the bank had repurchased 18,000 shares of the Class A preferred stock in 2010, the outlay for the remaining Class A preferred stock on December 15, 2013, will total \$182.0 million, at which time the final related dividends of \$6.881 million will be paid.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Income

Net income for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, was \$43,502, an increase of \$1,948, or 4.7 percent, over the same period of 2012. The \$1,948 increase in net income for the third quarter of 2013 consisted of a \$4,745 decrease in provision for credit losses and a \$359 decrease in noninterest expense, offset by a \$2,872 decrease in noninterest income and a \$284 decrease in net interest income.

Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, was \$139,875, an increase of \$9,805, or 7.5 percent, over the same period of 2012. The increase in net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, consisted of a \$20,362 decrease in provision for credit losses, offset by a \$5,703 decrease in noninterest income, a \$3,586 increase in noninterest expense and a \$1,268 decrease in net interest income.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2013, was \$53,261, a decrease of \$284, or 0.5 percent, from the three months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in net interest income for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, was attributable to an 8-basis-point decrease in the bank's

interest rate spread to 133 basis points, offset by a volume increase of \$526.9 million in the bank's average earning assets. Effective interest rates on earning assets decreased 23 basis points from the third quarter of 2012 to the third quarter of 2013, while effective rates on interest-bearing liabilities decreased 15 basis points. The decrease in the interest rate spread is largely the result of association retail borrowers' loans repricing to lower interest rates and intensified competition in the participation loan market. The increase in the bank's average earning assets included increases in its participation loans, investments and direct loans to associations.

Net interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, was \$163,459, a decrease of \$1,268, or 0.8 percent, over the same period of 2012. The decrease in net interest income was attributable to an 8-basis-point decrease in the bank's interest rate spread to 140 basis points, offset by the effects of a volume increase of \$778.2 million in the bank's average earning assets. The interest rate spread decrease included a 30-basis-point decrease in the effective rate on earning assets, net of a 22-basis-point reduction in the effective rate on interest-bearing liabilities for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The effective rates on earning assets have decreased due to borrowers' loans repricing in a lower-interest-rate environment over the last year and to intensified competition in the participation loan market. The year-to-date increase in the bank's average earning assets included an increase in its participation loans, investment portfolio and direct notes from associations. Interest expense for the first nine months of 2013 reflected an \$8,347 decrease in concession expenses recognized on callable debt as a result of a \$4.52 billion decrease in debt called in the first nine months of 2013, compared to the same period in 2012. The bank called \$2.67 billion in debt in the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The bank will benefit prospectively from debt which has been called and replaced with lower-cost debt; however, opportunities for calling debt and replacing it with lower-cost debt have diminished in the current interest rate environment.

Provision for Credit Losses

The bank's provision for credit losses for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, totaled \$1,444, a decrease of \$4,745 from the \$6,189 provision for the third quarter of 2012. The provision for the quarter consisted of \$718 in specific provision and \$726 in general provision.

The bank's provision for credit losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, totaled \$6,589, a decrease of \$20,362, or 75.6 percent, over the \$26,951 provision for the first nine months of 2012. The provision for credit losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, consisted primarily of specific provisions related to dairy, ethanol, and nursery products. The decreases in provision for credit losses for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2013, from the same period of 2012 resulted primarily from improvement in the credit quality of the bank's loan portfolio and stabilization of collateral valuations.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, was \$8,910, a decrease of \$2,872, or 24.4 percent, over the same period of 2012. The decrease for the third quarter of 2013 over the same period of 2012 was due mainly to a \$2,507 decrease in loan-related fee income, a \$749 decrease in fair value on loans purchased in the secondary market, a \$14 decrease in credit losses realized on OTTI investment securities, and a \$43 decrease in all other noninterest income elements, offset by a \$291 increase in patronage income and a \$150 increase in services billed to associations. The decrease in loan-related fee income included a \$2,302 reduction in loan prepayment fees. The bank has elected a fair value option for financial presentation purposes on certain loans purchased on the secondary market at a significant premium. The fair value option provides an irrevocable option to elect fair value as an alternative measurement for selected financial instruments. The decrease in fair value on these loans is largely a result of changes in the interest rate environment. As discussed in the "Investments" section of this

Management's Discussion and Analysis, the bank performs other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) assessments on investment securities based on evaluations of both current and future market and credit conditions at each quarter end. During the third quarter of 2013, the bank recorded no credit losses for OTTI purposes.

Noninterest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, was \$34,859, a decrease of \$5,703, or 14.1 percent, over the same period of 2012. The decrease was due mainly to a \$9,820 decrease in refunds from FCSIC related to reserves in excess of their required secure base amount, a \$2,596 decrease in fair value on loans previously purchased in the secondary market (due primarily to changes in the interest rate market), and a \$156 increase in credit losses realized on OTTI investment securities, offset by a \$3,613 increase in loan-related fee income, an \$861 increase in patronage income, a \$566 increase in services billed to associations, and a \$1,829 increase in all other income items, collectively, which includes a \$1,902 increase in net gains on the sale of loans. The increase in loan-related fee income is primarily due to a \$6.0 million prepayment fee on one large loan received in the first quarter of 2013. The \$156 increase in credit losses recognized on other-than-temporarily impaired investments reflects the difference between the credit gain of \$13 recognized in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and the credit loss of \$143 recognized during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. In March 2013, one of the OTTI investments with a book value of \$5,145 was sold, realizing a loss of \$143.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2013, was \$17,225, a decrease of \$359, or 2.0 percent, over the same period of 2012. The decrease was attributable to a \$3,052 decrease in losses on other property owned (OPO), offset by a \$994 increase in other operating expenses, a \$753 increase in premiums assessed by the FCSIC, a \$565 increase in salaries and employee benefits, and a \$381 increase in occupancy and equipment expenses. The decrease in OPO included a \$3,067 decrease in provision for losses on OPO. The increase in other operating expenses included a \$707 increase in professional and contract services and a \$166 increase in advertising and member relations expenses. Premiums to the FCSIC increased as a result of the rate increase from 5 basis points in 2012 to 10 basis points in 2013 and an increase in average debt outstanding.

Noninterest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, was \$51,854, an increase of \$3,586, or 7.4 percent, over the same period of 2012. The increase was attributable to a \$3,300 increase in other operating expenses, a \$2,410 increase in premiums assessed by the FCSIC, a \$1,361 increase in salaries and employee benefits, and a \$1,258 increase in occupancy and equipment expenses, offset by a \$4,743 decrease in losses on OPO. The increase in other operating expenses included a \$2,640 increase in professional and contract services and a \$309 increase in advertising and member relations expenses. Premiums to the FCSIC increased as a result of the rate increase from 5 basis points in 2012 to 10 basis points in 2013 and an increase in average debt outstanding. The \$1,361 increase in salaries and benefits included a \$1,071 increase in compensation and related payroll taxes. The increase in occupancy and equipment included a \$1,247 increase in computer expenses, which included a \$429 increase in software maintenance and an \$819 increase in depreciation due mainly to internally developed software that has been placed in service. The decrease in losses on OPO included a \$4,313 decrease in provision for losses on OPO, a \$386 increase in net gains on disposition of OPO, and a \$44 decrease in net expenses on OPO.

Key results of operations comparisons:

	Annualized for the Nine Months Ended 9/30/2013	Annualized for the Nine Months Ended 9/30/2012
Return on average assets	1.21%	1.18%
Return on average shareholders' equity	13.39%	13.69%
Net interest income as a percentage of average earning assets	1.47%	1.56%
Charge-offs, net of recoveries, to average loans	0.10%	0.25%
Operating expenses as a percentage of net interest income and noninterest income	25.70%	20.77%
Operating expenses as a percentage of average earning assets	0.46%	0.40%

Other Comprehensive Loss

Other comprehensive loss consists of certain gains, losses or costs for which values are included in assets or liabilities on the balance sheets, but which have not yet been recognized in earnings. In the balance sheet, they are included in accumulated other comprehensive loss in the shareholders' equity section. For the bank, these elements include unrealized gains or losses on the bank's available-for-sale investment portfolio, elements of certain postretirement benefit changes, and changes in the value of cash flow derivative instruments.

The table below summarizes the changes in elements included in other comprehensive loss for the nine months ended September 30:

	2013	2012
Change in unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities		
Net change in unrealized gains on investment securities	\$ (53,968)	\$ 4,174
Decrease in noncredit portion of other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses	1,139	3,777
Reclassification adjustment for OTTI credit losses included in net income	143	1
Net increase in unrealized losses on securities	(52,686)	7,952
Change in postretirement benefit plans		
Amounts amortized into net periodic expense:		
Amortization of prior service credits	(143)	(176)
Amortization of net losses	13	-
Net change in postretirement benefit plans	(130)	(176)
Change in cash flow derivative instruments		
Gains (losses) on interest rate caps	145	(1,018)
Reclassification of loss recognized in interest expense	1,067	323
Net change in cash flow derivative instruments	1,212	(695)
Other comprehensive loss	\$ (51,604)	\$ 7,081

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Loan Portfolio

Gross loan volume at September 30, 2013, was \$11,491,453, an increase of \$152,623, or 1.3 percent, compared to \$11,338,830 at December 31, 2012. The increase in the loan portfolio is attributable to growth in the bank's participation loan portfolio and a slight increase in the bank's direct loans to associations.

During the second quarter of 2013, the bank sold participations of \$250.0 million in direct notes of district associations and \$23.1 million in direct notes from other financing institutions (OFIs) to another System bank. The sales provide diversification benefits between Farm Credit entities.

In 2011, 2012, and July 2013 the bank purchased \$53,011 in loan participations from two district associations in Capitalized Participation Pool (CPP) transactions. As a condition of the transactions, the bank redeemed stock in the amount of 2.0 percent of the par value of the loans purchased, and the associations bought bank stock equal to 8.0 percent of the purchased loans' par value. CPP loans held at September 30, 2013, totaled \$42,450.

Loans classified under the Farm Credit Administration's Uniform Loan Classification System as "acceptable" or "other assets especially mentioned" were 98.0 percent of total loans and accrued interest at September 30, 2013, compared to 97.5 percent at December 31, 2012. The increase included the effects of high-quality loans added to the bank's participation loan portfolio.

The table below summarizes the balances of the bank's high-risk assets at September 30, 2013, compared to the balances at December 31, 2012:

	September 30, 2013	<u>Increase (Decrease)</u>		December 31, 2012
		<u>\$</u>	<u>%</u>	
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 41,721	\$ (21,976)	(34.50) %	\$ 63,697
Formally restructured loans	9,520	(2,481)	(20.67)	12,001
Total impaired loans	51,241	(24,457)	(32.31)	75,698
Other property owned, net	17,613	(13,126)	(42.70)	30,739
Total high-risk assets	\$ 68,854	\$ (37,583)	(35.31) %	\$ 106,437

The decrease in nonaccrual loans (including significant decreases in the timber tracts, meat packing plants, land in transition, radiotelephone communications, and ethanol sectors, offset by an increase in the dairy sector), was due mainly to repayments of \$39,360, net charge-offs of \$8,922, reinstatements to accrual status of \$2,574, and transfers to other property owned (OPO) of \$2,277, net of \$29,616 in transfers to and advances on nonaccrual loans. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the bank recorded charge-offs totaling \$9.2 million against the allowance for loan losses due to known losses on loans in the meat packing plants, land in transition, and ethanol sectors. At September 30, 2013, \$19.7 million, or 47.2 percent, of the bank's nonaccrual loans were considered current as to principal and interest. Continued satisfactory payment performance on these loans may indicate potential for a return to accrual status. At September 30, 2013, the bank had \$3.7 million in nonaccrual loans on which cash payments are recognized as interest income, compared to \$26.8 million at December 31, 2012. The decrease in formally restructured loans was primarily due to \$2.4 million in loans related to one borrower moving to nonaccrual status. The decrease in other property owned included a \$13,655 net decrease in real estate properties held, and a \$529 decrease in the allowance for losses on other property owned. The decrease in properties held resulted primarily from the disposal of four properties totaling \$15,199, with a net gain of \$268 on disposition, offset by the addition of two properties totaling \$2,143. The bank had no loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest at September 30, 2013, or December 31, 2012.

Impaired loans, consisting of nonaccrual loans and formally restructured loans, and loans 90 days past due and still accruing interest, constituted 0.4 percent of gross loans at September 30, 2013, and 0.7 percent of gross loans at December 31, 2012.

At September 30, 2013, the bank had reserves for credit losses totaling \$20,525, including an allowance for loan losses of \$16,002 and a reserve for losses on unfunded commitments of \$4,523. The allowance for loan losses of \$16,002 equated to 0.14 percent of total loans outstanding and 0.4 percent of participation loans outstanding. The \$4,523 reserve for losses included a general reserve for losses on letters of credit and a specific reserve related to a letter of credit, representing management's estimate of probable credit losses related to letters of credit.

The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of impaired loans was 31.23 percent as of September 30, 2013, as compared to 22.8 percent as of December 31, 2012. The nature of the collateral (primarily first lien real estate) supporting many of the impaired loans is considered in the determination of necessary allowances for loan losses.

Liquidity and Funding Sources

Cash and investment securities totaled \$3,962,074, or 25.4 percent, of total assets at September 30, 2013, compared to \$3,872,858, or 25.2 percent, at December 31, 2012, an increase of \$89,216, or 2.3 percent. At September 30, 2013, the bank's cash balance was \$377,868, a \$124,374 decrease from December 31, 2012. Cash held at the Federal Reserve Bank at September 30, 2013, totaled \$359,826, compared to \$462,282 at December 31, 2012. Levels of cash and other highly liquid assets are maintained to meet loan demand, debt servicing and other liquidity needs. At September 30, 2013, the bank had 287 days of liquidity to cover maturing debt obligations. In June 2013, the bank implemented FCA's final rule, effective June 12, 2013, regarding liquidity reserve requirements for Farm Credit System banks (see the Regulatory Matters section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis). Interest-bearing liabilities, consisting of bonds, notes and subordinated debt, decreased by \$72,424, or 0.5 percent, from December 31, 2012, to September 30, 2013.

Investments

The bank's investments are all considered available for sale, and include a liquidity portfolio and a portfolio of other investments. The liquidity portfolio had a fair value of \$3.43 billion at September 30, 2013, and consisted primarily of federal agency collateralized mortgage-backed securities, corporate debt, agency-guaranteed debt, asset-backed securities and other collateralized mortgage-backed securities. The bank's other investments, totaling \$102.9 million, consisted of Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (Farmer Mac) guaranteed agricultural mortgage-backed securities (AMBS) purchased from district associations. The Farmer Mac securities are backed by loans originated by the associations and previously held by the associations under the Farmer Mac long-term standby commitment to purchase agreements.

Farmer Mac is a government-sponsored enterprise and is examined and regulated by FCA. It provides a secondary market for agricultural and rural home mortgage loans that meet certain underwriting standards. Farmer Mac is authorized to provide loan guarantees and to be a direct pooler of agricultural mortgage loans. Farmer Mac is owned by both System and non-System investors, and its board of directors has both System and non-System representation. Farmer Mac is not liable for any debt or obligation of any System institution, and no System institution other than Farmer Mac is liable for any debt or obligation of Farmer Mac.

The majority of the bank's mortgage-backed securities were federal agency-guaranteed collateralized mortgage-backed securities, including Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) securities. The following table summarizes the bank's liquidity portfolio holdings:

	<u>September 30, 2013</u>		<u>December 31, 2012</u>	
	<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$ 120,313	\$ 115,568	\$ 65,811	\$ 65,766
Corporate debt	275,369	274,760	208,360	208,622
Federal agency collateralized mortgage-backed securities:				
GNMA	1,652,615	1,650,205	1,593,563	1,615,008
FNMA and FHLMC	1,324,821	1,317,937	1,281,140	1,297,535
Other collateralized mortgage-backed securities	19,556	19,001	28,082	26,938
Asset-backed securities	49,640	49,454	17,852	17,131
Total available-for-sale investments	<u>\$ 3,442,314</u>	<u>\$ 3,426,925</u>	<u>\$ 3,194,808</u>	<u>\$ 3,231,000</u>

The bank's other investments portfolio consisted of Farmer Mac AMBS securities as follows:

	<u>September 30, 2013</u>		<u>December 31, 2012</u>	
	<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
Agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$ 106,052	\$ 102,858	\$ 117,567	\$ 115,479

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, there was a decrease in unrealized gains on investments totaling \$52,686, due primarily to recent changes in the interest rate environment.

At September 30, 2013, the bank had two mortgage-backed securities (MBS) and two ABS that were considered other-than-temporarily impaired (OTTI). During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, there was a credit loss of \$143 on one OTTI investment associated with a sale of that security in March of 2013. The non-credit-related unrealized losses on the bank's other-than-temporarily impaired investments, totaling \$408, are included in accumulated other comprehensive loss. The bank performs other-than-temporary impairment assessments on investment securities based on evaluations of both current and future market and credit conditions at each quarter end. The process for evaluation of impairment of investments is more fully discussed in Note 2, "Investments."

FCA regulations define eligible investments by specifying credit rating criteria, final maturity limit, percentage of investment portfolio limit and certain other requirements for each investment type. At the time the investments are purchased, they must be highly rated by at least one Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (NRSRO), such as Moody's Investors Service, Standard & Poor's or Fitch Ratings. U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. agency securities and other obligations fully insured or guaranteed by the U.S. government, its agencies, instrumentalities and corporations are considered eligible investments under the FCA's regulations, even if downgraded. Under the regulations, these investments have no final maturity limit, no credit rating requirement by NRSROs, no investment portfolio limit or other requirements. If an investment no longer meets the credit rating criteria, the investment becomes ineligible. To date, the FCA has not required disposition of any of these securities. While these investments do not meet the FCA's standards for liquidity, they are included in the net collateral calculation at the lower of market or book value.

At September 30, 2013, the bank held 11 investments that were ineligible for liquidity purposes by FCA regulations due to credit ratings that were below AAA rating by all NRSROs. Those ineligible securities had an amortized cost basis of \$22,767 and a fair value of \$22,022 at September 30, 2013.

The following table sets forth the bank's portfolio of liquidity investments at fair value by credit rating:

September 30, 2013	Eligible				Ineligible						Total
	AAA/Aaa	AA/Aa	F1/P1/A1	Split Rated	AA/Aa	A/A	BBB/Baa	B/B	CCC/Caa	CC/Ca	
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 115,568	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 115,568
Corporate debt	-	100,967	-	173,793	-	-	-	-	-	-	274,760
Federal agency collateralized mortgage-backed securities*											
GNMA	-	-	-	1,650,205	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,650,205
FNMA and FHLMC	-	-	-	1,317,937	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,317,937
Other collateralized mortgage-backed securities	-	-	-	-	2,727	-	5,213	7,556	1,273	2,232	19,001
Asset-backed securities	46,432	-	-	-	-	988	-	-	2,034	-	49,454
Total	\$ 46,432	\$ 100,967	\$ -	\$ 3,257,503	\$ 2,727	\$ 988	\$ 5,213	\$ 7,556	\$ 3,307	\$ 2,232	\$ 3,426,925

December 31, 2012	Eligible				Ineligible						Total
	AAA/Aaa	AA/Aa	F1/P1/A1	Split Rated	AA/Aa	A/A	BBB/Baa	B/B	CCC/Caa	CC/Ca	
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 65,766	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 65,766
Corporate debt	-	101,448	25,018	82,156	-	-	-	-	-	-	208,622
Federal agency collateralized mortgage-backed securities*											
GNMA	-	-	-	1,615,008	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,615,008
FNMA and FHLMC	-	-	-	1,297,535	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,297,535
Other collateralized mortgage-backed securities	-	-	-	-	3,371	320	5,749	8,817	6,199	2,482	26,938
Asset-backed securities	8,291	-	5,743	1,384	-	-	-	-	1,713	-	17,131
Total	\$ 8,291	\$ 101,448	\$ 30,761	\$ 3,061,849	\$ 3,371	\$ 320	\$ 5,749	\$ 8,817	\$ 7,912	\$ 2,482	\$ 3,231,000

*Due to credit rating actions in 2011 which downgraded the credit rating of the U.S. government from "AAA" to "AA+" and also lowered the long-term credit ratings of government-sponsored enterprises due to the potential reduction in the capacity of the U.S. government to support these securities, these investments were reported as eligible split-rated investments.

Capital Resources

At September 30, 2013, the bank had \$782.0 million in preferred stock outstanding, consisting of \$182.0 million in Class A cumulative perpetual preferred stock and \$600.0 million of Class B non-cumulative subordinated perpetual preferred stock.

At a special stockholders' meeting held on February 28, 2013, the bank's Class A common stockholders approved amendments to the bank's capitalization bylaws that increased the amount of preferred stock the bank is authorized to issue and have outstanding at any one time from \$500 million to \$1 billion and that provide greater flexibility in determining the par value of such stock. At the same time, the Class A common stockholders also approved an Omnibus Approval of Preferred Stock Revolver that allows the bank to issue up to \$1 billion of preferred stock outstanding at any time for a period of 10 years. On July 23, 2013, the bank issued \$300.0 million of Class B noncumulative subordinated perpetual preferred stock, Series 2 (Class B-2 preferred stock), representing three million shares at \$100 per share par value, for net proceeds of \$296.0 million. Dividends on the Class B-2 preferred stock, if declared by the board of directors at its sole discretion, are noncumulative and are payable quarterly in arrears on the fifteenth day of March, June, September and December in each year, commencing September 15, 2013, at an annual fixed rate of 6.75 percent of par value of \$100 per share up to, but excluding September 15, 2023, from and after which date will be paid at an annual rate of the 3-Month USD LIBOR plus 4.01 percent. The Class B-2 preferred stock is not mandatorily redeemable at any time, but may be redeemed in whole

or in part at the option of the bank on any dividend payment date on or after September 15, 2023. The Class B-2 preferred stock ranks junior, both as to dividends and upon liquidation to the bank's Class A preferred stock, pari passu with respect to the existing Class B-1 preferred stock, and senior to all of the bank's outstanding capital stock. For regulatory purposes, the Class B-2 preferred stock is included in permanent capital, total surplus and core surplus within certain limitations.

As of September 30, 2013, the bank exceeded the minimum permanent capital, core surplus, total surplus and net collateral ratio requirements under Farm Credit Administration regulations. At September 30, 2013, the bank's permanent capital ratio was 20.78 percent, core surplus was 9.91 percent, total surplus was 16.69 percent and the net collateral ratio was 110.81 percent. Shareholders' equity at September 30, 2013, totaled \$1,627,327, an increase of \$353,484 from December 31, 2012. This increase is the result of net income of \$139,875 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, a \$300,000 issuance of Class B-2 preferred stock net of costs of issuance totaling \$4,054, and a \$2,588 issuance of capital stock, offset by a \$51,604 decrease in accumulated other comprehensive income, dividends on preferred stock totaling \$29,868, patronage paid of \$2,806, on the associations' and OFIs' stock investment in the bank, and a \$647 retirement of capital stock. Other comprehensive loss of \$51,604 included a decrease in unrealized gains on investments of \$52,686 and a \$130 amortization of other postretirement benefits, net of a \$1,212 decrease in unrealized losses on cash flow derivative instruments. The decrease in unrealized gains on investment securities was due primarily to recent changes in the interest rate environment.

Key financial condition comparisons:

	<u>September 30, 2013</u>	<u>December 31, 2012</u>
Permanent capital ratio	20.78%	18.64%
Net collateral ratio	110.81%	107.94%
Allowance and reserve for credit losses to total loans	0.18%	0.20%

OTHER

CONDITIONS IN THE TEXAS FARM CREDIT DISTRICT

As of the third quarter of 2013, both Texas and New Mexico received average to better than average summer rainfall, which has helped to improve pasture and range conditions, particularly in the state of New Mexico. Nevertheless, the overwhelming majority of both states remains in a state of moderate to severe drought. Areas of Mississippi and Louisiana are experiencing moderate to severe drought conditions, most of which have been classified as long-term. Strong precipitation across Alabama has completely reversed drought conditions within the state, with some areas experiencing a wetter than normal growing season. Most recently, moderate to heavy rains have fallen across most parts of Louisiana, Mississippi and Texas. Through the end of the year, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration long-term forecasts predict normal rainfall and temperatures across most of the district, with higher than average temperatures forecasted for the Trans-Pecos region as well as New Mexico.

For the 2013 farm season, most crops across the Texas district states are being harvested. According to the September 30, 2013, USDA crop progress report, cotton conditions across the district are in fair to good condition; however, poor top-soil moisture during the late spring and early summer caused higher than normal abandonment rates in the state of Texas. Dry-land cotton farmers in Texas and New Mexico will continue to use multi-peril crop insurance as protection against the risk of returning drought, and those who can turn a crop will benefit from higher cotton prices. The corn crop in the state of Texas was primarily in fair to good condition; meanwhile, the soybean crop across the eastern states was primarily in good to excellent condition.

Across most of the district, lower feed prices coupled with generally higher protein prices have had a positive impact on the livestock, poultry and dairy industries. Throughout most of Texas and New Mexico, the cattle industry continues to experience significant contraction due to prolonged drought, and some producers have removed livestock this year in order to let pastures rest. Most feedlots will continue to struggle with low cattle numbers and price spreads. However, the strong corn crop should help feeder profitability. While prices for milk are still under pressure, dairy producers will have some relief from reduced feed costs. Given the declining number of cattle on feed and the expectations of a strong corn crop, poultry growers and hog producers should be able to maintain margins.

As the district moves through the harvesting season, lower feed and generally higher livestock prices should benefit livestock producers. While the threat of drought remains, improving moisture levels across the district should continue to aid pasture and range conditions as well as promote optimism and planting activity during the next planting season. As farmers continue to work through the harvest, and livestock producers manage herds, risk management of operations will continue to provide protection from commodity price volatility and the threat of rising production costs. Weather conditions, the government shutdown and the corresponding economic disruptions, as well as other macro-economic forces, such as unemployment and foreign demand, might impact portfolio performance going forward. However, the district continues to be supported by strong credit quality and well-balanced portfolio diversification.

ASSOCIATION MERGERS

On June 27, 2013, Lone Star, ACA and Texas Land Bank, ACA requested approval of a merger from the FCA. On October 18, 2013, the stockholders of both associations voted to approve the merger at their respective stockholders' meetings. On August 23, 2013, Texas AgFinance, Farm Credit Services and AgriLand, Farm Credit Services requested approval of a merger from the FCA. The FCA has approved both mergers which will be effective January 1, 2014.

RATING AGENCY ACTIONS

Moody's Investors Service Rating Actions

On July 18, as a result of affirming the U.S. government's Aaa debt rating with the outlook updated to "stable" from "negative," Moody's Investors Service affirmed the Aa3 Issuer rating of Farm Credit Bank of Texas and updated the outlook to "stable" from "negative."

Fitch Ratings Actions

On July 2, 2013, Fitch Ratings affirmed the bank's long-term and short-term issuer default ratings (IDRs) at "AA-" and "F1," respectively, while affirming the System's long-term and short-term IDRs at "AAA" and "F1," respectively. These rating actions follow Fitch's affirmation of the U.S. government's "AAA" IDR. As a government-sponsored entity, the System benefits from implicit government support, and thus, the ratings and rating outlook are directly linked to the U.S. sovereign rating. The affirmation of the System banks' IDRs reflect their prudent, conservative credit culture, their unique funding advantage and their structural second-loss position on the majority of their loan portfolio.

On October 17, 2013, Fitch Ratings placed the long-term and short-term issuer default ratings, support rating and support rating floor of the Farm Credit System and all of the System banks on rating watch negative, following Fitch's placement of the U.S. government's "AAA" issuer default rating on rating watch negative on October 15, 2013.

REGULATORY MATTERS

As of September 30, 2013, FCA had enforcement actions in place against three associations in the district, which have not had, and are not expected to have, a significant impact on the bank.

In June 2013, the bank implemented the FCA's final rule, effective June 12, 2013, regarding liquidity reserve requirements for System banks. The final rule formalized language related to liquidity definitions, calculation and policy, and did not have a significant impact on the bank's liquidity calculations.

The undersigned certify that we have reviewed the September 30, 2013, quarterly report of the Farm Credit Bank of Texas, that the report has been prepared in accordance with all applicable statutory or regulatory requirements, and that the information included herein is true, accurate and complete to the best of our knowledge and belief.



Larry R. Doyle
Chief Executive Officer



James F. Dodson
Chairman of the Board



Amie Pala
Chief Financial Officer

November 8, 2013

Controls and Procedures

The Farm Credit Bank of Texas (bank) maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information disclosed by us in our quarterly and annual reports is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions to be made regarding disclosure. With management's input, the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer have evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of and for the period covered by this quarterly report, and have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of that date.

The bank also maintains a system of internal controls. The "internal controls" as defined by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' Codification of Statement on Auditing Standards, AU Section 319, means a process — effected by the board of directors, management and other personnel — designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of objectives in the reliability of our financial reporting, the effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and of compliance with applicable laws and regulations. We continually assess the adequacy of our internal control over financial reporting and enhance our controls in response to internal control assessments, and internal and external audit and regulatory recommendations. There have been no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect such controls subsequent to the date we carried out our evaluations.



Larry R. Doyle
Chief Executive Officer



Amie Pala
Chief Financial Officer

November 8, 2013

Balance Sheets

(dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2012
Assets		
Cash	\$ 377,868	\$ 502,242
Federal funds sold and overnight investments	54,423	24,137
Investment securities	3,529,783	3,346,479
Loans (includes \$58,838 and \$60,310 at fair value held under fair value option)	11,491,453	11,338,830
Less allowance for loan losses	16,002	17,258
Net loans	<u>11,475,451</u>	<u>11,321,572</u>
Accrued interest receivable	41,141	35,635
Other property owned, net	17,613	30,739
Premises and equipment, net	23,250	19,349
Other assets	<u>105,905</u>	<u>95,516</u>
Total assets	<u>\$ 15,625,434</u>	<u>\$ 15,375,669</u>
Liabilities and shareholders' equity		
Liabilities		
Bonds and notes, net	\$ 13,838,436	\$ 13,910,860
Subordinated debt	50,000	50,000
Accrued interest payable	38,898	32,328
Reserve for credit losses	4,523	5,600
Preferred stock dividends payable	26,943	21,881
Other liabilities	<u>39,307</u>	<u>81,157</u>
Total liabilities	<u>13,998,107</u>	<u>14,101,826</u>
Commitments and contingent liabilities (Note 4)		
Shareholders' equity		
Preferred stock, net	782,000	482,000
Capital stock	214,529	212,588
Allocated retained earnings	16,985	16,984
Unallocated retained earnings	637,584	534,438
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	<u>(23,771)</u>	<u>27,833</u>
Total shareholders' equity	<u>1,627,327</u>	<u>1,273,843</u>
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>\$ 15,625,434</u>	<u>\$ 15,375,669</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Statements of Comprehensive Income

(unaudited)

(dollars in thousands)	Quarter Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Interest Income				
Investment securities	\$ 12,524	\$ 13,204	\$ 39,195	\$ 41,663
Loans	79,116	83,230	237,532	252,879
Total interest income	91,640	96,434	276,727	294,542
Interest Expense				
Bonds, notes and subordinated debt	38,379	42,889	113,268	129,815
Total interest expense	38,379	42,889	113,268	129,815
Net interest income	53,261	53,545	163,459	164,727
Provision for credit losses	1,444	6,189	6,589	26,951
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	51,817	47,356	156,870	137,776
Noninterest Income				
Patronage income	4,116	3,825	13,855	12,994
Fees for services to associations	852	702	2,462	1,896
Loan-related fees	3,508	6,015	16,319	12,706
Refunds from Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation	-	-	-	9,820
Gain on loans held under fair value option	398	1,147	104	2,700
Miscellaneous income, net	36	79	2,262	433
Impairment losses on investments				
Total other-than-temporary impairment gains (losses)	-	14	(143)	13
Less: portion of gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-
Net impairment loss recognized in earnings	-	14	(143)	13
Total noninterest income	8,910	11,782	34,859	40,562
Noninterest Expense				
Salaries and employee benefits	6,980	6,415	20,934	19,573
Occupancy and equipment	2,550	2,169	7,537	6,279
Insurance Fund premiums	1,421	668	4,324	1,914
Losses on other property owned, net	61	3,113	879	5,622
Other operating expenses	6,213	5,219	18,180	14,880
Total noninterest expense	17,225	17,584	51,854	48,268
Net Income	43,502	41,554	139,875	130,070
Other comprehensive (loss) income				
Change in postretirement benefit plans	(43)	(58)	(130)	(176)
Change in unrealized gain on investments	(14,342)	9,652	(52,686)	7,952
Change in cash flow derivative instruments	192	28	1,212	(695)
Total other comprehensive (loss) income	(14,193)	9,622	(51,604)	7,081
Comprehensive Income	\$ 29,309	\$ 51,176	\$ 88,271	\$ 137,151

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity

(unaudited)

(dollars in thousands)	Preferred Stock	Capital Stock	Allocated Retained Earnings	Unallocated Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 482,000	\$ 216,839	\$ 14,438	\$ 471,933	\$ 25,146	\$ 1,210,356
Net income	-	-	-	130,070	-	130,070
Other comprehensive gain	-	-	-	-	7,081	7,081
Capital stock issued	-	749	-	-	-	749
Capital stock retired	-	(749)	-	-	-	(749)
Preferred stock dividends accrued	-	-	-	(21,881)	-	(21,881)
Patronage distributions						
Cash	-	-	-	(3,294)	-	(3,294)
Shareholders' equity	-	-	(29)	29	-	-
Balance at September 30, 2012	\$ 482,000	\$ 216,839	\$ 14,409	\$ 576,857	\$ 32,227	\$ 1,322,332
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 482,000	\$ 212,588	\$ 16,984	\$ 534,438	\$ 27,833	\$ 1,273,843
Net income	-	-	-	139,875	-	139,875
Other comprehensive loss	-	-	-	-	(51,604)	(51,604)
Issuance of Class B; Series 2 preferred stock	300,000	-	-	-	-	300,000
Issuance costs on preferred stock	-	-	-	(4,054)	-	(4,054)
Capital stock issued	-	2,588	-	-	-	2,588
Capital stock retired	-	(647)	-	-	-	(647)
Preferred stock dividends accrued and paid	-	-	-	(29,868)	-	(29,868)
Patronage distributions						
Cash	-	-	-	(2,806)	-	(2,806)
Shareholders' equity	-	-	1	(1)	-	-
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$ 782,000	\$ 214,529	\$ 16,985	\$ 637,584	\$ (23,771)	\$ 1,627,327

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Statements of Cash Flows

(unaudited)

(dollars in thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
Operating activities		
Net income	\$ 139,875	\$ 130,070
Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities		
Provision for credit losses	6,589	26,951
Provision for losses on other property owned	983	5,296
Depreciation and amortization on premises and equipment	2,995	2,182
Amortization and accretion on loans	1,065	(2,363)
Amortization and accretion on debt instruments	(2,491)	(2,965)
Amortization of net premium on investment securities	160	1,299
Increase in fair value on loans under fair value option	(104)	(1,910)
Gains on sale of loans	(1,902)	-
(Gains) losses from sales of other property owned, net	(268)	118
Losses (gains) on impairment of investments available-for-sale	143	(13)
Gains from sales of premises and equipment	(11)	-
Allocated equity patronage from System bank	(12,406)	(12,440)
Increase in accrued interest receivable	(5,506)	(3,138)
Decrease in other assets	3,138	6,407
Increase in accrued interest payable	6,570	371
Increase in other liabilities	3,092	11,453
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>141,922</u>	<u>161,318</u>
Investing activities		
Net increase in federal funds	(30,286)	(14,831)
Investment securities		
Purchases	(1,024,769)	(946,315)
Proceeds from maturities, calls and prepayments	782,091	834,775
Proceeds from sales	6,528	6,467
Increase in loans, net	(515,242)	(891,884)
Proceeds from sale of loans	324,149	-
Proceeds from sales of other property owned, net	14,688	613
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment	20	-
Expenditures for premises and equipment	(6,905)	(6,761)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(449,726)</u>	<u>(1,017,936)</u>
Financing activities		
Bonds and notes issued	6,854,622	11,812,898
Bonds and notes retired	(6,924,464)	(10,992,979)
Preferred stock issued	300,000	-
Issuance costs on preferred stock	(4,054)	-
Capital stock issued	2,588	749
Capital stock retired		
and allocated retained earnings distributed	(647)	(749)
Cash dividends on preferred stock	(29,868)	(21,881)
Cash patronage distributions paid	(14,747)	(13,655)
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>183,430</u>	<u>784,383</u>
Net decrease in cash	(124,374)	(72,235)
Cash at beginning of year	502,242	424,667
Cash at end of quarter	<u>\$ 377,868</u>	<u>\$ 352,432</u>
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities		
Loans transferred to other property owned	\$ 2,277	\$ 7,992
Net (decrease) increase in unrealized losses on investment securities	(52,686)	7,952
Supplemental schedule of noncash changes in fair value related to hedging activities		
(Decrease) increase in bonds and notes	\$ (91)	\$ 130
Supplemental information		
Interest paid	\$ 106,698	\$ 129,444

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Notes to Financial Statements

Unaudited (dollar amounts in thousands unless otherwise noted)

NOTE 1 — ORGANIZATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accompanying financial statements include the accounts of the Farm Credit Bank of Texas (bank). The significant accounting policies followed and the financial condition and results of operations of the bank as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012, are contained in the 2012 Annual Report to shareholders (Annual Report). These unaudited third quarter 2013 financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Annual Report.

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance entitled, “Balance Sheet – Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities.” The guidance requires an entity to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. This includes the effect or potential effect of rights of setoff associated with an entity’s recognized assets and recognized liabilities. The requirements apply to recognized financial instruments and derivative instruments that are offset in accordance with the rights of offset set forth in accounting guidance and for those recognized financial instruments and derivative instruments that are subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, irrespective of whether they are offset or not. This guidance is to be applied retrospectively for all comparative periods and is effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. The adoption of this guidance did not impact the financial condition or results of operations but resulted in additional disclosures.

In February 2013, the FASB issued guidance, “Reporting of Amounts Reclassified out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income.” The guidance requires entities to present either parenthetically on the face of the financial statements or in the notes to the financial statements, significant amounts reclassified from each component of accumulated other comprehensive income and the income statement line items affected by the reclassification. The guidance is effective for public entities for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2012, and for nonpublic entities for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2013. The adoption of this guidance did not impact the financial condition or results of operations, but resulted in additional disclosures.

The accompanying financial statements contain all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the interim financial condition and results of operations of the bank, and conform to generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires the use of management’s estimates. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year.

The bank and its affiliated associations (district), are part of the federally chartered Farm Credit System (System). The bank provides funding to district associations, which, in turn, provide credit to their borrower-shareholders. At September 30, 2013, the bank provided financing to 17 district associations and certain other financing institutions.

NOTE 2 — INVESTMENTS

Available for Sale

The bank’s available-for-sale investments include a liquidity portfolio and a portfolio of other investments. The liquidity portfolio consists primarily of mortgage-backed securities (MBS), corporate

debt, agency-guaranteed debt and asset-backed securities (ABS). The majority of the liquidity portfolio's MBS were federal agency collateralized MBS, including Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA), Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) securities. The bank's other investments portfolio consists of Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation (Farmer Mac) guaranteed agricultural mortgage-backed securities (AMBS) purchased from district associations. A summary of the amortized cost and fair value of investment securities available for sale, at September 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012, is included in the following tables.

Investments in the available-for-sale liquidity portfolio at September 30, 2013:

	September 30, 2013				
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Weighted Average Yield
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$ 120,313	\$ -	\$ (4,745)	\$ 115,568	1.38 %
Corporate debt	275,369	435	(1,044)	274,760	0.87
Federal agency collateralized mortgage-backed securities					
GNMA	1,652,615	11,154	(13,564)	1,650,205	1.55
FNMA and FHLMC	1,324,821	4,451	(11,335)	1,317,937	1.26
Other collateralized mortgage-backed securities	19,556	119	(674)	19,001	2.86
Asset-backed securities	49,640	18	(204)	49,454	0.68
Total available-for-sale investments	\$3,442,314	\$ 16,177	\$ (31,566)	\$3,426,925	1.37 %

Investments in the available-for-sale other investments portfolio at September 30, 2013:

	September 30, 2013				
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Weighted Average Yield
Agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$ 106,052	\$ -	\$ (3,194)	\$ 102,858	4.28 %

Investments in the available-for-sale liquidity portfolio at December 31, 2012:

	December 31, 2012				
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Weighted Average Yield
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$ 65,811	\$ 126	\$ (171)	\$ 65,766	1.53 %
Corporate debt	208,360	486	(224)	208,622	0.99
Federal agency collateralized mortgage-backed securities					
GNMA	1,593,563	22,143	(698)	1,615,008	1.60
FNMA and FHLMC	1,281,140	16,395	-	1,297,535	1.45
Other collateralized mortgage-backed securities	28,082	-	(1,144)	26,938	4.98
Asset-backed securities	17,852	59	(780)	17,131	1.13
Total available-for-sale investments	\$3,194,808	\$ 39,209	\$ (3,017)	\$3,231,000	1.52 %

Investments in the available-for-sale other investments portfolio at December 31, 2012:

	December 31, 2012				Weighted Average Yield
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	
Agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$ 117,567	\$ -	\$ (2,088)	\$ 115,479	4.36 %

The following tables summarize the contractual maturity, fair value, amortized cost and weighted average yield of available-for-sale investments at September 30, 2013:

Investments in the available-for-sale liquidity portfolio:

	Year of Maturity				Total
	One Year or Less	One to Five Years	Five to Ten Years	More Than Ten Years	
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 115,568	\$ 115,568
Corporate debt	61,479	213,281	-	-	274,760
Federal agency collateralized mortgage-backed securities					
GNMA	-	-	39,704	1,610,501	1,650,205
FNMA and FHLMC	144	14,825	75,612	1,227,356	1,317,937
Other collateralized mortgage-backed securities	-	-	158	18,843	19,001
Asset-backed securities	-	38,986	-	10,468	49,454
Total fair value	\$ 61,623	\$ 267,092	\$ 115,474	\$ 2,982,736	\$ 3,426,925
Total amortized cost	\$ 61,450	\$ 267,584	\$ 112,760	\$ 3,000,520	\$ 3,442,314
Weighted average yield	0.92%	0.89%	2.74%	1.37%	1.37%

Investments in the available-for-sale other investments portfolio:

	<u>Due in One To Five Years</u>
Fair value of agricultural mortgage-backed securities	\$ 102,858
Total amortized cost	\$ 106,052
Weighted average yield	4.28%

Other-Than-Temporarily Impaired Investments Evaluation

The following table shows available-for-sale liquidity portfolio investments by gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that the securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at September 30, 2013. The continuous loss position is based on the date the impairment was first identified:

	Less Than 12 Months		Greater Than 12 Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Agency-guaranteed debt	\$ 115,568	\$ (4,745)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 115,568	\$ (4,745)
Corporate debt	83,874	(1,044)	-	-	83,874	(1,044)
Federal agency collateralized mortgage-backed securities						
GNMA	671,021	(13,564)	-	-	671,021	(13,564)
FNMA and FHLMC	799,263	(11,336)	-	-	799,263	(11,336)
Other collateralized mortgage-backed securities	7,556	(164)	6,232	(510)	13,788	(674)
Asset-backed securities	22,986	(13)	3,021	(190)	26,007	(203)
Total	\$ 1,700,268	\$ (30,866)	\$ 9,253	\$ (700)	\$ 1,709,521	\$ (31,566)

The bank evaluates investment securities for other-than-temporary impairment on a quarterly basis. Impairment is considered to be other than temporary if an entity (i) intends to sell the security, (ii) is more likely than not to be required to sell the security before recovering its cost or (iii) does not expect to recover the security's entire amortized cost basis (even if the entity does not intend to sell).

In the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the bank recognized other-than-temporary impairment credit losses on one of its other-than-temporarily impaired investments which was sold in March 2013. The sale of the investment, with a book value of \$5,145, resulted in a realized loss of \$143. The non-credit-related net increase in fair value on the bank's other-than-temporarily impaired investments for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, totaling \$1,139, is included as a credit to other comprehensive loss. At September 30, 2013, the bank had two mortgage-backed securities and two asset-backed securities that were considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

As the bank has no intention to sell the securities deemed other-than-temporarily impaired and will not more likely than not be required to sell the securities before recovery, the credit loss portion of impairment was recognized through earnings for the first nine months of 2013. To measure the amount related to credit loss in the determination of other-than-temporary impairment, the bank utilizes a third-party vendor's services for cash flow modeling and projection of credit losses for specific non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities and subprime asset-backed securities. Significant inputs utilized in the methodology of the modeling include assumptions surrounding market data (interest rates and home prices) and the applicable securities' loan level data. Loan level data evaluated includes loan status, coupon and resets, FICO scores, loan-to-value, geography, property type, etc. Loan level data is then combined with assumptions surrounding future behavior of home prices, prepayment rates, default rates and loss severity to arrive at cash flow projections for the underlying collateral. Default rate assumptions are generally estimated using historical loss and performance information to estimate future defaults. The present value of these cash flow projections is then evaluated against the specific security's structure and credit enhancement to determine if the bond will absorb losses.

The following are the assumptions used at:

September 30, 2013		
Assumptions Used	Mortgage- Backed Securities	Asset-Backed Securities
Default by range	0.5% - 5.4%	6.3% - 10.6%
Prepayments rate by range	4.2% - 18.7%	3.7% - 7.4%
Loss severity by range	14.2% - 36.9%	44.6% - 52.7%
December 31, 2012		
Assumptions Used	Mortgage- Backed Securities	Asset-Backed Securities
Default by range	0.8% - 7.1%	3.9% - 7.5%
Prepayments rate by range	5.0% - 20.7%	2.6% - 6.3%
Loss severity by range	12.5% - 56.1%	51.5% - 62.9%

The following is a rollforward of the amount related to credit losses recognized for the nine months ended:

	2013	2012
Credit loss component, beginning of period	\$ 5,084	\$ 9,921
Additions:		
Subsequent credit impairment	143	1
Reductions:		
For securities sold	(3,627)	(2,535)
Credit loss component end of period	\$ 1,600	\$ 7,387

NOTE 3 — LOANS AND RESERVES FOR CREDIT LOSSES

Loans, including direct notes to district associations and other financing institutions (OFIs), participations purchased and other bank-owned loans, comprised the following categories at:

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Direct notes receivable from district associations and OFIs	\$ 7,326,859	\$ 7,250,641
Participations purchased	4,160,253	4,080,135
Other bank-owned loans	4,341	8,054
Balance at end of period	\$ 11,491,453	\$ 11,338,830

During the second quarter of 2013, the bank sold participations of \$250.0 million in direct notes of district associations and \$23.1 million in direct notes from other financing institutions (OFIs) to another System bank. The sales provided diversification benefits between Farm Credit entities.

A summary of the bank's loans by type follows:

	<u>September 30, 2013</u>	<u>December 31, 2012</u>
Direct notes receivable from		
district associations	\$ 7,296,699	\$ 7,183,535
Real estate mortgage	362,888	328,873
Production and intermediate term	471,942	425,312
Loans to cooperatives	162,949	139,671
Processing and marketing	1,537,337	1,544,518
Farm-related business	108,820	116,567
Communication	206,274	241,697
Energy (rural utilities)	1,150,744	1,143,723
Water and waste disposal	109,060	99,120
Rural residential real estate	26	25
Agricultural export finance	20,841	13,450
Loans to other financing institutions	30,160	67,106
Mission-related	33,713	35,233
Total	<u>\$ 11,491,453</u>	<u>\$ 11,338,830</u>

The bank purchases or sells participation interests with other parties in order to diversify risk, manage loan volume and comply with Farm Credit Administration regulations. The following table presents information regarding the balances of participations purchased and sold, excluding syndications, at September 30, 2013.

	<u>Other Farm Credit Institutions</u>		<u>Non-Farm Credit Institutions</u>		<u>Total</u>	
	<u>Participations</u>	<u>Participations</u>	<u>Participations</u>	<u>Participations</u>	<u>Participations</u>	<u>Participations</u>
	<u>Purchased</u>	<u>Sold</u>	<u>Purchased</u>	<u>Sold</u>	<u>Purchased</u>	<u>Sold</u>
Real estate mortgage	\$ 298,670	\$ 279,507	\$ 28,644	\$ -	\$ 327,314	\$ 279,507
Production and intermediate term	902,370	498,729	21,633	14,886	924,003	513,615
Agribusiness	1,048,700	425,451	105,297	1,438	1,153,997	426,889
Communication	276,086	69,592	-	-	276,086	69,592
Energy (rural utilities)	1,321,221	180,241	-	-	1,321,221	180,241
Water and waste disposal	115,110	5,801	-	-	115,110	5,801
Agricultural export finance	20,841	-	-	-	20,841	-
Mission-related	5,139	-	-	-	5,139	-
Loans to other financing institutions	-	23,071	-	-	-	23,071
Direct note receivable from						
district associations	-	3,650,000	-	-	-	3,650,000
Total	\$ 3,988,137	\$ 5,132,392	\$ 155,574	\$ 16,324	\$ 4,143,711	\$ 5,148,716

In 2011 and 2012, the bank purchased \$20,653 in loan participations from a district association in a Capitalized Participation Pool (CPP) transaction, and in July 2013 purchased another \$32,358 in loan participations from another association under the same terms. The purchases represent 80.0 percent of the outstanding balances of the loans placed by the association in the CPP. As a condition of the transactions, the bank redeemed stock in the amount of 2.0 percent of the par value of the loans purchased, and the association bought bank stock equal to 8.0 percent of the purchased loans' par value. CPP loans held at September 30, 2013, totaled \$42,450.

The bank is authorized under the Farm Credit Act to accept "advance conditional payments" (ACPs) from borrowers. To the extent the borrower's access to such ACPs is restricted and the legal right of setoff exists,

the ACPs are netted against the borrower's related loan balance. Unrestricted advance conditional payments are included in other liabilities. ACPs are not insured, and interest is generally paid by the bank on such balances. There were no significant balances of ACPs at September 30, 2013, or December 31, 2012.

During 2012, the bank elected the fair value option for certain callable loans purchased on the secondary market at a significant premium. The fair value option provides an irrevocable option to elect fair value as an alternative measurement for selected financial assets. The fair value of loans held under the fair value option totaled \$58,838 at September 30, 2013. Fair value is used for both the initial and subsequent measurement of the designated instrument, with the changes in fair value recognized in net income. On these instruments, the related contractual interest income and premium amortization are recorded as Interest Income in the Statements of Comprehensive Income. The remaining changes in fair value on these instruments are recorded as net gains (losses) in Noninterest Income on the Statements of Comprehensive Income. The fair value of these instruments is included in Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy for assets recorded at fair value on a recurring basis.

The following is a summary of the transactions on loans for which the fair value option has been elected for the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

Balance at January 1, 2013	\$ 60,310
New transactions elected for fair value option	-
Maturities, repayments and calls by issuers	-
Net gains on financial instruments under fair value option	104
Change in premium	(1,576)
Balance at September 30, 2013	<u>\$ 58,838</u>

Nonperforming assets (including related accrued interest) and related credit quality statistics are as follows:

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Nonaccrual loans:		
Real estate mortgage	\$ 8,585	\$ 36,405
Production and intermediate term	21,451	1,441
Agribusiness	10,505	23,107
Communication	-	2,744
Energy and water/waste disposal	1,180	-
Total nonaccrual loans	41,721	63,697
Accruing restructured loans:		
Real estate mortgage	912	914
Production and intermediate term	8,608	8,668
Agribusiness	-	2,419
Total accruing restructured loans	9,520	12,001
Total nonperforming loans	51,241	75,698
Other property owned, net	17,613	30,739
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 68,854	\$ 106,437

One credit quality indicator utilized by the bank and associations is the Farm Credit Administration Uniform Loan Classification System that categorizes loans into five categories. The categories are defined as follows:

- Acceptable – assets are expected to be fully collectible and represent the highest quality;
- Other assets especially mentioned (OAEM) – assets are currently collectible but exhibit some potential weakness;
- Substandard – assets exhibit some serious weakness in repayment capacity, equity and/or collateral pledged on the loan;
- Doubtful – assets exhibit similar weaknesses to substandard assets; however, doubtful assets have additional weaknesses in existing factors, conditions and values that make collection in full highly questionable; and
- Loss – assets are considered uncollectible.

The following table shows loans and related accrued interest as a percentage of total loans and related accrued interest receivable by loan type as of:

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Real estate mortgage:		
Acceptable	94.7 %	80.6 %
OAEM	2.4	6.6
Substandard/Doubtful	2.9	12.8
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Production and intermediate term:		
Acceptable	90.3 %	93.6 %
OAEM	3.0	3.7
Substandard/Doubtful	6.7	2.7
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Agribusiness:		
Acceptable	98.7 %	95.8 %
OAEM	0.7	2.3
Substandard/Doubtful	0.6	1.9
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Energy and water/waste disposal:		
Acceptable	98.0 %	98.0 %
OAEM	-	-
Substandard/Doubtful	2.0	2.0
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Communication:		
Acceptable	100.0 %	98.9 %
OAEM	-	-
Substandard/Doubtful	-	1.1
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Rural residential real estate:		
Acceptable	100.0 %	100.0 %
OAEM	-	-
Substandard/Doubtful	-	-
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Agricultural export finance:		
Acceptable	100.0 %	100.0 %
OAEM	-	-
Substandard/Doubtful	-	-
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Direct notes to associations:		
Acceptable	97.9 %	97.7 %
OAEM	-	-
Substandard/Doubtful	2.1	2.3
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Loans to other financing institutions:		
Acceptable	100.0 %	100.0 %
OAEM	-	-
Substandard/Doubtful	-	-
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Mission-related:		
Acceptable	92.4 %	92.6 %
OAEM	-	-
Substandard/Doubtful	7.6	7.4
	100.0 %	100.0 %
Total loans:		
Acceptable	97.7 %	96.8 %
OAEM	0.3	0.7
Substandard/Doubtful	2.0	2.5
	100.0 %	100.0 %

The following tables provide an age analysis of past due loans (including accrued interest) for the entire loan portfolio (including nonaccrual loans) as of:

September 30, 2013

	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or More Past Due	Total Past Due	Not Past Due or Less Than 30 Days Past Due	Total Loans	Recorded Investment > 90 Days and Accruing
Real estate mortgage	\$ -	\$ 6,657	\$ 6,657	\$ 359,565	\$ 366,222	\$ -
Production and intermediate term	7,062	-	7,062	466,375	473,437	-
Agribusiness	-	8,291	8,291	1,811,632	1,819,923	-
Communication	-	-	-	206,509	206,509	-
Energy and water/waste disposal	-	-	-	1,264,775	1,264,775	-
Rural residential real estate	-	-	-	27	27	-
Agricultural export finance	-	-	-	20,850	20,850	-
Direct notes to associations	-	-	-	7,311,083	7,311,083	-
Loans to other financing institutions	-	-	-	30,196	30,196	-
Mission-related	2,395	-	2,395	31,492	33,887	-
Total	\$ 9,457	\$ 14,948	\$ 24,405	\$ 11,502,504	\$ 11,526,909	\$ -

December 31, 2012

	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or More Past Due	Total Past Due	Not Past Due or Less Than 30 Days Past Due	Total Loans	Recorded Investment > 90 Days and Accruing
Real estate mortgage	\$ -	\$ 35,772	\$ 35,772	\$ 295,580	\$ 331,352	\$ -
Production and intermediate term	-	839	839	425,514	426,353	-
Agribusiness	-	16,526	16,526	1,790,695	1,807,221	-
Communication	-	-	-	241,909	241,909	-
Energy and water/waste disposal	-	-	-	1,247,205	1,247,205	-
Rural residential real estate	-	-	-	25	25	-
Agricultural export finance	-	-	-	13,479	13,479	-
Direct notes to associations	-	-	-	7,198,913	7,198,913	-
Loans to other financing institutions	-	-	-	67,196	67,196	-
Mission-related	-	-	-	35,474	35,474	-
Total	\$ -	\$ 53,137	\$ 53,137	\$ 11,315,990	\$ 11,369,127	\$ -

Additional impaired loan information is as follows:

Impaired loans with a related allowance for credit losses:	At September 30, 2013			At December 31, 2012		
	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
Real estate mortgage	\$ 4,225	\$ 4,225	\$ 1,725	\$ 7,232	\$ 11,709	\$ 2,671
Production and intermediate term	20,912	20,912	4,361	838	3,030	244
Processing and marketing	10,505	13,433	2,674	23,107	23,107	8,014
Communication	-	-	-	2,136	2,136	1,000
Energy and water/waste disposal	1,180	1,180	1,155	-	-	-
Total	\$ 36,822	\$ 39,750	\$ 9,915	\$ 33,313	\$ 39,982	\$ 11,929
Impaired loans with no related allowance for credit losses:						
Real estate mortgage	\$ 5,272	\$ 9,743	\$ -	\$ 30,087	\$ 30,087	\$ -
Production and intermediate term	9,147	12,084	-	9,271	9,271	-
Processing and marketing	-	1,382	-	2,419	4,599	-
Communication	-	-	-	608	608	-
Energy and water/waste disposal	-	17,619	-	-	17,619	-
Mission-related	-	3,213	-	-	3,213	-
Total	\$ 14,419	\$ 44,041	\$ -	\$ 42,385	\$ 65,397	\$ -
Total impaired loans:						
Real estate mortgage	\$ 9,497	\$ 13,968	\$ 1,725	\$ 37,319	\$ 41,796	\$ 2,671
Production and intermediate term	30,059	32,996	4,361	10,109	12,301	244
Processing and marketing	10,505	14,815	2,674	25,526	27,706	8,014
Communication	-	-	-	2,744	2,744	1,000
Energy and water/waste disposal	1,180	18,799	1,155	-	17,619	-
Mission-related	-	3,213	-	-	3,213	-
Total	\$ 51,241	\$ 83,791	\$ 9,915	\$ 75,698	\$ 105,379	\$ 11,929

Impaired loans with a related allowance for credit losses:	For the Three Months Ended				For the Nine Months Ended			
	September 30, 2013		September 30, 2012		September 30, 2013		September 30, 2012	
	Average Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized	Average Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized	Average Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized	Average Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized
Real estate mortgage	\$ 3,715	\$ 1	\$ 11,466	\$ -	\$ 7,638	\$ 1	\$ 15,017	\$ -
Production and intermediate term	20,157	-	834	-	8,094	-	1,581	-
Processing and marketing	10,584	-	14,545	32	14,438	24	11,420	226
Communication	-	-	2,399	-	700	-	2,424	-
Energy and water/waste disposal	1,266	-	-	-	1,422	-	2,969	-
Mission-related	-	-	-	-	-	-	48	-
Total	\$ 35,722	\$ 1	\$ 29,244	\$ 32	\$ 32,292	\$ 25	\$ 33,459	\$ 226
Impaired loans with no related allowance for credit losses:								
Real estate mortgage	\$ 7,906	\$ 79	\$ 43,000	\$ 155	\$ 15,784	\$ 357	\$ 40,825	\$ 402
Production and intermediate term	9,614	378	9,461	215	9,283	984	10,273	405
Processing and marketing	-	-	2,420	123	-	-	4,764	684
Communication	-	-	627	-	200	-	633	-
Energy and water/waste disposal	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,901	-
Mission-related	-	-	263	-	-	-	349	-
Total	\$ 17,520	\$ 457	\$ 55,771	\$ 493	\$ 25,267	\$ 1,341	\$ 58,745	\$ 1,491
Total impaired loans:								
Real estate mortgage	\$ 11,621	\$ 80	\$ 54,466	\$ 155	\$ 23,422	\$ 358	\$ 55,842	\$ 402
Production and intermediate term	29,771	378	10,295	215	17,377	984	11,854	405
Processing and marketing	10,584	-	16,965	155	14,438	24	16,184	910
Communication	-	-	3,026	-	900	-	3,057	-
Energy and water/waste disposal	1,266	-	-	-	1,422	-	4,870	-
Mission-related	-	-	263	-	-	-	397	-
Total	\$ 53,242	\$ 458	\$ 85,015	\$ 525	\$ 57,559	\$ 1,366	\$ 92,204	\$ 1,717

The average recorded investment in impaired loans for the three months ended September 30, 2013, was \$53.2 million. The bank recognized interest income of \$458 on impaired loans during the three months ended September 30, 2013.

The average recorded investment in impaired loans for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, was \$57.6 million. The bank recognized interest income of \$1,366 on impaired loans during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

At September 30, 2013, impaired loans of \$36.8 million had a related specific allowance of \$9.9 million, while the remaining \$14.4 million of impaired loans had no related specific allowance as a result of adequate collateralization.

The bank's impaired loans included loans which were acquired in March 2010 with evidence of credit deterioration. The loans are recorded at the present value of expected future cash flows. These loans had a balance of \$1,200 and \$4,376 at September 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively. There was no allowance for loan losses related to these loans at September 30, 2013 compared to \$1,057 at December 31, 2012.

A summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses and period-end recorded investment in loans is as follows:

	Real Estate Mortgage	Production and Intermediate Term	Agribusiness	Communications	Energy and Water/Waste Disposal	Rural Residential Real Estate	Agricultural Export Finance	Direct Notes to Associations	Loans to OFIs	Mission- Related	Total
Allowance for Credit Losses:											
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 3,678	\$ 4,993	\$ 4,812	\$ 199	\$ 3,924	\$ -	\$ 6	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29	\$ 17,641
Charge-offs	(1,665)	(810)	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,474)
Recoveries	5	-	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
Provision for credit losses	31	551	410	13	444	-	(5)	-	-	-	1,444
Other *	(80)	-	-	-	(533)	-	-	-	-	-	(613)
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$ 1,969	\$ 4,734	\$ 5,222	\$ 212	\$ 3,835	\$ -	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29	\$ 16,002
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 2,992	\$ 633	\$ 10,448	\$ 1,315	\$ 1,859	\$ -	\$ 3	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8	\$ 17,258
Charge-offs	(1,721)	(810)	(6,673)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(9,204)
Recoveries	11	-	271	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	282
Provision for credit losses	765	4,911	1,176	(1,103)	821	-	(2)	-	-	21	6,589
Other *	(78)	-	-	-	1,155	-	-	-	-	-	1,077
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$ 1,969	\$ 4,734	\$ 5,222	\$ 212	\$ 3,835	\$ -	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29	\$ 16,002
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,725	\$ 4,361	\$ 2,674	\$ -	\$ 1,155	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,915
Collectively evaluated for impairment	244	373	2,548	212	2,680	-	1	-	-	29	6,087
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$ 1,969	\$ 4,734	\$ 5,222	\$ 212	\$ 3,835	\$ -	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29	\$ 16,002
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$ 5,039	\$ 808	\$ 4,509	\$ 2,344	\$ 1,699	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 83	\$ 14,482
Charge-offs	(963)	-	-	-	55	-	-	-	-	(74)	(982)
Recoveries	4	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Provision for credit losses	(889)	15	4,346	-	2,718	-	-	-	-	(1)	6,189
Other *	(9)	-	-	-	(2,750)	-	-	-	-	-	(2,759)
Balance at September 30, 2012	\$ 3,182	\$ 823	\$ 8,955	\$ 2,344	\$ 1,722	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8	\$ 17,034
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 7,112	\$ 424	\$ 4,096	\$ 2,163	\$ 1,851	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 13	\$ 15,659
Charge-offs	(9,491)	(2,192)	-	-	(8,988)	-	-	-	-	(74)	(20,745)
Recoveries	30	-	136	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	166
Provision for credit losses	5,028	2,591	4,723	181	14,359	-	-	-	-	69	26,951
Other *	503	-	-	-	(5,500)	-	-	-	-	-	(4,997)
Balance at September 30, 2012	\$ 3,182	\$ 823	\$ 8,955	\$ 2,344	\$ 1,722	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8	\$ 17,034
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 2,057	\$ -	\$ 6,739	\$ 2,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,796
Collectively evaluated for impairment	342	578	2,216	344	1,722	-	-	-	-	8	5,210
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	783	245	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,028
Balance at September 30, 2012	\$ 3,182	\$ 823	\$ 8,955	\$ 2,344	\$ 1,722	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8	\$ 17,034
Recorded Investments in Loans Outstanding:											
Ending balance at September 30, 2013	\$ 366,222	\$ 473,437	\$ 1,819,923	\$ 206,509	\$ 1,264,775	\$ 27	\$ 20,850	\$ 7,311,083	\$ 30,196	\$ 33,887	\$ 11,526,909
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 8,910	\$ 29,446	\$ 10,505	\$ -	\$ 1,180	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,041
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 356,725	\$ 443,378	\$ 1,809,418	\$ 206,509	\$ 1,263,595	\$ 27	\$ 20,850	\$ 7,311,083	\$ 30,196	\$ 33,887	\$ 11,475,668
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ 587	\$ 613	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,200
Ending balance at September 30, 2012	\$ 350,392	\$ 408,721	\$ 1,685,697	\$ 247,982	\$ 1,158,817	\$ 31	\$ -	\$ 7,200,139	\$ 58,823	\$ 37,496	\$ 11,148,098
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 40,499	\$ 8,459	\$ 25,867	\$ 2,876	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 77,701
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 306,093	\$ 398,531	\$ 1,659,830	\$ 245,106	\$ 1,158,817	\$ 31	\$ -	\$ 7,200,139	\$ 58,823	\$ 37,496	\$ 11,064,866
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$ 3,800	\$ 1,731	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,531

* Reserve for losses on standby letters of credit recorded in other liabilities

A restructuring of a debt constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) if the creditor for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. Troubled debt restructurings are undertaken in order to improve the likelihood of recovery on the loan and may include, but are not limited to, forgiveness of principal or interest, interest rate reductions that are lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk, or significant term or payment extensions.

As of September 30, 2013, the total recorded investment of troubled debt restructured loans was \$14,667, including \$5,147 classified as nonaccrual and \$9,520 classified as accrual, with specific allowance for loan losses of \$2,717. As of September 30, 2013, there were no commitments to lend funds to borrowers whose loan terms have been modified in a troubled debt restructuring.

The following table summarizes TDR loan balances by loan type:

	Loans Modified as TDRs		TDRs in Nonaccrual Status	
	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Real estate mortgage	\$ 3,845	\$ 2,657	\$ 2,933	\$ 1,743
Production and intermediate term	8,608	8,668	-	-
Agribusiness	2,214	5,352	2,214	2,933
Total	<u>\$ 14,667</u>	<u>\$ 16,677</u>	<u>\$ 5,147</u>	<u>\$ 4,676</u>

During the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2013, there were no restructured loans designated as troubled debt restructurings. During the period there were no payment defaults on loans that were restructured during the previous 12 months. A payment default is defined as a payment that is 30 days past due after the date the loan was restructured.

NOTE 4 — COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

The bank is primarily liable for its portion of Systemwide debt obligations. Additionally, the bank is jointly and severally liable for the consolidated Systemwide bonds and notes of the other System banks. Total consolidated bank and Systemwide obligations of the System at September 30, 2013, were approximately \$200.9 billion.

In the normal course of business, the bank has various outstanding commitments and contingent liabilities, including the possibility of actions against the bank in which claims for monetary damages may be asserted. Management and legal counsel are not aware of any other pending lawsuits or actions. Upon the basis of current information, management and legal counsel are of the opinion that the ultimate liability, if any, resulting from lawsuits or other pending actions will not be material in relation to the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the bank.

NOTE 5 — FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Accounting guidance defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability. See Note 2, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," of the 2012 Annual Report for a more complete description.

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2013, for each of the fair value hierarchy levels are summarized below:

	Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2013			
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Federal funds	\$ 54,423	\$ -	\$ 54,423	\$ -
Investments available for sale:				
Corporate debt	274,760	-	259,760	15,000
Agency-guaranteed debt	115,568	-	88,951	26,617
Mortgage-backed securities	2,987,143	-	2,907,345	79,798
Asset-backed securities	49,454	-	46,433	3,021
Mission-related and other available-for-sale investments	102,858	-	-	102,858
Loans valued under the fair value option	58,838	-	58,838	-
Derivative assets	810	-	810	-
Assets held in nonqualified benefit trusts	161	161	-	-
Total assets	<u>\$ 3,644,015</u>	<u>\$ 161</u>	<u>\$ 3,416,560</u>	<u>\$ 227,294</u>
Liabilities:				
Standby letters of credit	\$ 1,126	\$ -	\$ 1,126	\$ -
Total liabilities	<u>\$ 1,126</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 1,126</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

Loans With Fair Value Option

During 2012, the bank elected the fair value option for certain callable loans purchased on the secondary market at a significant premium. The fair value option provides an irrevocable option to elect fair value as an alternative measurement for selected financial assets. It requires entities to display the fair value of those assets for which the entity has chosen to use fair value on the face of the statement of condition. Fair value is used for both the initial and subsequent measurement of the designated instrument, with the changes in fair value recognized in net income. On these instruments, the related contractual interest income and premium amortization are recorded as Interest Income in the Statements of Comprehensive Income. The remaining changes in fair value on these instruments are recorded as net gains (losses) in Noninterest Income on the Statements of Comprehensive Income. The fair value of these instruments is included in Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy for assets recorded at fair value on a recurring basis.

The following table represents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period from June 30, 2013, to September 30, 2013:

	Corporate Debt	Agency- Guaranteed Debt	Mortgage- Backed Securities	Agricultural Mortgage- Backed Securities	Asset- Backed Securities	Total
Available-for-sale investment securities:						
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 59,882	\$ 29,116	\$ 98,807	\$ 105,622	\$ 3,195	\$ 296,622
Net (losses) gains included in other comprehensive loss	-	(540)	673	770	17	920
Net gains (losses) included in earnings	-	-	-	-	-	-
Purchases, issuances and settlements	(25,012)	7,615	59,242	(3,534)	(191)	38,120
Transfers into Level 3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Transfers out of Level 3	(19,870)	(9,574)	(78,924)	-	-	(108,368)
Balance at September 30, 2013	<u>\$ 15,000</u>	<u>\$ 26,617</u>	<u>\$ 79,798</u>	<u>\$ 102,858</u>	<u>\$ 3,021</u>	<u>\$ 227,294</u>
The amount of losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets or liabilities still held at September 30, 2013						
	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

The following table represents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period from January 1, 2013, to September 30, 2013:

	Corporate Debt	Agency- Guaranteed Debt	Mortgage- Backed Securities	Agricultural Mortgage- Backed Securities	Asset- Backed Securities	Total
Available-for-sale investment securities:						
Balance at January 1, 2013	\$ 59,958	\$ 15,117	\$ 26,938	\$ 115,479	\$ 3,096	\$ 220,588
Net (losses) gains included in other comprehensive loss	(76)	(1,040)	(296)	(1,105)	591	(1,926)
Net losses included in earnings	-	-	(143)	-	-	(143)
Purchases, issuances and settlements	(25,012)	37,231	156,264	(11,516)	(666)	156,301
Transfers into Level 3	-	-	15,821	-	-	15,821
Transfers out of Level 3	(19,870)	(24,691)	(118,786)	-	-	(163,347)
Balance at September 30, 2013	<u>\$ 15,000</u>	<u>\$ 26,617</u>	<u>\$ 79,798</u>	<u>\$ 102,858</u>	<u>\$ 3,021</u>	<u>\$ 227,294</u>
The amount of losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets or liabilities still held at September 30, 2013						
	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

There were no transfers of assets or liabilities into or out of Level 1 from other levels during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Agricultural mortgage-backed securities are included in Level 3 due to limited activity or less transparency around inputs to their valuation. At September 30, 2013, Level 3 investments included two agency MBS, three agency-guaranteed debt instruments and one corporate debt instrument due to the fact that their valuations were based on Level 3 criteria (broker quotes) and certain non-agency MBS and non-agency ABS backed by home equity. In the first nine months of 2013, an agency-guaranteed debt instrument which had previously been included in Level 3 was valued using independent third-party valuation services using Level 2 criteria and was, accordingly, transferred from Level 3 to Level 2. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, all transfers into and out of Level 3 were related to sources of pricing information. Valuations subsequent to purchase which are obtained from independent third party services utilizing Level 2 criteria were the basis for transfers out of Level 3; subsequent valuations using Level 3 criteria (broker quotes) were the basis for transfers into Level 3.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at September 30, 2013, for each of the fair value hierarchy levels are summarized below:

	Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2013				
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Gains (Losses)
Assets:					
Loans	\$ 31,806	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 31,806	\$ (9,204)
Other property owned	19,570	-	-	19,570	(879)
Total assets	<u>\$ 51,376</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 51,376</u>	<u>\$ (10,083)</u>

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2012, for each of the fair value hierarchy levels are summarized below:

	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2012			
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Federal funds	\$ 24,137	\$ -	\$ 24,137	\$ -
Investments available for sale:				
Corporate debt	208,622	-	148,664	59,958
Agency-guaranteed debt	65,766	-	50,649	15,117
Mortgage-backed securities	2,939,481	-	2,912,543	26,938
Asset-backed securities	17,131	-	14,035	3,096
Mission-related and other available-for-sale investments	115,479	-	-	115,479
Loans valued under the fair value option	60,310	-	60,310	-
Derivative assets	756	-	756	-
Assets held in nonqualified benefit trusts	215	215	-	-
Total assets	<u>\$ 3,431,897</u>	<u>\$ 215</u>	<u>\$ 3,211,094</u>	<u>\$ 220,588</u>
Liabilities:				
Standby letters of credit	\$ 1,469	\$ -	\$ 1,469	\$ -
Total liabilities	<u>\$ 1,469</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 1,469</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

The following table represents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period from June 30, 2012, to September 30, 2012:

	Corporate Debt	Mortgage- Backed Securities	Agricultural Mortgage- Backed Securities	Asset- Backed Securities	Total
Available-for-sale investment securities:					
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$ 14,850	\$ 172,891	\$ 127,173	\$ 15,211	\$ 330,125
Net gains (losses) included in other comprehensive income	-	3,045	317	272	3,634
Net losses included in earnings	-	14	-	-	14
Purchases, issuances and settlements	40,000	(10,634)	(5,379)	(223)	23,764
Transfers out of Level 3	-	(133,799)	-	(12,000)	(145,799)
Balance at September 30, 2012	<u>\$ 54,850</u>	<u>\$ 31,517</u>	<u>\$ 122,111</u>	<u>\$ 3,260</u>	<u>\$ 211,738</u>

The amount of losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets or liabilities still held at September 30, 2012

\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
------	------	------	------	------

The following table represents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period from January 1, 2012, to September 30, 2012:

	Corporate Debt	Mortgage- Backed Securities	Agricultural Mortgage- Backed Securities	Asset- Backed Securities	Total
Available-for-sale investment securities:					
Balance at January 1, 2012	\$ 82,464	\$ 40,872	\$ 110,921	\$ 3,450	\$ 237,707
Net gains included in other comprehensive income	67	5,722	(323)	510	5,976
Net losses included in earnings	-	14	-	(1)	13
Purchases, issuances and settlements	40,000	151,345	11,513	11,301	214,159
Transfers out of Level 3	(67,681)	(166,436)	-	(12,000)	(246,117)
Balance at September 30, 2012	<u>\$ 54,850</u>	<u>\$ 31,517</u>	<u>\$ 122,111</u>	<u>\$ 3,260</u>	<u>\$ 211,738</u>

The amount of losses for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets or liabilities still held at September 30, 2012

\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1	\$ 1
------	------	------	------	------

There were no transfers of assets or liabilities into or out of Level 1 from other levels during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Agricultural mortgage-backed securities are included in Level 3 due to limited activity or less transparency around inputs to their valuation. The net purchases and settlements in agricultural mortgage-backed securities include the bank's purchase of additional AMBS from a district association during the quarter ended March 31, 2012. At September 30, 2012, Level 3 investments included five agency MBS, one corporate debt instrument and one asset-backed investment due to the fact that their valuations were based on Level 3 criteria (broker quotes) and certain non-agency MBS and non-agency ABS backed by home equity. In the first nine months of 2012, corporate debt and an agency MBS which had previously been included in Level 3 were valued using independent third-party valuation services using Level 2 criteria and were, accordingly, transferred from Level 3 to Level 2.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at December 31, 2012, for each of the fair value hierarchy levels are summarized below:

	Fair Value Measurement at December 31, 2012				
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Gains (Losses)
Assets:					
Loans	\$ 51,769	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 51,769	\$ (20,745)
Other property owned	34,155	-	-	34,155	(5,567)
Total assets	\$ 85,924	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 85,924	\$ (26,312)

Financial assets and financial liabilities measured at carrying amounts and not measured at fair value on the Balance Sheet for each of the fair value hierarchy values are summarized as follows:

	September 30, 2013				December 31, 2012		
	Total Carrying Amount	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Fair Value	Total Carrying Amount	Total Fair Value
Assets:							
Cash	\$ 377,868	\$ 377,868	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 377,868	\$ 502,242	\$ 502,242
Net loans	11,384,807	-	-	11,348,847	11,348,847	11,209,493	11,366,931
Total assets	\$ 11,762,675	\$ 377,868	\$ -	\$ 11,348,847	\$ 11,726,715	\$ 11,711,735	\$ 11,869,173
Liabilities:							
Systemwide debt securities	\$ 13,838,436	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 13,844,010	\$ 13,844,010	\$ 13,910,860	\$ 14,124,485
Subordinated debt	50,000	-	-	55,085	55,085	50,000	56,945
	\$ 13,888,436	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 13,899,095	\$ 13,899,095	\$ 13,960,860	\$ 14,181,430

Valuation Techniques

As more fully discussed in Note 2, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," of the Annual Report, accounting guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The following represent a brief summary of the valuation techniques used for the bank's assets and liabilities:

Investments Available for Sale

Where quoted prices are available in an active market, available-for-sale securities would be classified as Level 1. If quoted prices are not available in an active market, the fair value of securities is estimated using pricing models, quoted prices for similar securities received from pricing services or discounted cash flows. Generally, these securities would be classified as Level 2. To estimate the fair value of investments, the bank obtains prices from third-party pricing services. This would include certain mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities. Where there is limited activity or less transparency around inputs to the valuation, the securities are classified as Level 3. Investments classified as Level 3 primarily consist of the certain non-agency mortgage-backed securities and asset-backed securities valued using independent third-party valuation services. Also included in the bank's Level 3 assets are the Farmer Mac AMBS.

Derivative Assets and Liabilities

The bank's derivative positions are valued using internally developed models that use as their basis readily observable market parameters and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Such derivatives include fair value interest rate swaps, interest rate caps and cash flow interest rate swaps. The models used to determine the fair value of derivative assets and liabilities use an income approach based on observable inputs, primarily the LIBOR swap curve and volatility assumptions about future interest rate movements.

Assets Held in Nonqualified Benefit Trusts

Assets held in trust funds related to deferred compensation and supplemental retirement plans are classified within Level 1. The trust funds include investments that are actively traded and have quoted net asset values that are observable in the marketplace.

Standby Letters of Credit

The fair value of letters of credit approximates the fees currently charged for similar agreements or the estimated cost to terminate or otherwise settle similar obligations.

Loans

Fair value is estimated by discounting the expected future cash flows using the bank's current interest rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit risk. As the discount rates are based on the bank's loan rates as well as on management estimates, management has no basis to determine whether the fair values presented would be indicative of the value negotiated in an actual sale.

For purposes of determining fair value of accruing loans, the loan portfolio is segregated into pools of loans with homogeneous characteristics. Expected future cash flows and discount rates reflecting appropriate credit risk are determined separately for each individual pool.

For loans which are valued at fair value under the fair value pricing option, if quoted prices are not available in an active market, the fair value is estimated using pricing models, quoted prices for similar instruments received from pricing services or discounted cash flows. Generally, these loans would be classified as Level 2. To estimate the fair value of these instruments, the bank obtains prices from third-party pricing services.

For certain loans evaluated for impairment, the fair value is based upon the underlying collateral since the loans were collateral-dependent loans for which real estate is the collateral. These loans are generally classified as Level 3. The fair value measurement process uses independent appraisals and other market-based information, but in many cases it also requires significant input based on management's knowledge of and judgment about current market conditions, specific issues relating to the collateral and other matters. As a result, these fair value measurements fall within Level 3 of the hierarchy. When the value of the real estate, less estimated costs to sell, is less than the principal balance of the loan, a specific reserve is established.

Subordinated Debt

The fair value of these obligations is determined by discounting expected future cash flows based on the Treasury yield curve.

Other Property Owned

Other property owned (OPO) is generally classified as Level 3. OPO is recorded based upon the collateral less estimated costs to sell, however, costs to sell represent transaction costs and are not included as a component of the asset's fair value in this disclosure.

Sensitivity to Changes in Significant Unobservable Inputs

For recurring fair value measurements categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, the significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the mortgage-backed securities are prepayment rates, probability of default and loss severity in the event of default. Significant increases (decreases) in any of those inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

Generally, a change in the assumption used for the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption used for the loss severity and a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates.

Quoted market prices may not be available for the instruments presented below. Accordingly, fair values are based on internal models that consider judgments regarding anticipated cash flows, future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments and other factors. These estimates involve uncertainties and matters of judgment, and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Quantitative Information About Recurring and Nonrecurring Level 3 Fair Value Measurement

	Valuation Technique(s)	Unobservable Input
Mortgage-backed securities	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment rate Probability of default Loss severity
Asset-backed securities	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment rate Probability of default Loss severity
Mission-related investments	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment rates

Information About Recurring and Nonrecurring Level 2 Fair Value Measurements

	Valuation Technique(s)	Input
Federal funds sold	Carrying value	Par/principal
Investment securities available for sale	Quoted prices	Price for similar security
	Discounted cash flow	Constant prepayment rate Appropriate interest rate yield curve
Loans held under the fair value option	Quoted prices	Price for similar asset
	Discounted cash flow	Constant prepayment rate Appropriate interest rate yield curve
Interest rate swaps	Discounted cash flow	Appropriate interest rate yield curve
Interest rate caps	Discounted cash flow	Appropriate interest rate yield curve Annualized volatility

Information About Other Financial Instrument Fair Value Measurements

	Valuation Technique(s)	Input
Cash	Carrying value	Actual balances
Loans	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment forecasts
		Appropriate interest rate yield curve
Systemwide debt securities and subordinated debt	Discounted cash flow	Benchmark yield curve
		Derived yield spread
		Own credit risk

NOTE 6 — DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The bank maintains an overall interest rate risk management strategy that incorporates the use of derivative products to minimize significant unplanned fluctuations in earnings that are caused by interest rate volatility. The bank's goal is to manage interest rate sensitivity by modifying the repricing or maturity characteristics of certain balance sheet assets and liabilities so that movements in interest rates do not adversely affect the net interest margin. As a result of interest rate fluctuations, hedged fixed-rate assets and liabilities will appreciate or depreciate in market value. The effect of this unrealized appreciation or depreciation is expected to be substantially offset by the bank's gains or losses on the derivative instruments that are linked to these hedged assets and liabilities. Another result of interest rate fluctuations is that the interest income and interest expense of hedged floating-rate assets and liabilities will increase or decrease. The effect of this variability in earnings is expected to be substantially offset by the bank's gains and losses on the derivative instruments that are linked to these hedged assets and liabilities. The bank considers the strategic use of derivatives to be a prudent method of managing interest rate sensitivity, as it prevents earnings from being exposed to undue risk posed by changes in interest rates.

The bank enters into derivative transactions, particularly interest rate swaps, to lower funding costs, diversify sources of funding, alter interest rate exposures arising from mismatches between assets and liabilities, or better manage liquidity. Interest rate swaps allow the bank to raise long-term borrowings at

fixed rates and swap them into floating rates to better match the repricing characteristics of earning assets. Under interest rate swap arrangements, the bank agrees with other parties to exchange, at specified intervals, payment streams calculated on a specified notional principal amount, with at least one stream based on a specified floating-rate index.

A substantial amount of the bank's assets are interest-earning assets (principally loans and investments) that tend to be medium-term floating-rate instruments. In order to match the asset structure, interest rate swaps in which the bank pays the floating rate and receives the fixed rate (receive fixed swaps) are used to reduce the impact of market fluctuations on the bank's net interest income. Because the size of swap positions needed to reduce the impact of market fluctuations varies over time, the bank also enters into swaps in which it receives the floating rate and pays the fixed rate (pay fixed swaps) when necessary to reduce its net position.

The bank may purchase interest rate options, such as caps, in order to reduce the impact of rising interest rates on its floating-rate debt, and floors, in order to reduce the impact of falling interest rates on its floating-rate assets. The notional amounts and primary types of derivative instruments used and the amount of activity during the period are summarized in the following table:

	Receive-Fixed Swaps	Pay-Fixed Swaps	Interest Rate Caps	Total
Balance at January 1, 2013	\$ 100	\$ -	\$ 695	\$ 795
Maturities/Amortizations	(100)	-	-	(100)
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 695	\$ 695

By using derivative products, the bank exposes itself to credit and market risk. If a counterparty fails to fulfill its performance obligations under a derivative contract, the bank's credit risk will equal the fair-value gain in a derivative. Generally, when the fair value of a derivative contract is positive, this indicates that the counterparty owes the bank, thus creating a repayment (credit) risk for the bank. When the fair value of the derivative contract is negative, the bank owes the counterparty and, therefore, assumes no repayment risk.

To minimize the risk of credit losses, the bank deals with counterparties that have an investment grade or better credit rating from a major rating agency, and also monitors the credit standing and levels of exposure to individual counterparties. The bank does not anticipate nonperformance by any of these counterparties. The bank typically enters into master agreements that contain netting provisions. These provisions allow the bank to require the net settlement of covered contracts with the same counterparty in the event of default by the counterparty on one or more contracts. However, derivative contracts must be reflected in the financial statements on a gross basis regardless of the netting agreement. Another way the bank minimizes the risk of credit losses from derivatives is that substantially all derivative contracts are supported by bilateral collateral agreements with counterparties requiring the posting of collateral in the event certain dollar thresholds of exposure of one party to the other one are reached, which thresholds may vary depending on the counterparty's credit rating. At September 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012, the bank's exposure to counterparties, net of collateral, was \$810 and \$756, respectively. At September 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012, the bank had posted no securities as collateral, nor has any counterparty been required to post collateral.

The bank's derivative activities are monitored by its Asset-Liability Management Committee (ALCO) as part of the ALCO's oversight of the bank's asset/liability and treasury functions. The bank's ALCO is responsible for approving hedging strategies that are developed within parameters established by the

bank's board of directors through the bank's analysis of data derived from financial simulation models and other internal and industry sources. The resulting hedging strategies are then incorporated into the bank's overall interest rate risk-management strategies. The bank held no derivatives that were not designated as hedges at September 30, 2013, or December 31, 2012.

Fair Value Hedges

The bank's derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a fair value hedge all meet the standards for accounting treatment that presume full effectiveness. Accordingly, no gain or loss is recognized in earnings. The bank held one fair value interest rate swap at December 31, 2012, which matured in May 2013.

Cash Flow Hedges

The bank's derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge all meet the standards for accounting treatment that presume full effectiveness. Thus, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive loss. The bank's cash flow hedges at September 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012, consisted of interest rate caps.

Derivatives designated as hedging instruments	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value 9/30/2013	Fair Value 12/31/2012	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value 9/30/2013	Fair Value 12/31/2012
Receive fixed	Other assets	\$ -	\$ 91	Other liabilities	\$ -	\$ -
Interest rate caps	Other assets	810	665	Other liabilities	-	-

Derivatives designated as hedging instruments	Amount of (Loss) Gain Recognized in OCL on Derivatives (Effective Portion)		Location of Gain Reclassification from AOCI into Income	Amount of Gain Reclassified from AOCL into Income (Effective Portion)	
	September 30,			September 30,	
	2013	2012		2013	2012
Interest rate caps	\$ 145	\$ (1,018)	Interest expense	\$ 1,067	\$ 323
Cash flow derivatives	-	-			

NOTE 7 — EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

In addition to pension benefits, the bank provides certain health care benefits to qualifying retired employees (other postretirement benefits). These benefits are not characterized as multiemployer and, consequently, the liability for these benefits is included in other liabilities. Bank employees hired after January 1, 2004, will be eligible for retiree medical benefits for themselves and their spouses at their expense but will be responsible for 100 percent of the related premiums. The following table summarizes the components of net periodic benefit costs for the bank's other postretirement benefit costs for the nine months ended September 30:

	Other Postretirement Benefits	
	2013	2012
Service cost	\$ 206	\$ 171
Interest cost	317	313
Amortization of prior service costs	(143)	(176)
Amortization of net loss	13	-
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 393	\$ 308

The structure of the district's defined benefit pension plan is characterized as multiemployer, since the assets, liabilities and cost of the plan are not segregated or separately accounted for by participating employers (bank and associations).

The bank's supplemental defined benefit pension plan was terminated effective January 16, 2011. By terminating the plan, no further vesting or benefit occurred subsequent to January 16, 2011, and remaining unpaid vested benefits were distributed in a cash lump-sum payment in January 2012 to the participating bank employees after a one-year deferral period. There was no expense recognized on the supplemental defined benefit pension plan after 2011.

NOTE 8 — ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income (AOCL) includes the accumulated balance of certain gains, losses or costs for which values are included in assets or liabilities on the balance sheets, but which have not yet been recognized in earnings. For the bank, these elements include unrealized gains or losses on the bank's available-for-sale investment portfolio, amortization of retirement benefit elements, and changes in the value of cash flow derivative instruments.

The following table summarizes the changes in the balance of the components of AOCL for the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

	Total	Unrealized Gain on Securities	Retirement Benefit Plans	Cash Flow Derivative Instruments
Balance, January 1, 2013	\$ 27,833	\$ 34,104	\$ (56)	\$ (6,215)
Change in unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities				
Net change in unrealized gains on investment securities	(53,968)	(53,968)		
Decrease in noncredit portion of other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses	1,139	1,139		
Reclassification adjustment for OTTI credit losses included in net income	143	143		
Net increase in unrealized losses on securities	<u>(52,686)</u>	<u>(52,686)</u>		
Change in postretirement benefit plans				
Amounts amortized into net periodic expense:				
Amortization of prior service credits	(143)		(143)	
Amortization of net losses	13		13	
Net change in postretirement benefit plans	<u>(130)</u>		<u>(130)</u>	
Change in cash flow derivative instruments				
Gains on interest rate caps	145			145
Reclassification of amount recognized in interest expense	1,067			1,067
Net change in cash flow derivative instruments	<u>1,212</u>			<u>1,212</u>
Total other comprehensive (loss) income	(51,604)	(52,686)	(130)	1,212
Balance, September 30, 2013	\$ (23,771)	\$ (18,582)	\$ (186)	\$ (5,003)

The following table summarizes the changes in the balance of the components of AOCI for the nine months ended September 30, 2012:

	Total	Unrealized Gain on Securities	Retirement Benefit Plans	Cash Flow Derivative Instruments
Balance, January 1, 2012	\$ 25,146	\$ 29,577	\$ 1,251	\$ (5,682)
Change in unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities				
Net change in unrealized gains on investment securities	4,174	4,174		
Decrease in noncredit portion of other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses	3,777	3,777		
Reclassification adjustment for OTTI credit losses included in net income	1	1		
Net increase in unrealized losses on securities	<u>7,952</u>	<u>7,952</u>		
Change in postretirement benefit plans				
Amounts amortized into net periodic expense:				
Amortization of prior service credits	(176)		(176)	
Net change in postretirement benefit plans	<u>(176)</u>		<u>(176)</u>	
Change in cash flow derivative instruments				
Losses on interest rate caps	(1,018)			(1,018)
Reclassification of loss recognized in interest expense	323			323
Net change in cash flow derivative instruments	<u>(695)</u>			<u>(695)</u>
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	7,081	7,952	(176)	(695)
Balance, September 30, 2012	<u>\$ 32,227</u>	<u>\$ 37,529</u>	<u>\$ 1,075</u>	<u>\$ (6,377)</u>

The following table summarizes reclassifications from AOCL to the Statements of Comprehensive Income for the nine months ended September 30:

<u>Component of AOCL</u>	<u>Amount Reclassified from AOCL</u>		<u>Affected Line in the Statement of Comprehensive Income</u>
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>	
Impairment losses on other-than-temporarily-impaired investments	\$ 143	\$ 1	Impairment losses on investments
Amortization of net credits on post-retirement benefit plan	(130)	(176)	Salaries and employee benefits
Amortization on cash flow hedges	<u>1,067</u>	<u>323</u>	Interest expense
Total reclassifications	<u>\$ 1,080</u>	<u>\$ 148</u>	

NOTE 9 — PREFERRED STOCK

On July 23, 2013, the bank issued \$300.0 million of Class B noncumulative subordinated perpetual preferred stock, Series 2 (Class B-2 preferred stock), representing three million shares at \$100 per share par value for net proceeds of \$296.0 million. Dividends on the Class B-2 preferred stock, if declared by the board of directors at its sole discretion, are noncumulative and are payable quarterly in arrears on the fifteenth day of March, June, September and December in each year, commencing September 15, 2013, at an annual fixed rate of 6.75 percent of par value of \$100 per share up to, but excluding September 15, 2023, from and after which date will be paid at an annual rate of the 3-Month USD LIBOR plus 4.01 percent. The Class B-2 preferred stock is not mandatorily redeemable at any time, but may be redeemed in whole or in part at the option of the bank on any dividend payment date on or after September 15, 2023. The Class B-2 preferred stock ranks junior, both as to dividends and upon liquidation to the bank's Class A preferred stock, pari passu with respect to the existing Class B-1 preferred stock, and senior to all of the bank's outstanding capital stock. For regulatory purposes, the Class B-2 preferred stock is included in permanent capital, total surplus and core surplus within certain limitations. Dividends of \$2,925 were paid on September 15, 2013.

NOTE 10 — SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On November 5, 2013, the bank issued notice of full redemption all outstanding 200,000 shares of its Class A Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock (Class A preferred stock). The redemption will be at the par value of \$1,000 per share, plus all accrued and unpaid dividends up to, but not including the redemption date of December 15, 2013. As the bank had repurchased 18,000 shares of the Class A preferred stock in 2010, the outlay for the remaining Class A preferred stock on December 15, 2013, will total \$182.0 million, at which time the final related dividends of \$6.881 million will be paid.

The bank has evaluated subsequent events through November 8, 2013, which is the date the financial statements were issued. There are no other significant subsequent events requiring disclosure as of November 8, 2013.

NOTE 11 — COMBINED ASSOCIATION FINANCIAL DATA

Condensed financial information for the associations follows. All significant transactions and balances between the associations are eliminated in combination. The multiemployer structure of certain of the district's retirement and benefit plans results in the recording of these plans only in the district's combined financial statements.

Balance sheet data	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Cash	\$ 3,740	10,600
Investment securities	59,246	69,075
Loans	13,131,814	12,695,132
Less allowance for loan losses	69,417	89,584
Net loans	<u>13,062,397</u>	<u>12,605,548</u>
Accrued interest receivable	139,730	111,173
Other property owned, net	54,703	67,472
Other assets	335,610	321,533
Total assets	<u>\$ 13,655,426</u>	<u>\$ 13,185,401</u>
Notes payable	\$ 10,950,433	\$ 10,570,291
Other liabilities	202,768	276,076
Total liabilities	<u>11,153,201</u>	<u>10,846,367</u>
Capital stock and participation certificates	80,555	81,140
Retained earnings	2,429,207	2,264,408
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(7,537)	(6,514)
Total members' equity	<u>2,502,225</u>	<u>2,339,034</u>
Total liabilities and members' equity	<u>\$ 13,655,426</u>	<u>\$ 13,185,401</u>

Statement of income data	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
Interest income	\$ 462,574	\$ 464,534
Interest expense	149,661	167,444
Net interest income	312,913	297,090
Provision for loan losses	6,151	5,881
Net interest income after provision		
for loan losses	306,762	291,209
Noninterest income	41,406	60,348
Other expense	128,043	122,460
Provision for income taxes	378	334
Net income	<u>219,747</u>	<u>228,763</u>
Other comprehensive loss:		
Change in postretirement benefit plans	(1,022)	(914)
Total other comprehensive loss	<u>(1,022)</u>	<u>(914)</u>
Comprehensive Income	<u>\$ 218,725</u>	<u>\$ 227,849</u>